65th Legislative Day

June 29, 1985

Speaker Breslin: "Ladies and Gentlemen, the House will come to order. The Chaplain for today will be the Reverend Richard Maye, Pastor, Pleasant Grove Baptist Church of Springfield.

Reverend Maye is a guest of Representative Michael Curran and Representative John Cullerton. Will the guests in the gallery please rise for the invocation?"

Reverend Maye: "Let us pray. Most holy and wise Father, we thank for the opportunity to be here this morning in our As You look upon us from Heaven, You are looking seats in which we sit. You are looking beyond the exterior, but You are focusing on our hearts. More correctly. the intents of our hearts, for we realize that sometimes our actions do betrav us. They speak ill of They speak as if we are unconcerned, but at the same time our hearts are pulsating the love and joy of Your will. a time, Lord, when so much is going on, right wrong is being considered right, when considered wrong. others are pleasing themselves rather than concerned about iustice and truth, when some are more concerned about winning than righteousness and right principles. we that You would give these dedicated men and women that You have chosen through their various constituencies tο not compromise right for wrong but bе uncompromising instruments of Your will. We pray for our President our country in this dilemma over the hostages. We pray for the families of those men and women who have loved ones in another country being held for whatever reason. We pray that all of the negotiations will be solved and worked out soon, that those Americans will come home. Allow these men and women here to let love be without dissemination, that which is evil, cling to that which is good, serve You gladly, come before You with thanksgiving. And in serving

65th Legislative Day

June 29, 1985

You, they will serve their fellow men and women. In Your Son Jesus name, we ask these blessings. Amen."

- Speaker Breslin: "We will be led in the Pledge by Representative Ropp."
- Ropp et al: "I pledge allegiance to the flag of the United

  States of America and to the Republic for which it stands,

  one Nation under God, indivisible, with liberty and justice

  for all."
- Speaker Breslin: "Roll Call for Attendance. Mr. Clerk, take the Roll. There are 118 Members voting 'present'. There is a Ladies and Gentlemen, at the Clerk's well we are beginning a list on the Order of Concurrences. Please give us all of the Bills that you wish to nonconcur in. That is one list. On the Order of Nonconcurrence, please give us a list of those Bills you wish to refuse to recede in. more - On the Order of Concurrences, if you wish to nonconcur, bring that number to the well. On the Order Nonconcurrences. if you wish to refuse to recede, let the Clerk know and he will put it on the list. And we will get to that order quickly. Message from the Senate."
- flerk O'Brien: "A Message from the Senate by Mr. Wright. Secretary. \* Mr -Speaker, I am directed to inform the House of Representatives the Senate has refused to with the House in the adoption of their Amendments to the following Bills: Senate Bills #14, 158, 239. 447. 448. 505. 513 and 1064, action taken by the Senate June 28, 1985. Kenneth Bright, Secretary. Message from Senate by Mr. Wright, Secretary. 'Mr. Speaker, I am directed to inform the House of Representatives that the Senate has concurred with the House in the passage of Bills with the following title, to wit: House Bill #1010. together with the attached Senate Amendment #1. passed Senate as amended June 25. 1985. Kenneth Wright,

65th Legislative Day

June 29, 1985

Secretary. \*\*

Speaker Breslin: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative

Leverenz, for what reason do you rise?"

Leverenz: "Now?"

- Speaker Breslin: "No. Representative Jack Davis for a special introduction."
- Davis: "Well, thank you, Madam Speaker. While we're at ease and the Members are coming along, I'd just like to introduce a young honorary page here today. He's also active in Little League, and earlier this year pitched a no hit game. And recently, in fact, last Wednesday night, he pitched another one. That's two in one season. He's also hitting almost 600. I'd like you to meet young Jack Davis, II."
- Speaker Breslin: "Representative Ewing, at Representative Davis chair."
- Ewing: "Do you suppose that he could get signed up with the Cubs,

  Jack?"
- Speaker Breslin: "I hear they're starting on a winning season."

  Ewing: "Sign him up with the Cubs."
- Speaker Breslin: "Ladies and Gentlemen... The Gentleman from Will, Representative Van Duyne, for what reason do you rise?"
- Van Duyne: "Well, just to make an announcement, Madam Speaker.

  To all the Members of the House, as you remember we did have a meeting here on the House floor to try to listen to some of our county problems from our members of the country boards here a couple months ago or a month ago, and they asked us at that time if we might not have some meetings around the state in four or five or six different locations during the summer. I've addressed that problem to the Speaker, and he has acquiesced, I know not to what degree, but nevertheless, he has more or less acquiesced to that we would have the County and Townships Committee, maybe along

65th Legislative Day

June 29. 1985

with Cities and Villages, might have some meetings around the state to... in order to try to garner some information as to the really prevailing problems that confront county government these days and the cities and villages along with it. Anyway, I'm just making this announcement so if there are some in our group that would like to have a meeting in some of the larger cities in the state, why they should be addressing it to the committee chairmen."

Speaker Breslin: "Ladies and Gentlemen, once again, we are trying to make a list of all Bills that Members wish to nonconcur are on the Order of Concurrence. If you wish to nonconcur in one of your Bills on the Order of Concurrence it to the well so that the Clerk can put it on the If you wish to refuse to recede on any Bills on list. the Order οf Nonconcurrence, please bring that number to the So we have two lists started. well also. Ladies and Representative Ropp will make some presentations. Let's give your attention to the Representative Ropp.®

Ropp: "Thank you, Madam Speaker. Once again it is our pleasure to be a part of the presentation of the trophies that many of you participated in and only the experts won in the Southwestern Illinois Industrial Association tennis outing and IRMA racquetball tournament that we had a few Now we have an unprecedent situation going here. ago. Last year, as you'll recall, the very first time that had a freshman Representative woman come in and win. She not only did that last year, the first year, but she did it again. Maggie Parcells won the B division for the second year in a row. Maggie, come on up here. And her teammate, Bill 'Rodman'. Bill, come on up here and get a trophy. The winners in the B division. Now if you don't that's Super good, we also have the second place winner

65th Legislative Day

June 29, 1985

that got second as a freshman last year, also got second this year — David Harris. Come on up here, Dave. Now it's with deep regret that I must say David's plate has been removed for some reason. So if he wants to be the winner, he can buy his own plate and put on here as the winner."

Harris: "That's alright, Gordy, the trophy's bigger this year." "Also the... his team member was Ted 'Flickinger'. Ropp: yesterday he was up in Chicago. And I don't think Ted's here today. Okay, now ... And we had a number o f other people who participated. A11 of you know who participated, and we want to congratulate you and hope that you'll practice over the season so you can do better year. Now we have another trophy that is presented by IRMA to the racquetball champion. And Bob Terzich, would you come up here? Because Bob Terzich won the big jock award. The champion in the open division, Third Annual IRMA Legislative Racquetball Tournament. Congratulations, Robert."

- Terzich: "This is for the veteran Legislators. I read it in the newspaper, I was a veteran Legislator a few years ago.

  But, you know, what the hell. You practice at the White House for fifteen years and this could happen to you too.

  Thank you, Gordo."
- Ropp: "That's good. I knew you won going away in the stretch.

  Thank you very much, and thank you to not only IRMA but to
  the Southwestern Illinois Industrial Association. We
  appreciate their support of the... and putting on of the
  tennis tournament each year. Thank you."
- Speaker Breslin: "Ladies and Gentlemen, we have decided to go to
  the Order of Nonconcurrences, and we'll start at the top
  and go straight through. It appears on page nine on your
  Calendar. The first Bill is Senate Bill 14, Representative
  Bullock. Representative Bullock. Out of the record.

#### 65th Legislative Day

June 29, 1985

Senate Bill 17, Representative DeLeo. Representative DeLeo. Out of the record. Senate Bill 91, Representative Dunn. Out of the record. Senate Bill 97, Representative McCracken. Representative Hawkinson."

- Hawkinson: "Madam Speaker, we would move to recede from House

  Amendment #1."
- Speaker Breslin: "The Gentleman moves to recade from House

  Amendment #1 to Senate Bill 97. On that Motion, the

  Gentleman from Cook, Representative Preston."
- Preston: "Madam Speaker, are we going to follow procedure where
  the Sponsor of the Bill tells us, even on these Motions to
  recede, what the Bill is about and what Amendment is being
  receded from?"
- Speaker Breslin: "I think that's a good idea, a good idea.

  Representative Hawkinson."
- Hawkinson: "Thank you, Madam Speaker. Senate Bill 97 deals with
  the statute of limitations for sex crimes against minor
  children within a home. As originally passed by the
  Senate, it had a statute of limitations of the minors
  majority plus one year. In the House we put on an
  Amendment extending that to three years, and the Senate has
  nonconcurred. And we would accept the Senate version of
  one year, and I would move to recede from House Amendment
- Speaker Breslin: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Cullerton, on the question."
- Cullerton: "Yes, I wondered if Representative Hawkinson might not mind taking this out of the record for a second so I could have my staff guy come down and talk to him about this Bill."
- Speaker Breslin: "Out of the record. Senate Bill 158.

  Representative Klemm."
- Klemm: "Thank you, Madam Chairman (sic Speaker). I move the

65th Legislative Day

June 29, 1985

House not recede from Senate Amendment... House Amendment #1 and ask that a Conference Committee be appointed. House... Senate Bill 158 created the County Historical Museum, and Senate Amendment... House Amendment was added which gave some definition as to how to dispose of property in case a museum organization were disbanded. There is some technical difficulties with it, and we wish to go to Conference Committee, at least, to correct those few minor details. And I..."

- Speaker Breslin: "The Gentleman refuses to recede from House Amendment #1 to Senate Bill 158. On the question, is there discussion? Hearing none, the question is, 'Shall the House refuse to recede to House Amendment &1 to Senate Bill All those in favor say 'aye', all those opposed 158?\* 'nay'. In the opinion of the Chair, the "ayes" have it, and the House refuses to recede from House amendment #1 to Bill Bill 165. Representative Senate 158. Senate Capparelli."
- Capparelli: "I move to recede from House Amendment \$1. The Act
  amends the registration of title and... and allows the
  registry of titles to omit the preparation of title
  certificates and related duplications. I move to recede
  from House Amendment \$1.00
- Speaker Breslin: "The Gentleman moves to recede from House Amendment #1 to Senate Bill 165. Is there any discussion? Hearing none, the question is, 'Shall the House recede from House Amendment #1 to Senate Bill 165?\* All those in favor vote 'aye', all those opposed vote 'no'. Voting is This is final action. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. this question, there are 108 voting 'aye', none voting 'no' and none voting 'present', and the House does recede Amendment #1 to Senate Bill 165. And this Bill, having

65th Legislative Day

June 29, 1985

received a Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. Senate Bill 200, Representative Braun. Out of the record. Senate 3ill 238 (sic - 239), Representative Flinn.

Flinn: "Thank you, Madam Speaker. Madam Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I would move to recede from House Bill (sic — Amendment) #1 from Senate Bill 239. This created quite a problem that we were not aware of in that it denies the... police training board two million dollars a year. And it was unintentional by the Sponsor, Representative O'Connell, and he's agreed to our receding on that Amendment."

Speaker Breslin: "Tell us what the original Bill did. Sir."

Flinn: "The original Bill is the one... You want to hear it again yourself, don't you? The original Bill was the one that permitted the traveling between 55 and 65, having to pay the fine but not being a reportable incident from the courts to the Secretary of State so that it does not become one of the three violations in one year."

Speaker Breslin: "The Gentleman moves to recede from House
Amendment #1 to Senate Bill 239. On the question, the
Gentleman from DeWitt, Representative Vinson."

Vinson: "Yes, Madam Speaker, will the Sponsor yield for a question?"

Speaker Breslin: "He will."

Vinson: "Representative, in effect, what we would be doing in this action is putting the Bill on the Governor's desk that says that if you're speeding ten miles over the limit it's not a moving violation and you can't get your license suspended? Is that correct?"

Flinn: "Well, you can't use one of those incidents as one of the three violations in a year."

Vinson: "Yes."

65th Legislative Day

June 29, 1985

Flinn: "It just takes out the reporting requirements in that area. It does not deal with anything under 55. It does not deal with the local thing that Representative O'Connell talked about. That's all it does now. It does not change the speed laws at all."

Vinson: "Doesn't change the speed laws. Just means... Just means that your license couldn't be suspended. Is that correct?"

Flinn: "That's correct."

Vinson: "Thank you."

Speaker Breslin: "The question... The Gentleman from Lake,

Representative Churchill, on the question."

Churchill: "Thank you, Madam Speaker. Will the Gentleman yield?"

Speaker Breslin: "He will yield."

Churchill: "Representative Flinn, as the Bill was originally proposed, the Secretary of State objected to the Bill. Has their position changed at all now that House Amendment #1 has gone on?"

Flinn: "I have not discussed it with them, but I would guess that it has not changed."

Churchill: "Thank you."

Speaker Breslin: "The question is, 'Shall the House recede House Amendment #1 to Senate Bill 239? All those in favor vote 'aye', all those opposed vote 'no'. Voting is open. This is final action. Representative Homer. voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this question, there are 84 voting 'aye', 28 voting 'no' and none voting 'present', and the House does recede from House Amendment #1 to Senate Bill 239. And this Bill, having received the Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. Senate Bill Representative Mautino. Tell us the Bill and the Amendment and why you wish to recede."

Mautino: "I'd be happy to, Speaker. You want my name, address,

65th Legislative Day

June 29, 1985

telephone number, Social Security number and dog tags right? Thank you very much, Ladies and Gentlemen of Senate Bill 309 in its original the House. Insurance Code to provide continuation of coverage under a group insurance policy shall not be available employee who is discharged because of the commission of a felony in theft with his or her work. House Amendment #1 provides for the continuation insurance coverage for employees or those companies... employees of companies that bankruptcy, reorganization under the bankruptcy law. Amendment #2 provided that same type of... continuation being unavailable to a person subject to court supervision in connection with an employee related offense. Amendment #1 and #2 were not my Amendments, and I move that we do recede in House Amendments 1 and 2 to Senate 309."

- Speaker Breslin: "The Gentleman moves that the House recede from
  Senate... from House Amendments #1 and 2 to Senate Bill
  309. On the question, the Gentleman from Cook,
  Representative Cullerton."
- Cullerton: "Yes. thank VOU. Madam Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. I would rise to concur with Representative Mautino's Motion. The Amendment that we are receding from is one that I asked to be put on Bill dealing with... provides a continuation employee group insurance coverage would not be available to an employee who... was discharged for the commission felony or theft in connection with his employment. And I felt that if that person received the... an order which is not a... not a guilty, if they're successful, I felt that they perhaps shouldnot be included. But the rest of the Bill did say that they either had to be convicted or admit a crime, and in... with regard t o

65th Legislative Day

June 29, 1985

supervision, you do admit the crime. So there would be some confusion in the law if we were to allow Amendment #2 to stay on. So I agree that we should recede from Amendment #2."

Speaker Breslin: "The Gentleman from Macon, Representative Tate.

Representative Tate."

Tate: "Thank you, Madam Speaker. Will the Sponsor yield?"

Speaker Breslin: "He will."

Tate: "Dick, I'm a little concerned now since Representative Cullerton has agreed to concur with your Motion on Amendment #2. I thought Amendment #2 provided, which was an agreed upon Amendment, which provided that such a terminated employee shall not be eligible for continued coverage if the employee admits that his commission of a felony or theft has resulted in a conviction. It that currently... We'll be able to do that in the language?"

Mautino: "Yes."

Tate: "Yes. Okay. Now, Amendment \$1... Explain Amendment #1 one more time for me real briefly."

Mautino: "Amendment \$1 says that the insurance, if I remember correctly..."

Tate: "You made an... I think you made... Amendment #1 makes an exception for employees with employers that went bankrupt?"

Mautino: "Yes. And we really can't do that because if you're in bankruptcy court, you don't have any insurance coverage anyway. So it was a moot question, Representative."

Tate: "Okay. That's fine."

Speaker Breslin: "The question is, 'Shall the House recede from House Amendments #1 and 2 to Senate Bill 309?' All those in favor vote 'aye', all those opposed vote 'no'. Voting is open. This is final action. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this question, there are 111 voting 'aye', I voting 'no'

65th Legislative Day

June 29, 1985

and none voting 'present', and the House does recede from Senate Amendments #1... House Amendments #1 and 2 to Senate Bill 309. And this Bill, having received the Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. Senate Bill 320, Representative Ronan."

- Ronan: "Thank you, Madam Speaker and Members of the House. I
  move to recede from House Amendment #2 to Senate Bill 320.

  It's an important concept we're establishing, cutting the
  unemployment workers' comp insurance cost by 50% for new
  manufacturers. This Amendment, I think, hurts the concept
  of the Bill, so I move to recede from House Amendment #2."
- Speaker Breslin: "The Gentleman moves to recede from House
  Amendment #2 to Senate Bill 320. And on the question, the
  Gentleman from Peoria, Representative Tuerk."
- Tuerk: "Well, Madam Speaker, Members of the House, this is the Amendment that I put on this Bill a week or so ago. This the 50% credit on work comp Bi11 relates to and unemployment insurance for new companies entering the entering the state. In and of itself, the concept is not a bad one; however, the Amendment that he's attempting to recede states that all companies would get the same treatment. And I think it's a valid point. I think those companies that have been here for years and years ought to get the same treatment as the new companies. I don\*t think we should discriminate; and, therefore, I would oppose the Gentleman's Motion."
- Vinson: "Thank you, Ladies and Gentlemen. Thank you, Madam
  Speaker. I, too, rise in opposition to the Gentleman's
  Motion. What the Gentleman's Motion would have the effect
  of doing is to put Illinois in the posture where it's
  discriminating against Caterpillar. We're discriminating

65th Legislative Day

June 29, 1985

against Chrysler. We're discriminating against Archer -Daniels and Staley's. We're discriminating against every employer in this state who has made a long-term commitment to this state. I f you care about employers in vour district who've made a long-term commitment to this state, who stuck with us in hard times as well as good times, then you've got to oppose the Gentleman's Amendment. For for a Roll Call vote on the T would ask reasons. Gentleman's Amendment."

Speaker Breslin: "The Gentleman from Fulton, Representative Homer."

Homer: Madam Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen. "Thank you, rise in support of the Gentleman's Hotion to recede House Amendment #2. The existing businesses in Illinois are going to benefit by this Bill. And the reason thev\*re going to benefit is because currently, firms such as Caterpillar and the other ones mentioned have employees on layoff status. Because of that, those employees are drawing unemployment insurance benefits. And as a result, Caterpillar and other similarly situated companies are paying higher payroll taxes. fact, a new company would come into Illinois as a result of οf inducement legislation which would create a tvpe minimum of 100 jobs, which is the threshold requirement. then that company would ostensibly employ those same unemployed workers who are now a drain upon existing manufacturers in the State of Illinois. Therefore, the would be reduced payroll taxes for those existing and they would benefit as well as ... as well as those unemployed employees who would be now put work in the new industries. With the Gentleman's Amendment Representative Tuerk's Amendment #2. what it really #2. does is say that all businesses that moved here since 1976

65th Legislative Day

June 29, 1985

and created at least 100 jobs would be eligible for this simply renders the rebate. That really entire concept ludicrous because there's no way that ít would bе implemented under those circumstances. So to vote for Amendment #2 would be simply to kill the Bill and to kill what is a good idea — to stimulate business in the State of Illinois. So I rise to support Representative Ronan would ask that you join in receding from House Amendment #2."

Speaker Breslin: "The Gentleman from Knox. Representative The Gentleman from Adams, Representative Mays." "Thank you very much, Madam Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen Mays: I rise in opposition to the Gentleman's the House. Motion to recede from this Amendment. As brought the discussions on this Amendment when we first adopted it. I think it was adopted almost unanimously if I recall. and this lessens the discrimination on Illinois businesses that have been here for... since 1976. And in my discussion, in my remarks on that Amendment when it was first proposed. said it probably doesn't go far enough. Indeed, the Sponsor of the Bill recognizes that workers comp costs and unemployment insurance costs do impede the formation of this state simply by the introduction of the Bill iohs itself. Ιt seems apparent to me that far constructive and less discriminatory manner of approaching this thing would be to simply attack the root cause of problems of workers' comp and unemployment insurance rather than applying grants to entice businesses to come here. slapping the face of those businesses that have stuck us through the hard times. For that reason, I oppose it." Speaker Breslin: "The question is, 'Shall the House recede House Amendment #2 to Senate Bill 320?\* All those in favor vote 'aye', all those opposed vote 'no'. Voting is open.

65th Legislative Day

June 29, 1985

a 1 1 voted This is final action. Have who wish? Representative Homer. Have all voted who wish? The Gentleman from Macon, Representative Tate, one minute t n explain your vote."

- Tate: "Madam Speaker, just in the event that this would receive the requisite number of votes, we'd like to verify this Roll Call."
- Speaker Breslin: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Ronan, one minute to explain your vote. Representative Ronan, one minute to explain your vote."
- Ronan: "Well, you know, I think that the debate has already been established. This is a Bill that the Senate Sponsor worked very hard on. I feel that this Amendment really distorts the concept that we tried to establish. I think that. vou we can use all the rhetoric we want. We know that the Department of Commerce and Community Affairs is going to have a five million dollar appropriation there, and this really distorts the concept that has been worked on and that's very legitimate if we want to put people back tο work here in the State of Illinois. So I urge a green vote so the Bill can be in the form that I and the Senate Sponsor want it to be in."
- Speaker Breslin: "The Gentleman from Will, Representative Regan, one minute to explain your vote."
- "Thank you, Madam Speaker, Members of the House. Regan: it very interesting that I proposed four Bills earlier in this Session that would clean up the language o f unemployment insurance and workmen's comp. not to the offense of the labor or the laborer but strictly to clean up the language of abuse, double payments that would, lower the cost of workmen's comp in this state. And now comes a Bill that admits the fact that that's a problem. four Bills were killed instantly in Committee, five minutes

65th Legislative Day

June 29, 1985

after a two hour wait. I don't understand now how... turn around and make every taxpayer in the state give grants to new businesses in this area. We should go to the root of the problem, as stated before, and reduce the cost of workmen's comp and unemployment insurance."

- Speaker Breslin: "Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this question, there are 54 voting 'aye', 60 voting 'no' and I voting 'present'. Representative Ronan. Okay, the Motion to recede fails. The next Bill on page ten on your Calendar is Senate Bill 328, Representative Wolf."
- Wolf: "Thank you, Madam Speaker. I move to nonrecede from House

  Amendment #1 to Senate Bill 328 and ask that a Conference

  Committee be appointed."
- Speaker Breslin: "Tell us what the Bill does and why you wish to refuse to recede."
- Wolf: "The Bill is an Amendment to the Vehicle Code under which
  the Secretary of State would have been empowered to issue
  handicapped license plates or decals to not-for-profit
  organizations. The House Amendment that we put on had the
  tendency to expand that authorization into areas that the
  Senate Sponsor did not approve of, and we would ask to work
  it out in Conference Committee."
- Speaker Breslin: "The Gentleman has moved to refuse to recede from House Amendment #1... this is House Amendment #1, Mr. Clerk, to Senate Bill 328. Is there any discussion? Hearing none, the question is, 'Shall the House refuse to recede from House Amendment #1?' All those in favor say 'aye', all those opposed say 'nay'. In the opinion of the Chair, the 'ayes' have it, and the House refuses to recede from House Amendment #1 to Senate Bill 328. Senate Bill 447, Representative Giorgi."
- Giorgi: "Madam Speaker, I move to refuse to recede from Amendment

65th Legislative Day

June 29, 1985

33... Senate Amendment... House Amendment #3 to Senate
Bill 447. This is DeAngelis' Bill that has to do with
self-employed and self-insured workmen's comp provider, and
it has to do with the filing of receipt by the attorneys.
But the people in the business tell me we forgot to take
care of one matter. They'd like us to go to a Conference
Committee Report so we could take care of that matter."

"The Gentleman has moved to refuse to... refused Speaker Breslin: from House Amendment #3 to Senate Bill 447. recede ۲s Hearing none, the there anv discussion? auestion \*Shall the House refuse to recede from House Amendment #3?\* All those in favor say 'aye', all those opposed say 'nay'. In the opinion of the Chair, the 'ayes' have it. House refuses to recede from House Amendment #3 to Senate Bill 447. Senate Bill 448, Representative Hastert. The Motion is to recede from House Amendment #1 to Senate Bill 448 and thus pass the Bill. Representative Hastert.

Hastert: "Refuse to recede."

- Speaker Breslin: "Okay, the Gentleman has moved to refuse to recede from House Amendment #1 to Senate Bill 448. Can you tell us why?"
- Hastert: "The... The Amendment we put on, Madam Chairman (sic Speaker), was an Amendment to put the Bill into Conference
  Committee. It's the final appropriate bonding authority
  for the GO bonds of the University of Illinois. You can't
  do that... We can't set that amount until we find out what
  the capital appropriation is."
- Speaker Breslin: "On the question of refusing to recede, is there any discussion? Hearing none, the question is, 'Shall the House refuse to recede from House Amendment #1 to Senate Bill 448?' All those in favor say 'aye', all those opposed say 'nay'. In the opinion of the Chair, the 'ayes' have it, and the House refuses to recede from House Amendment #1

65th Legislative Day

June 29, 1985

to Senate Bill 448. Senate Bill 505, Representative Peterson."

- "Thank you, Madam Speaker, Members Peterson: of the House. to recede from House Amendment 1 to Senate Bill 505. move The original Bi11 dealt with the boundaries ٥f municipalities and its inclusion in highway roads. The Amendment dealt with incorporation of areas that ad iac ent to municipalities who objected to their incorporation, and I so move to recede from House Amendment 1 to 505."
- Speaker Breslin: "The Gentleman has moved to recede from Amendment \$1 to Senate Bill 505. Is there any discussion? Hearing none, the question is, \*Shall the House recede from House Amendment 31 to Senate Bill 505?\* All those in favor vote 'ave', all those opposed vote 'no'. Voting is This is final action. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. there are 115 voting 'aye', none voting 'no' and none voting 'present', and the House does recede from House Amendment #1 to Senate Bill 505. And this Bill. having received the Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. The record should show for all those Motions where Members have refused to recede they have requested Committee, and a Conference Committee shall be Conference for those Motions. Senate Bi 11 appointed 513. Representative Keane. Out of the record. Senate Bill 521. Representative Terzich. Representative Terzich."
- Terzich: "Yes, Madam Chairman (sic Speaker) and Members of the House, I move that we recede from Amendment #3 to Senate Bill 521. Senate Bill 521 amended the... the manufacturing tax, and it extended to businesses on computers. What Amendment #3 did...."

Speaker Breslin: "Excuse me, Representative Terzich.

65th Legislative Day

June 29, 1985

Representative Vinson, for what reason do you rise?"

Vinson: "For a very unusual event. I'd like to introduce Speaker

Madigan to the Body."

Speaker Breslin: "Excuse me, Representative Terzich."

- Terzich: "They're probably playing, "Let's Make a Deal' anyhow so... What Amendment #3 did, which was offered by Representative Leverenz, was set up DCCA to make low interest loans to... to businesses in the State of Illinois. The Senate did not concur with that, and I make a Motion that we recede from Amendment #3."
- "The Gentleman moves to recede from House Speaker Breslin: Amendment #3 to Senate Bill 521. Is there any discussion? Hearing none, the guestion is, 'Shall the House recede from House Amendment #1 to Senate Bill 5... Excuse me, that's House Amendment #3 to Senate Bill 521? All those in favor vote 'ave', all those opposed vote 'no'. Voting is open. Have all voted who wish? This is final action. Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this question. there are 116 voting 'ave'. none voting 'no' and none voting \*present\*. and the House does recede from House Amendment #3 to Senate Bill 521. And this Bill, having received a Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. Senate Bill 560, Representative Wojcik. Representative Wojcik."
- Wojcik: "Yes, Madam Chairman (sic Speaker) and Members of the

  House, I refuse to recede from Senate Bill 560 and ask for

  a Conference Committee."

Speaker Breslin: "Tell us what the Bill does and why."

Wojcik: "The Bill takes... is an Act relating to increasing the tax rate for libraries by referendum. The Amendment corrected an error that was in reference to the correct levy figure, and there has to be some technical changes made on the Amendments."

65th Legislative Day

June 29, 1985

- Speaker Breslin: "The question is, 'Shall the House refuse tο recede from House Amendment #1 to Senate Bill 560?\* there any discussion? Hearing none. the question is. \*Shall the House recede from... Shall the House refuse to recede from House Amendment #1? All those in favor sav 'aye', all those opposed say 'nay'. In the opinion of the Chair, the 'ayes' have it, and the House refuses to recede from House Amendment #1 to Senate Bill 560. Senate Bill 651, Representative Parcells."
- Parcells: "Thank you. Madam Speaker. Senate Bill 651 amends the Illinois Vehicle Code. Provides that any driver under the influence of alcohol or other drug who is involved in motor vehicle accident that resulted in personal injury to person involved in such accident any other ٥r resulted in property damage in excess of 300 dollars shall be quilty of a Class 4 felony. The Amendment #1. which they have asked us to recede from, I would like to recede from. It was technically incorrect, and it was corrected in Amendment #2.
- Speaker Breslin: "The Lady moves to recede from House Amendment #1 to Senate Bill 651. Is there any discussion? Hearing none, the question is, 'Shall the House recede from House Amendment #1 to Senate Bill 651?' All those... The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Cullerton, on the question."
- Cullerton: "Yes, I didn't hear what the Amendment did."
- Speaker Breslin: "She indicated that... Excuse me.

  Representative Parcells."
- Parcells: "Thank you. The Amendment actually provided...

  Actually I think you and Representative McCracken worked on this. It provides that a person who, while under the influence, is involved in a motor vehicle accident which results in great bodily harm we added that language, or

65th Legislative Day

June 29, 1985

permanent disability or disfigurement while such violation is the proximate cause of such injuries to another shall be guilty of a Class 4 felony. And that was technically incorrect and so we amended it with Amendment 82."

Cullerton: "Who's Amendment was it?"

Parcells: "Beg your pardon?"

Cullerton: "Who's Amendment was it? Representative Hawkinson's

Amendment?"

Parcells: "Hawkinson."

Cullerton: "Okay."

Parcells: "That was correct."

Cullerton: "What do you think? Does he concur with this Motion to recede?"

Parcells: "Yes, he does,"

Cullerton: "Fine. Thank you."

Speaker Breslin: "The question is, "Shall the House recede from House Amendment #1 to Senate Bill 651?\* All those in favor vote 'aye', all those opposed vote 'no'. Voting is open. This is final action. Have all voted who wish? voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. question, there are 113 voting 'aye', none voting 'no' and none voting \*present\*, and the House does recede from House Amendment #1 to Senate Bill 651. And this Bill, received the Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared Bill 830, passed. Senate Representative Representative Tate."

Tate: "Out of the record."

Speaker Breslin: "Out of the record. Senate Bill 1004,
Representative Homer. Out of the record. Senate Bill
1064, Representative Saltsman."

Saltsman: "Thank you, Madam Speaker. I do not recede from Senate

Bill... Amendment 1064 (sic - 1) and would like for this
to go to a Conference Committee."

65th Legislative Day

June 29, 1985

Speaker Breslin: "Tell us why."

- Saltsman: "The Sponsor of the Amendment, Representative Bullock, is not satisfied with it, and he would like to come back for consideration."
- Speaker Breslin: "The Gentleman moves to refuse to recede from

  House Amendment \$1 to Senate Bill 1064. On the question,

  the Gentleman from Adams, Representative Mays."
- Mays: "Would the Sponsor of this Motion care to explain exactly what the House Amendment does that he refuses to recede in?"
- Speaker Breslin: "Representative Saltsman, on the question."
- Saltsman: "Yes, that's an Amendment where if an employer changes hands that the new owner and employer of that company is bound by the present labor contract of the employer that's selling the business."
- To the Motion, and you... you are... Your Mays: "Thank you. Motion is to refuse to recede from that Amendment? To the Madam Speaker. I would rise in opposition to the I believe that this topic has been debated times in this Assembly with mixed results. I think now's a good time to get another clear Roll Call on this issue to see where people really stand. T might add that the with the Education - Labor of the Bill dealt We adopted an Amendment Relations Boards tο Senate 588 which takes that out, so there is nothing redeeming about this Bill as it stands right now, and the best way to make it clean and absolutely clear would be to just recede from this Amendment and let it go its own merry way. So I would rise in opposition to this Motion. It would. effect, state that future employers buying businesses right now would have to honor the contracts, not just recognize the union, at the company they bought. I believe that is in conflict with federal law and federal court decisions on

65th Legislative Day

- June 29, 1985
- this question, and so I would... I believe that the House should recede.  $^{\prime\prime}$
- Speaker Breslin: "The Gentleman from Peoria, Representative

  Tuerk."
- Tuerk: "Madam Speaker, Members of the House, the previous speaker outlined the opposition to this quite well. This was the subject of a House Bill early in the Session which we defeated soundly. The fact that it is attached to this Bill doesn't make it any better. I think it is an idea whose time hasn't come yet, so I would urge you to vote 'no' on this Motion of Nonconcurrence."
- Speaker Breslin: "The Gentleman from Effingham, Representative

  Hartke."
- Hartke: "I move the previous question."
- Speaker Breslin: "The Gentleman moves the previous question. The question is, 'Shall the main question be put?' All those in favor say 'aye', all those opposed say 'nay'. In the opinion of the Chair, the 'ayes' have it, and the main question is put. Representative Saltsman, to close."
- Saltsman: "Yes, Madam Speaker, this is to protect employees rights from companies who purposely sell to other entities to break labor contracts. It's only three years. Three years is all they're bound by, and if they can't last for three years on a previous commitment to their employees, they shouldn't buy the company to start with. I ask for a favorable vote."
- Speaker Breslin: "The question is, 'Shall the House refuse to recede from Senate... from House Amendment \$1 to Senate Bill 1064?' All those in favor vote 'aye', all those opposed vote 'no'. Voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this question, there are 64 voting 'aye', 51 voting 'no' and none voting 'present'. Representative

65th Legislative Day

June 29, 1985

Mays, for what reason do you rise?"

Mays: "I would seek to verify."

Speaker Breslin: "Refusal to recede, you understand, only requires a simple majority."

Mays: "Okay. I'll withdraw that request."

Speaker Breslin: "The Gentleman withdraws his verification, and the House does refuse to recede from Senate Amendment...

House Amendment #1 to Senate Bill 1064. Senate Bill 1091,

Representative Panayotovich."

Panayotovich: "Could we take this out of the record for about two minutes."

Speaker Breslin: "Out of the record. Senate Bill 1129.

Representative Young, Anthony Young."

Young: "Thank you. Madam Speaker. Ladies and Gentlemen of I move that the House recede from House Amendment House. #1 to Senate Bill 1129. Senate Bill 1129 is the Bill creates the Minority Control and Female Control Business Loan Board. It was passed out of this House and has wide support throughout the state. The Amendment we placed on it merely changes the board to a program. It had a technical defect, but because of its importance through the people throughout the state its supporters do not want to see it in a Conference Committee: and, therefore, T that we remove the Amendment and send it to the Governor's desk."

Speaker Breslin: "The Gentleman moves to recede from House Amendment #2 to Senate Bill 1129. Is there any discussion? Hearing none, the question is, "Shall the House recede from House Amendment #2 to Senate Bill 1129?" All those in favor vote 'aye', all those opposed vote 'no'. Voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this question, there are 75 voting 'aye', 39 voting 'no' and none voting

65th Legislative Day

June 29, 1985

\*present\*, and the House does recede from House Amendment #2 to Senate Bill 1129. And this Bill, having received the Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. Senate Bill 1345, Representative Curran. Representative Curran. Out of the record. Senate Bill 1388, Representative Woodyard."

- Woodyard: "Thank you, Madam Speaker. I would move to recede from House Amendments #1, 2, 3 to Senate Bill 1388-The underlying Bill is a Department of Public Aid workfare simply codifies what the at their request. that Department is presently doing in job programs. The Senate Sponsor has asked that the three Amendments be removed. One is rather ambiguous in that it just says that cannot force a person on GA or public aid in these workfare to participate where there is no program. that's not needed. Senate (sic - House) simply changes wording from 90 days to three months for the eligibility, period. So that's not really needed. House Amendment #3 is a previous Bill by Representative 1479. that totally changes the Bill and removes the flexibility of public aid to comply with some ٥f the And so I would move to recede from guidelines. federal those."
- Speaker Breslin: "The Gentleman has moved to recede from House

  Amendments #1, 2 and 3 to Senate Bill 1388. And on the
  question, the Gentleman from Cook, Representative White."
- White: "Madam Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I would strongly resist the Gentleman's move to remove Senate... Senate (sic House) Amendments 1, 2... House Amendments 1, 2, and 3 from Senate Bill 1388. The Bill came out of my Committee, and it was with the understanding that these three Amendments be attached to the Bill in order for the Bill to be voted out. And I think that it's

65th Legislative Day

June 29, 1985

unfair on the part of the Gentleman across the aisle to take this action at this time, and I would ask this Body to join with me in resisting his move to recede from Amendments 1, 2 and 3."

- Speaker Breslin: "There being no further discussion.

  Representative Woodyard to close."
- Woodyard: "I simply ask for a favorable Roll Call on my Motion to recede."
- Speaker Breslin: "The question is, 'Shall the House recede from House Amendments \$1. 2 and 3 to Senate Bill 1388?\* A11 those in favor vote \*aye\*, all those opposed vote is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. this there are 53... 52 voting 'aye', 63 voting 'no' question. and none voting 'present', and that Motion fails. Representative Woodyard."
- Woodyard: "Thank you, Madam Speaker. Then I would now move to refuse to recede from Senate... House Amendments 1, 2 and 3 and ask that a Conference Committee be appointed."
- Speaker Breslin: "The Gentleman moves to refuse to recede... The Gentleman moves to refuse to recede on House Amendments 1. 2 and 3 to Senate Bill 1388. All those in favor say 'aye', all those opposed say 'nay'. In the opinion of the Chair, \*ayes\* have it, and the House does refuse to recede to House Amendments #1, 2 and 3 to Senate Bill 1388, Conference Committee will be appointed. Representative Dunn, we skipped over a Bill of yours earlier on this Call. Do you wish to have it called, Senate Bill 91? Out of the Going back now, with leave of the Body, to House Bill 1091, Representative Panayotovich."
- Panayotovich: "Thank you, Madam Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. I refuse to recede from Senate (sic — House) Amendments. We put this Amendments on in the House to make

65th Legislative Day

- June 29, 1985
- the Bill worthwhile, putting on a court order, and I refuse to recede and ask for a Conference Committee."
- Speaker Breslin: "The Gentleman refuses to... moves to refuse to recede from House Amendments #1 and 2 to Senate Bill 1091.

  On that question, the Gentleman from Cook, Representative Pedersen."
- Pedersen: "Yes, Madam Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the
  House. Representative, is this the... the Bill that over
  in the Senate they said they were going to put mandatory
  insurance... auto insurance on in the Conference
  Committee?"
- Panayotovich: "If the Senate said it, I wasn't knowledgeable of it. It could be. I was gone yesterday in the afternoon, and I wasn't on the House floor. This Bill had to do with the suspension of licenses, and the Amendments we put on were good Amendments. And I think they should stay on it, and that's why I want a Conference Committee set up. And what's done with it then after that, I don't know."
- Pedersen: "Well, one of those Amendments required IDOT to report

  to the Governor and the General Assembly on the

  effectiveness of a mandatory seat belt law and..."
- Panayotovich: "That's correct."
- Pedersen: "...saving lives. But in as much as the... we're going
  to have the law, it doesn't really matter that much, does
  it?"
- Speaker Breslin: "Representative Panayotovich in response to a question."
- Panayotovich: "Representative Cullerton wants to..."
- Speaker Breslin: "Representative Cullerton."
- Cullerton: "Yes, perhaps, I can answer the Gentleman's point.

  The Amendment #2, which was put on by Representative

  Curran, would require the Department of Transportation to

  conduct a survey and report the findings to the Governor

65th Legislative Day

June 29, 1985

and the General Assembly on the effectiveness of the seat belt law. If the seat belt law... If the studies show that there were problems with the seat belt law, that people weren't following the law or that... Representative, are you... Representative, are you paying attention?"

Pedersen: "Yes."

Cullerton: "I'm trying to answer your question concerning Senate

Amendment #2. You don't care about the Amendments?"

Speaker Breslin: "Excuse me. Representative Cullerton, you're not the Sponsor of this Bill. Does anyone else choose to speak on the issue?"

Pedersen: "Yes, Madam Speaker, I'm not... I'm not finished."

Speaker Breslin: "Okay. Representative Pedersen, do you have any other further questions of Representative Panayotovich?"

Pedersen: "Representative, would... would you guarantee to us
that the mandatory car insurance Bill would not be put on
this in Conference?"

Speaker Breslin: "Representative Panavotovich."

Panavotovich: "No. Sir."

Pedersen: "Well, to the Motion, Madam Speaker."

Speaker Breslin: "Proceed."

Pedersen: "It... It was said on the Senate floor that this was going to be a vehicle for mandatory auto insurance. think we know what this is about. The legislation has had more than a fair hearing. We've already gone on record once. It looks like now that we're going to try to be strung out on it least a couple of more times. Conference Committee Reports can carry negative vibes. House Bill 800 failed to pass even when we had ample time to consider it. Now we go to smoke filled rooms so we can fashion to slip it in between other big ticket items, like Build Illinois, education reform, McCormick Place, cetera. I would recommend to the House that we not go

65th Legislative Day

June 29, 1985

along with the... with the Representative's Motion."

Speaker Breslin: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Shaw."

Shaw: "Thank you, Madam Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. I concur with Representative Pedersen in terms of this Bill. We've tried... They want to send a lot of Bills to. Conference Committee. We'll be here until all... all next week probably, and hopefully we won't be here that long. If we... If we don't take the action that the Gentleman is asking for this Bill and hopefully we will not go along with this... with this Motion here."

Speaker Breslin: "The Gentleman from Macon, Representative Tate," Tate: "Thank you, Madam Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen House. I think it should be clear that the Senate Sponsors have already indicated that this will be the vehicle for mandatory insurance. If you... I know many of the Members right now are concerned about having their Bills heard. getting the different Conference Committee Reports out And if we are, in fact, going to attempt to adjourn on time this year. I can guarantee all the Members of chamber that mandatory insurance will take up several hours debate on this House floor as well as the Senate floor. This is a possible vehicle for not only mandatory insurance but for seat belts. Now if you want to go through that process. that entire debate again, I... I would encourage you to vote for that. If you feel like dealt with that issue, that it's gone through the chamber, that we don't need to debate those issues, you should Ьe I rise in opposition to the Gentleman's votina \*no\*\* Motion, and I hope that it's clear that this is what the intent that the Sponsors are attempting to do. ask the Speaker for a Roll Call on this vote."

Speaker Breslin: "The Gentleman from Madison, Representative Wolf."

65th Legislative Day

June 29, 1985

- Wolf: "Madam Speaker, I move the previous question."
- Speaker Breslin: "The Gentleman has moved the previous question.

  The question is, 'Shall the main question be put?' All those in favor say 'aye', all those opposed say 'nay'. In the opinion of the Chair, the 'ayes' have it, and the main question is put. Representative Panavotovich to close."
- Panayotovich: "I just refuse to recede and ask for your 'aye'...
  favorable vote."
- Speaker Breslin: "The question is, "Shall the House refuse to recede in House Amendments #1 and 2 to Senate Bill 1091?"

  All those in favor vote "aye", all those opposed vote "no".

  Voting is open. Representative Davis, one minute to explain your vote."
- Davis: "Well, thank you, Madam Speaker. I rise in support of the Gentleman's Motion for the simple reason that this is going to be a vehicle for mandatory insurance. I'm sure of it, I'm sure that everybody else here is. This issue is divided in our Membership and divided in your Membership. the clarion clear call is there. nevertheless. this House endorsed mandatory insurance. The I think they ought to have a chance to consider it again, as I think we should. The seat belts is another issue. If it's on it, it's on it, and I guess we'll cross that when we come to it. And it will even further muddy up the water for those that oppose either one or the other. So let's take a shot at it. Let's get the Gentleman's Motion concurred."
- Speaker Breslin: "The Gentleman from Will, Representative Regan, one minute to explain your vote."
- Regan: "Thank you, Madam Speaker. I would just advise a 'no'
  vote on this. I was in the Senate at the time of debate,
  and there was no question about it that this is the Bill
  for mandatory auto. And I'm tired of talking about it, and

65th Legislative Day

June 29, 1985

I don't want to talk any more. Thank you."

- Speaker Breslin: "The... Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this question, there are 55... 56 voting 'aye', 59 voting 'no' and none voting 'present'.

  Representative Panayotovich asks for a Poll of the Absentees."
- Clerk O'Brien: "Poll of the Absentees. DeLeo. Krska and Nash.

  No further."
- Speaker Breslin: "Representative Panayotovich, your Motion has failed. Do you have any further Motions?"
- Panayotovich: "Verification of the negative, please."
- Speaker Breslin: "Representative Tate, for what reason do you rise?"
- Tate: "Well, Madam Speaker, have you already announced the Roll
  Call on this and called the Bill?"
- Speaker Breslin: "There's been a request for a Poll of the...

  verification of the negative."
- Tate: "If that's the case, then I would... although I think you've already announced the Roll Call which would then would declare the verification out of order, but... If you pursue the verification, then I would ask that if he does knock off the requisite number of 'no' votes to verify the affirmative. And we can spend an hour and two hours on verification."
- Speaker Breslin: "Representative Tate... Representative Johnson, for what reason do you rise?"
- Johnson: "Could I have leave to be verified?"
- Speaker Breslin: "Okay. Representative Johnson. think Representative Panayotovich is verifying the negative, you'll have to speak to Representative Tate about verifying Representative White affirmative. changes his vote from 'aye' to 'no'. Representative Stern changes her from "no" to 'aye'. Representative Saltsman changes his

65th Legislative Day

June 29. 1985

vote from 'no' to 'aye'. Representative Capparelli changes 'no' to 'ave'. Representative Mulcahev his vote from changes his vote from 'no' to 'aye'. What is the count, ₩oicik changes Mr. Clerk, now? Representative her vote 'aye' to 'no'. Representative DeLeo wishes to be recorded as voting 'ave'. Representative Harris changes... Excuse me. Representative Cowlishaw."

- Cowlishaw: "Madam Speaker, would you please change my vote from 'yes' to 'no'."
- Speaker Breslin: "The Lady changes her vote from 'aye' to 'no'. what... what's the vote? Representative Panayotovich, there are 58 voting 'aye' and 58 voting 'no', so I quess a verification is appropriate on both sides, but we will start with you since you requested the verification first. Would you poll the negative. Mr. Clerk? Representative Stange, Representative Stange. vou\*re voting 'no'. Would you turn on Representative Stange?"

Stange: "Put me now to "yes" please."

- Speaker Breslin: "The Gentleman changes his vote from 'no' to 'aye'. There are, therefore, 59 voting 'aye' and 58 voting 'no'... 57 voting 'no'. So there is a verification requested by Representative Tate. So now we will proceed with the verification of the affirmative. Proceed, Mr. Clerk."
- "Barnes. Bowman. Capparelli. Clerk O'Brien: Christensen. Countryman. Cullerton. Curran. Currie. Daniels. Davis. Deuchler. Didrickson. Dunn. Farley. Del eo. Gialio. Hoffman. Giorgi. Greiman. Hensel. Homer. Johnson. Keane."
- Speaker Breslin: "Representative Ryder, for what reason do you rise? The Gentleman asks leave to be verified, Representative Tate, and that is granted."
- Clerk O'Brien: "Kirkland. Klemm. Kubik. Kulas. Laurino.

65th Legislative Day

June 29, 1985

Leverenz. Levin. Matijevich. Mautino. McCracken.

McGann. McNamara. McPike."

- Speaker Breslin: "Representative Countryman, for what reason do you rise? The Gentleman asks leave to be verified, Representative Tate, and that is granted. Representative McCracken has asked leave to be verified. Will you grant that to Representative McCracken? And that is granted. Representative McAuliffe, for what reason do you rise?"
- McAuliffe: "To change my vote from 'no' to 'aye'."
- Speaker Breslin: "The Gentleman changes his vote from 'no' to 'aye', Representative McAuliffe. Representative Hallock changes his vote from 'no' to 'aye'. Representative Ronan, for what reason do you rise?"
- Ronan: "Madam Speaker, I'd like leave for Kulas and me to be verified."
- Speaker Breslin: "Representative Tate, Representative Kulas and Representative Ronan ask leave. That is granted. Proceed, Mr. Clerk."
- Clerk O'Brien: "Mulcahey. Oblinger. O'Connell. Panayotovich.

  Pangle. Phelps. Preston. Ronan. Ropp."
- Speaker Breslin: "Excuse me. Representative Hallock, for what reason do you rise?"
- Hallock: "I ask leave to be verified, please."
- Speaker Breslin: "Leave for Representative Hallock. That is granted."
- Clerk O'Brien: "Ryder. Saltsman. Satterthwaite. Stange.

  Steczo. Stern. Sutker. Van Duyne. Vinson. Weaver.

  Williamson. Wolf. Woodyard. And Mr. Speaker."
- Speaker Breslin: "Do you have any questions of the affirmative, Sir? Representative Tate."
- Tate: "Representative..."
- Speaker Breslin: "Excuse me. Representative Barnes asks leave to be verified. Representative Barnes. That is granted."

65th Legislative Day June 29, 1985

Tate: "Representative Curran."

Speaker Breslin: "Representative Curran is in his chair."

Tate: "Representative Currie."

Speaker Breslin: "Representative Currie is by her chair.

Representative McNamara asks leave to be verified, and that
is granted."

Tate: "Representative Cullerton."

Speaker Breslin: "Representative Cullerton is in the chamber."

Tate: "Representative Keane."

Speaker Breslin: "Representative Keane is in his chair."

Tate: "Representative Preston."

Speaker Breslin: "Representative Preston. Is the Gentleman in the chamber? Representative Preston. Remove him from the Roll Call. Representative Preston has returned to the chamber. Add him to the Roll Call."

Tate: "Representative Greiman."

Speaker Breslin: "Representative Greiman. Representative Greiman. Is the Gentleman in the chamber? Remove him."

Tate: "Representative Deuchler."

Speaker Breslin: "Representative Deuchler. Representative

Deuchler. Is the Lady in the chamber? Remove her."

Tate: "Representative Giorgi. There he is. There's Zeke."

Speaker Breslin: "The Gentleman is in the chamber."

Tate: "Representative Weaver."

Speaker Breslin: "Representative Heaver. Is the Gentleman in the chamber? Representative Heaver. He is not. Remove him."

Tate: "Representative Giglio."

Speaker Breslin: "Representative Giglio is in the chamber."

Tate: "Representative Williamson."

Speaker Breslin: "Representative Williamson is in the chamber."

Tate: "Representative Mulcahey."

Speaker Breslin: "Representative Mulcahey is in the chamber."

Tate: "Representative Hoffman."

65th Legislative Day

June 29, 1985

Speaker Breslin: "Representative Hoffman. Representative Hoffman. Is the Gentleman in the chamber? He is not.

Remove him. Representative Weaver has returned to the chamber. Add him to the Roll Call."

Tate: "Representative Bullock."

Speaker Breslin: "Representative Stange asks leave to be..."

Tate: "No, I don't want to verify Bullock. I want...

Representative Levin."

Speaker Breslin: "Representative Tate, Representative Stange has asked leave to be verified. Can be be verified? He can.

And who was your last question?"

Tate: "I have several more questions. I have Representative Homer."

Speaker Breslin: "Representative Homer. Representative Homer.

He is in the chamber."

Tate: "Okay. Representative Levin."

Speaker Breslin: "Representative Levin is in his chair."

Tate: "Representative... No, I... Representative Bowman."

Speaker Breslin: "Representative Bowman is in his chair."

Tate: "Madam Speaker, I verified Representative Greiman. I
understand that he's in the Governor's Office right now on
another matter. I would... I guess would not like to
knock him off if that's the case."

Speaker Breslin: "Okay. Representative Greiman will be added to the Roll Call as voting "aye"."

Tate: "Representative Ropp."

Speaker Breslin: "Representative Ropp is in the chamber."

Tate: "Representative Van Duyne."

Speaker Breslin: "Representative Van Duyne is in his chair."

Tate: "Madam Speaker, what's the current Roll Call right now?"

Speaker Breslin: "Have you finished with your verification, Sir?

Have you finished with your verification?"

Tate: "No, I haven't. I'd just like a count if you could give me

65th Legislative Day

June 29, 1985

a count."

Speaker Breslin: "We will announce the Call as soon as we've finished with the verification."

Tate: "Okay. Representative Farley."

Speaker Breslin: "Representative Farley. Representative Farley.

The Gentleman is not in the chamber. Remove him."

Tate: "Representative Nash."

Speaker Breslin: "Representative Nash. The Gentleman is not voting."

Tate: "Representative DeLeo."

Speaker Breslin: "Representative DeLeo is in the chamber."

Tate: "Representative Brunsvold."

Speaker Breslin: "We've already added you to the Roll Call,
Representative Greiman. Representative Brunsvold. The
Gentleman is in the chamber, and he voted 'no'."

Tate: "Oh, he did? Okay. Okay. That's... We've exhausted our Roll Call, Madam Speaker."

Speaker Breslin: "Mr. Clerk, what's the count? On this question, there are 58 voting 'aye' and 55 voting 'no', so the Motion to refuse to recede is adopted. And the Gentleman has requested a Conference Committee, and a Conference Committee shall be appointed. Ladies and Gentlemen, with leave of the Body we'd like to go back to a few Bills that were passed over on the same Order on Nonconcurrence. On page nine on your Calendar appears Senate Bill 17, Representative DeLeo. Representative, tell us what the Bill does, what the Amendment does and why you wish to recede from the Amendment."

DeLeo: "Thank you, Madam Speaker, Members of the House. I'm refusing to recede... the Amendment..."

Speaker Breslin: "Do you wish to refuse to recede?"

DeLeo: "Yes. Ma.am."

Speaker Breslin: "Okay. The Gentleman moves to refuse to recede

65th Legislative Day

June 29. 1985

from Senate Amendment... House Amendment \$1 to Senate Bill
17. And on that question, the Gentleman from Cook,
Representative Cullerton."

Cullerton: "Yes, I move the previous question."

- Speaker Breslin: "That's not necessary, Representative. The question is, 'Shall the House refuse to recede from House Amendment #1 to Senate Bill 17? All those in favor sav all those opposed say 'nay'. In the opinion of the Chair, the 'ayes' have it, and the House refuses to from House Amendment #1 to Senate Bill 17. Senate Bill 97. Representative Hawkinson. Oh, yes, on all of these Bills where Members have refused to recede, Conference Committee will be appointed. Representative Hawkinson."
- Hawkinson: "Thank you, Madam Speaker. We discussed this Bill earlier. I've discussed it with Representative Cullerton, and I would renew my Motion that we... the House recede from House Amendment &1 to Senate Bill 97. Briefly the original Senate Bill dealt with the statute of limitations involving minor victims of sex crimes committed in the same household. The original Senate Bill had a period of the... the victim's minority plus one year. Our House Amendment added three... three years plus minority, and I would move to recede from House Amendment #1."
- Speaker Breslin: "The Gentleman moves to recede from House Amendment #1 to Senate Bill 97. Is there any discussion? Hearing none, the question is, \*Shall the House recede from House Amendment #1 to Senate Bill 97?\* All those in favor 'aye', all those opposed vote 'no'. vote Voting is open. This is final passage. Have all voted who wish? Have al 1 voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. question, there are 115 voting 'aye', none voting 'no' none voting 'present'. And the House does recede from House Amendment #1 to Senate Bill 97. And this 3111.

65th Legislative Day

June 29. 1985

having received a Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. Senate... Representative Preston, for what reason do you rise? Representative Preston wishes that the Journal shall indicate that he... had he voted he would have preferred to have voted 'aye'. He would have voted 'aye'. Senate Bill 320, Representative Ronan. Representative Homer on the Motion."

- Homer: "Thank you, Madam Speaker. I'm a hyphenated Cosponsor of the Bill, and with leave of the Body will handle the Motion. I would at this time move to refuse to recede from House Amendment #2 to Senate Bill 320. This..."
- Speaker Breslin: "The Gentleman has moved to refuse to recede now from House Amendment #2 to Senate Bill 320. It's a Bill we discussed previously. Ts have there anv further discussion? Hearing none, the question is, •Shall the House refuse to recede from Senate Bill 320? All those in favor say 'aye', all those opposed say 'nay'. opinion of the Chair, the 'ayes' have it, and the refuses to recede from... from Amendment #2... Amendment #2 to Senate Bill 320. The next Bill is... requests a Conference Committee. On all of these, it is assumed that a Conference Committee is requested, and a Conference Committee will be appointed. On... The next Bill is Senate Bill 830, Representative Tate."
- Tate: "Yes, Madam Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, 1 would like to refuse to recede and... on House Amendment #1 to Senate Bill 830. The Senate refused to concur with the Amendment that we put on. And at the present time Senate Bill 830 is the incentive program for the lodge... several different lodges that this House adopted last year, and I'd like to send this to a Conference Committee to work out the differences between the Senate and the House."

Speaker Breslin: "The Gentleman has moved to refuse to recede

65th Legislative Day

June 29, 1985

from House Amendment #1 to Senate Bill 830. Is there Hearing none. the question is. 'Shall the House refuse to recede from House Amendment 21? All those in favor say 'aye', all those opposed say 'nay'. Īη the opinion of the Chair, the 'ayes' have it, and the House refuses to recede from House Amendment #1 to Senate Bill 830. a Conference Committee will be appointed. and Representative Keane, for what reason do you rise?"

Keane: "Yes, I'd like to make a Motion on Senate Bill 513."

Speaker Breslin: "Senate Bill 513, Representative Keane, on the Order of Nonconcurrence."

Keane: "Thank you, Madam Speaker. I would move to refuse to recede from Amendment #1 to Senate Bill 513 and ask... ask that a Conference Committee be appointed."

Speaker Breslin: "Can you give us the reason?"

Keane: "Yes. We're using this as a vehicle Bill."

Speaker Breslin: "Okay. The Gentleman has moved to recede... moved to refuse to recede from House Amendment #1 to Senate Bill 513. Is there anv discussion? Hearing none. question is. \*Shall the House refuse to recede on House Amendment #1?\* All those in favor say 'ave', all opposed say 'nay'. In the opinion of the Chair, the 'ayes' have it. and the House refuses to recede from House Amendment #1 to Senate Bill 513. And a Conference Committee is requested. One shall be appointed. and Gentlemen, we will now go to the Order of Concurrences, appropriation matters only. The first Bi11 is Representative Currie's Bill. It appears on page two on your Calendar, House Bill 143, Representative Currie."

Currie: "Thank you. Madam Speaker and Members of the House. This
is the appropriation Bill for the substantive Bill 142 that
has now passed both chambers. That Bill creates a program
of direct state support for public radios and reaffirms our

65th Legislative Day

June 29. 1985

commitment of direct state support for public television stations. The Senate Amendment to House Bill 143 increases the appropriation to a sum total of 5.6 million dollars. I'm happy to answer your questions, and I would appreciate your support."

- Speaker Breslin: "The Lady moves to concur in Senate Amendments
  #1 and 2 to house Bill 143. Is there any discussion? The
  Gentleman from DuPage. Representative McCracken."
- McCracken: "Is the net effect of both Amendments to increase the appropriation as it passed out of the House?"
- Speaker Breslin: "Representative Currie."
- Currie: "Thank you. The total appropriation under the Amendment adopted by the Senate is 5.6 million dollars. That's a little higher than the Bill was when it left the House."
- McCracken: "Okay. Well, to the ... to the Motion to concur. opposition to the Motion to concur. HB 142 I rise in should never have passed, and certainly HB 143 with appropriation even greater than that contemplated by the We're talking house should not pass. about usina taxpayers money, taken under the force of law, for the use of some public television stations because apparently their ability to raise money is in jeopardy or because someone wants to give 'em money. The days of the king being the patron of the arts has passed us by. Hе live in a democracy today. So let's move into the new era. they want to raise money, let's let them do it on their own. I strongly object to a concurrence."
- Speaker Breslin: "The Gentleman from DeWitt, Representative Vinson."
- Vinson: "Yes, Madam Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, there are a lot of things that it is important that this State Government adequately fund education, certainly among them. We still have not dealt with funding education

65th Legislative Day

June 29, 1985

and yet we're talking about funding public radio and public television. Now in the past, I have at times been an advocate for funding public radio and public television because they are useful things. But they are useful things to the wealthiest members of this society. It is a very elitist approach to say we're going to fund public radio and public television before we're going to fund education. I don't think this General Assembly should go on record in that fashion. If we're going to take care of education, that's one thing. But until we know that we're going to take care of education, we need not be taking care of public radio and public television and the elitist part of this society. I urge a 'no' vote on the concurrence."

- Speaker Breslin: "The Gentleman from Madison, Representative Wolf."
- Wolf: "Madam Speaker, I move the previous question."
- Speaker Breslin: "The Gentleman moves the previous question. The question is, 'Shall the main question be put?' A11 favor say 'aye'... All those in favor vote 'aye', those opposed vote 'no'. Voting is open. Have all voted Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this question, there are 66 voting \*ave\*. 47 voting \*00\* and none voting \*present\*, and the Motion The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Levin."
- Levin: "I think the last speaker was totally wrong when he said that public television and radio is for the elite. It is just the opposite. It is really the one opportunity for the average citizen and the average child, who may not be able to afford cable television or other kinds of education, to be able to get exposure to a lot of good, wholesome educational programs. I know my children have watched 'Sesame Street'and 'Mr. Rogers' and an awful lot of tremendous programs which have been highly educational and

65th Legislative Day

June 29, 1985

have done an awful lot to help prepare them for school. I think it's a very good investment. I think it's a high priority. I've gotten a lot of mail from my constituents as to the importance of this legislation, and I urge an 'aye' vote for concurrence to Senate Amendment #2 to House Bill 143."

Speaker Breslin: "The Gentleman from Kendall, Representative Hastert."

Hastert: "Thank you, Madam Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the
House. I just reiterate some of the arguments that we've
talked about... We would like to put this Bill in
Conference Committee and..."

Speaker Breslin: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Piel."

Piel: "Thank you, Madam Speaker. Correct me if I'm wrong. She wants to concur with these Amendments, correctly?"

Speaker Breslin: "Yes, she does."

"Mr.... Madam Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I Piel: think, you know, we're sitting in a situation where public radio and television stations today have fund raisers. They work strictly at the ... you know, not strictly but the majority of their funds come from public contributions. They are an asset to the community, but at times, you know, in these last couple of days, we have to come to, you know, decisions which lots of times aren't the most popular. He have to sit here and make decisions whether, you know, whether monies are going to be used for education, or they're going to be used for public aid, or whether they're going to be used for public radio stations. We're talking about a 2.6 million dollar increase in what we from the House. We're talking about, you know, 5 million dollars right now that this Bill has been increased. have always been a strong advocate of public radio and television stations in the State of Illinois, but I think

65th Legislative Day

June 29, 1985

we are establishing a very, very bad precedent. Because if you think that the 5 million dollars will stop this year, wait till next year. It will be 10 million, and then it is going to get bigger and bigger. Nothing in State Government usually gets smaller. But at the present time, I think we should send this to Conference Committee, try and work out the problems. Bring this down to a reasonable figure if we're going to bring it down. And so I would ask for a 'no' vote at the present time."

Speaker Breslin: "The Gentleman from Lake, Representative Matijevich."

Matijevich: "Madam Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I notice in this Session there's been some legislation to get at, you know, quote, 'obscenity' and cable TV And I think some of the same Members that and what not. don't support this support for public television are those that have that type of legislation in. I think that we see what happens on public television in some of the documentaries and the programs, this is the type of program that you like to see. I think that we have... have a strong commitment to public television, and we can show that strong commitment by supporting these Amendments. And I feel that that ought to come from that side οf the So, I would urge the Members, surely on this aisle also. side of the aisle, to support Representative Currie in Motion."

Speaker Breslin: "The Gentleman from Will, Representative Davis."

Davis: "Well, thank you, Mr. Speaker... or Madam Speaker, I apologize. I have no quarrel with supporting public radio, and I happen to believe that Channel 11 in Chicago produces some of the finest documentaries, some of the finest public television around, except for one small thing. Channel 11 is very prone to experiment with... now we're talking about

65th Legislative Day

June 29. 1985

public policy monies monies tax going into experimentation that Channel 11 does. And I've Seen in prime time on Channel 11 frontal nudity, some of the most vile Anglo-Saxon profanity that I hear around here But nevertheless, I don't think it's a good idea that the taxpayers of this state fund that kind... that programming in prime time. The argument is, well, you can shut it off, and that's true. You can shut it off. as you can cable and any other thing that's offensive to you. Rut nevertheless. now we're talking about funding public dollars as a public policy of everybody's tax dollars that kind of thing on public television. And I hesitate to raise the issue, because I think most of their fine. And we're not in the censorship programming is business. I suppose they ought to be able to do they want to do in public television, but they ought not to use my tax dollars because I object to it or any other conservative person in this General Assembly, use their tax dollars. And I think we ought to defeat this and strip out the public television at least. I have no quarrel with the radio portion."

Speaker Breslin: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Preston."

Preston: "Thank vou. Madam Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. I rise in support of this Motion to concur Senate... Senate Amendment #2. Public broadcasting brings us excellence in media that we can't otherwise find, at least not to the extent we find it and the regularity with which we find it, in the public broadcasting area. It's an excellent idea use tax dollars to fund broadcasting, to encourage public broadcasting. encourage even experimentation in programming in the public sector, to give some encouragement to people who produce 65th Legislative Day

June 29, 1985

and put together these programs that in totality are far in excess in decency in quality than to what we find of the other commercial networks. And at least that's true the regularity with which we find that kind of far as programming on the regular networks. We need this funding to show that we can ... we support this kind of programming. And the previous speaker talked about his... the offense he takes at seeing frontal nudity. Well, most of us when we get out of the shower see that and our minds haven't vet withered up and gone astray. So, I think... I think that doesn't make much sense. And I think we should this Motion."

Speaker Breslin: "The Lady from Cook, Representative Pullen." "Thank you, Madam Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the Pullen: Houses few weeks ago I was rather surprised when a public radio station in Illinois to hear a listening to campaign for funds being conducted using such personalities Jimmy Carter, and then half an as hour later Jane Fonda, endorsing the idea οf donating to the cause of public radio. I can understand with such tremendous advocates... such popular advocates as those why public radio feels they need tax money, since they hardly be able to raise individual contributions with that kind of campaign. But I still think that it's wrong. we\*re not here to do all things for all people and all businesses in Illinois. We are here to do only that which is absolutely necessary for government to do, and the money that we appropriate here is not our money. It is money that is taken from the working men and women of we should be careful stewards of that money in spending it only on those things that are absolutely necessarv. those things that people cannot better do for

may be reluctant

themselves, although they

tο

tο

qive

65th Legislative Day

June 29, 1985

something that Jimmy Carter and Jane Fonda endorse, yet they do have that opportunity, and that freedom, and that right. And we ought to be, if we believe in this cause, urging people to make those donations. This government does not exist as a charitable institution, or at least it shouldn't, because the monies that go into this government at not voluntary. They are extorted from the people by force, and because of that we should be using them only for the very essentials that they would approve of themselves. I urge a 'no' vote on this concurrence Motion. Thank you."

Speaker Breslin: "The Gentleman from St. Clair, Representative Flinn."

Flinn: "Enough is enough. I move the previous question."

Speaker Breslin: "The Gentleman moves the previous question. The question is, 'Shall the main question be put?' All those in favor say 'aye', all those opposed say 'nay'. In the opinion of the Chair, the 'ayes' have it, and the main question is put. Representative Currie, to close."

Currie: "Thank you. Madam Speaker and Members of the House. think the issue has been well debated. I would remind a11 you that the state statutes already provide for a program of direct state support to public television. substantive accompaniment to House Bill 143 extends that kind of support to public radio. The money we're talking about here is not in fact big bucks. The program was unfunded after the financial crunch in 1981. We're talking these days about building Illinois. about educating Illinois. Ţ can't imagine a better way to make that commitment a real commitment than to see to it that a trifling sum, a little money, on making sure that our cultural institutions. our public radio and public television stations, those that give balanced programming, those that offer, for example, on Channel 11 1ast week.

65th Legislative Day

June 29, 1985

opportunities for Assistant Minority Leaders in this chamber to view... to be seen statewide explaining their views on a variety of public issues. I can't see a better way that we could make a commitment Illinois. to build build the cultural and educational institutions of the state then to vote "ves" on the Concurrence Motion on House Bill 143."

- Speaker Breslin: "The question is, "Shall the House concur in Senate Amendments #1 and 2 to House Bill 143?" All those in favor vote 'aye', all those opposed vote 'no'. Voting is open. The Gentleman from Jersey, Representative Ryder, one minute to explain your vote."
- Ryder: "Thank you, Madam Chairman. I appreciate the opportunity to explain my vote. We're not talking about little dollars We're talking about 5.6 million dollars, and that's money that's coming out of education. That's money out of the other projects that are worthv. coming Additionally. those of us in southern Illinois get our education TV from out of the state, from St. Louis. public We're not going to get these dollars. And finally I think that those TV stations or those radio stations should obligated to the State of Illinois for their continuing welfare and their continuing dollars because if ever anyone is going to filter the kinds of things that they thev're going to be beholding to the state and that's wrong."
- Speaker Breslin: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Ronan, one minute to explain your vote."
- Ronan: "It doesn't look like it's necessary, Hadam Chairman. But after listening to some of the rhetoric from the other side of the aisle, I'm amazed. This is one of the few really productive things we can do for the people of the State of Illinois. Public TV provides a tremendous opportunity for

65th Legislative Day

June 29, 1985

education. It's really the technology that we have to be looking at. In a Session of education reform, we should be on any method of educating not only young people, but all the citizens of the State of Illinois. This is a very important appropriation and makes a lot of sense, and I urge 118 green votes. Thank you very much.

- Speaker Breslin: "The Gentleman from Knox, Representative

  Hawkinson, one minute to explain your vote."
- Hawkinson: "Thank you... Thank you, Madam Speaker. I'm tempted to vote for this because it is a very productive thing.

  I'm a strong supporter of public television and public radio. But I would urge you who are voting green to take a look at the possibility that you're being shortsighted and to ask yourself how long after government takes over paying for these programs will government start controlling the content of what we're listening to and what we're watching.

  And I would urge a red vote."
- Speaker Breslin: "The Gentleman from Marion, Representative

  Dwight Friedrich, one minute to explain your vote."
- Friedrich: "Madam Chairman. Members of the House. I wonder why somebody isn't sponsoring public newspapers too. We'd just as well get into the business real good, and we can call them Pravda or Tass or whatever. And someone suggested that this is really an educational matter. What can we to help education? We can put this five and a half million dollars into the education fund and probably do a lot more for education then we're going to do this way. You might... you downstate people might be reminded that 60 percent of this money is going to Chicago i f you think you're going to get the benefit of it."
- Speaker Breslin: "The Gent... the Lady from Kane, Representative

  Zwick, one minute to explain your vote."
- Zwick: "Thank you, Madam Speaker. I think I'd simply like to

65th Legislative Day

June 29, 1985

remind some of you, after the points that have been made earlier, that the alternative to state funding of broadcasting. since there is not enough money in the private sector to fund it at the appropriate level for the true quality television that we need, would be to go... for by commercials and by public broadcasting to be funded corporations ehich would leave it no longer the kind of quality impartial programming that we're talking about. Public broadcasting should be funded partly by the state. It should be free to remain impartial. And the only way to is to... for them to not be totally dependent on big business and corporations so that they can influence kind of programming that's on there. The state should fund it so that it will remain impartial. Thank you."

- Speaker Breslin: "The Lady from DuPage, Representative Cowlishaw."
- you, Madam Speaker, Ladies Cowlishaw: "Thank... thank and Gentlemen of the House. Public education needs would hope that I will always stand in that position. There are certain educational aspects to But I would remind you of something, it's a television. good thing that the dialogue on the television stations and does not have to be read. If it was written on the screen, you would find there is an appalling number young people in this state who cannot read. And we are taking money away from reading programs in the public schools to support public television. You should be ashamed."
- Speaker Breslin: "The Gentleman from Kendall, Representative Hastert, for what reason do you rise?"
- Hastert: "Just ask if this receives the appropriate number, I would like to verify."
- Speaker Breslin: "The Gentleman from McLean, Representative Ropp,

65th Legislative Day

June 29, 1985

one minute to explain your vote."

"Thank you. Madam Speaker. I have probably received Ropp: many supporters on this issue as anything. But I come from district that is strong in education, and I too strongly And until we have really addressed the problem support it. that deals with education in our colleges and in our elementary and secondary levels, I'm not willing to support this yet. Public television and public radio should certainly be supported more by the public rather than t a government get involved. We have talked all Session about government becoming too involved in many This is certainly another opportunity that we programs. can stav out of."

Speaker Breslin: "The Gentleman from Adams, Representative Mays, one minute to explain your vote."

you very much, Madam Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen Mays: "Thank of the House. I think the point that the Appropriation has been trying to make is that we are... we may not have any problem with funding public television. It's just a... the question as to what level we should be doing it. Now, obviously we've gone from zero dollars this proposal 5.6 million as suggests. There might be some... you know the question is, is that too much, is that enough? The Spokesman has stated that he would like to see this Bill go to Conference so we can discuss the level funding and see how it all fits into the total budget pie as we try to cut it up in these last few days. So, I would urge a 'no' vote and express that this measure should bе debated a little bit further and should go to Conference Committee."

Speaker Breslin: "Representative Dunn, one minute to explain your vote."

Dunn: "Thank you, Madam Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the

65th Legislative Day

June 29, 1985

We shouldn't lose sight of the fact that everytime House. we turn on public television or turn on public radio now we hear that they have fund raising activities much, much more than they used to. The reason is that the have enjoyed in the past have been subsidies which thev That's why we're here today. We're here greatly reduced. to keep these stations on the air. The Federal Government thought it was a good idea, support them it to begin subsidies are declining more and more. Those Those stations need the money. And on behalf of the stations mvace all the stations across the State of Illinois. especially on behalf of HSSR here in Springfield and HILL Radio and Television in Champaign-Urbana, I proudly rise in support of this legislation."

Speaker Breslin: "Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this question, there are 69 voting 'aye', none voting... 44 voting 'no', and 3 voting 'present'. And this Bill, having received the Constitutional... Excuse me, Representative Hastert asked for a verification. Do you still wish to pursue that? He does. Representative Currie wishes for a Poll of the Absentees. Poll the absentees, Mr. Clerk."

Clerk O'Brien: "Poll of the Absentees. DeJaegher and Oblinger."

Speaker Breslin: "Poll the affirmative."

- Clerk O'Brien: "Alexander. Berrios. Bowman. Braun. Breslin.
  Brookins. Brunsvold. Bullock. Capparelli. Christensen.
  Cullerton."
- Speaker Breslin: "Representative Keane asks leave to be verified.

  That is granted."
- Clerk O'Brien: "Curran-Currie. Deleo. Dunn. Farley. Flinn. Giglio. Giorgi. Greiman. Hannig. Flowers. Hartke. Hicks. Homer. Huff. Johnson. Keane. Kirkland. Krska. Kulas. taurino. Leflore. Leverenz. Levin. Matijevich.

65th Legislative Day

June 29, 1985

Mautino."

Speaker Breslin: "Representative Homer asks leave to be verified.

Representative Hicks and Brookins ask leave to be verified.

Is it granted? It is granted."

Clerk O'Brien: "McGann. McNamara. McPike. Nash. O'Connell. Panavotovich. Pangle. Phelps. Preston. Rea. Rice. Richmond. Ronan. Saltsman. Satterthwaite. Shaw. Soliz. Steczo. Stern. Terzich. Slater. Sutker. Turner. Van Duyne. Vitek. Washington. White. Wolf. Anthony Young. Wyvetter Younge. Zwick. And Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Breslin: "Do you have any questions of the affirmative.

Representative Hastert?"

Hastert: "Yes, Representative..."

Speaker Breslin: "Representative Shaw asks leave to be verified.

Excuse me. Representative Shaw."

Shaw: "I want to vote 'no'."

Speaker Breslin: "Representative Shaw is changing his 'aye' vote to 'no'. Do you have any questions?"

Hastert: "Yes, can I have the count now, please?"

Speaker Breslin: "There... that would be 68 voting 'aye"."

Hastert: "Thank you. Representative Braun."

Speaker Breslin: "Representative Braun. The Lady is in the back of the chamber."

Hastert: "Representative Bullock."

Speaker Breslin: "Representative Bullock. Representative Bullock. Is the Gentleman in the chamber? Remove him."

Hastert: "Representative DeLeo."

Speaker Breslin: "Representative DeLeo. Representative DeLeo.

Is the Gentleman in the chamber? Remove him."

Hastert: "Representative Farley."

Speaker Breslin: "Representative Farley. The Gentleman is not in the chamber. Remove him."

Hastert: "Representative Giglio."

65th Legislative Day

June 29. 1985

Speaker Breslin: "Representative Giglio is in his chair."

Hastert: "Representative Alexander."

Speaker Breslin: "Excuse me. I can't hear you."

Hastert: "I'm sorry. Representative Berrios."

Speaker Breslin: "Representative Berrios is in his chair.

Representative DeLeo has returned to the chamber. Add him to the Roll Call. You are verified now."

Hastert: "Representative Huff."

Speaker Breslin: "Representative Huff. Representative Huff. The

Gentleman is not in the chamber. Remove him from the Roll

Call."

Hastert: "Representative Johnson."

Speaker Breslin: "Representative Johnson. Representative

Johnson. The Gentleman is not in the chamber. Remove him from the Roll Call."

Hastert: "Representative Laurino."

Speaker Breslin: "Representative Laurino is in the chamber."

Hastert: "Representative McGann."

Speaker Breslin: "Representative McGann is in the chamber."

Hastert: "Representative Nash."

Speaker Breslin: "Representative Nash is in his chair."

Hastert: "Representative Soliz."

Speaker Breslin: "Representative Soliz."

Hastert: "Yes."

Speaker Breslin: "Representative Soliz. The Gentleman is not in the chamber. Remove him."

Hastert: "Representative Terzich."

Speaker Breslin: "I'm sorry, I can't hear you."

Hastert: "Representative Terzich."

Speaker Breslin: "Representative Terzich. Representative

Terzich. Is the Gentleman in the chamber? He is not.

Remove him from the Roll Call."

Hastert: "Representative Young."

65th Legislative Day June 29, 1985

Speaker Breslin: "Representative Young."

Hastert: "Anthony."

Speaker Breslin: "Anthony Young is in the chamber."

Hastert: "Representative Matijevich."

Speaker Breslin: "Representative Matijevich is in the chamber."

Hastert: "Representative Richmond."

Speaker Breslin: "Representative Richmond is in his chair."

Hastert: "Did I ask Representative Hicks? I didn't hear it

Speaker Breslin: "No, you did not. Representative Hicks. He was given leave to be verified. Representative Terzich has returned to the Roll."

Hastert: "Could we have a count, please?"

Speaker Breslin: "Add him to the Roll Call. Representative

Terzich has returned. You have completed your questions,

Representative Hastert?"

Hastert: "Yes."

Speaker Breslin: "Representative Bullock has returned, and Representative Soliz has... have both returned. Add them to the Roll Call. Representative Piel, for what reason do you rise?"

Piel: "Madam Speaker, how am I recorded?"

Speaker Breslin: "You're recorded as voting 'no'."

Piel: "Fine. Thank you very much."

Speaker Breslin: "There are 65 voting "aye", 44 voting 'no', and

3 voting 'present'. Representative Hawkinson, for what
reason do you rise?"

Hawkinson: "Madam Speaker, how am I recorded?"

Speaker Breslin: "You're recorded as voting 'no'."

Hawkinson: "Thank you."

Speaker Breslin: "The Gentleman from Lee, Representative Olson, for what reason do you rise?"

Olson: "Madam Speaker..."

65th Legislative Day

June 29, 1985

Speaker Breslin: "You're recorded as voting 'no'."

Olson: "Thank you."

Speaker Breslin: "Representative Parcells, for what reason do you rise?"

Parcells: "Am I recorded as 'no', Madam Speaker?"

Speaker Breslin: "Yes, you are."

Parcells: "Thank you."

Speaker Breslin: "And this Bill, having received the Constitutional Majority... the House does concur in Senate Amendments #1 and 2 to House Bill 143. And this Bill. having received the Constitutional Majority, is hereby The next Bill is House Bill declared passed. 418. Representative Capparelli. Out of the record. 529, Representative Ronan."

Ronan: "Yes, Madam Speaker, I move to nonconcur in Senate

Amendment #1 to House Bill 529. The Senate made a mistake,

and we're going to go to a Conference Committee to correct
that mistake."

Speaker Breslin: "The Gentleman moves to nonconcur in Senate

Amendment #1 to House Bill 529. On the question, the

Gentleman from DeWitt. Representative Vinson."

Vinson: "Madam Speaker, will the Sponsor yield for..."

Speaker Breslin: "He will."

Vinson: "What was the nature of the mistake that the Senate made?"

Ronan: "Yes, Representative Vinson, they... they had a drafting error it appears to me."

Vinson: "Well, what was the nature of that drafting error?"

Ronan: "Well, the appropriation that we sent over calls for 5 million dollars, and the appropriation they sent back called for a dollar. So, I assume it's just a drafting error that we're going... He were going to clear it up in Enrolling and Engrossing, but we decided to do it through a

65th Legislative Day

June 29, 1985

Conference Committee Report."

Vinson: "Representative, is it my understanding that if... if you were to concur in this you would save the state 4,999,000 dollars then?"

Ronan: "The way it looks to me, this is just a technical error that came out of the Senate and rather than go to Enrolling and Engrossing, we'll do it in Conference Committee."

Vinson: "Madam Speaker, to the Bill."

Speaker Breslin: "Proceed."

Vinson: "I would oppose the Gentleman's Motion. I would ask for a Roll Call, and I would urge the Assembly to look at this carefully. If we vote 'no' on the Gentleman's Motion, it's perhaps the one time in the course of the Session that on a single vote you'll have the opportunity to save the people of Illinois 4,999,999 dollars. It's a unique opportunity, and I would urge a 'no' vote."

Speaker Breslin: "There being no further discussion the question is, 'Shall the House nonconcur in Senate Amendment #1 Bill 529?\* All those in favor vote 'aye', all those opposed vote 'no'. Voting is open. Have all voted wish? Have all voted who wish? This takes a simple majority to pass. Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this question, there are 64 47 voting \*no\*, 2 voting 'present'. the Motion... Representative Braun wishes to vote 'ave'. There are 65 voting 'ave', 47 voting 'no', and 2 voting \*present\*. And the House does nonconcur i n Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 529. And a Conference Committee House Bill 530. will be appointed. Excuse Mr. me. Clerk, would you... Messages from the Senate."

Clerk Leone: "A Message from the Senate by Hr. Wright, Secretary.

'Mr. Speaker, I am directed to inform the House of
Representatives the Senate has refused to concur with the

65th Legislative Day

June 29, 1985

House in the adoption of the Amendments to the following Bills; Senate Bills 480, 134, 145, 167, 174, 175, 403, 449, 452, 459, 460, 464, 568, 470, 473, 475, 476, 483, 387, 458, 472, 467, and 453, action taken by the Senate June 28, 1985. Kenneth Wright, Secretary.\*\*

Speaker Breslin: "A Calendar announcement."

Clerk Leone: "Supplemental 1 to the House Calendar is now being distributed."

Speaker Breslin: "House Bill 530, Representative Leverenz."

- Leverenz: "Thank you, Madam Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the
  House. I'd move to concur with Senate Amendment 1 to House
  Bill 530. It makes a reduction of 80,800 dollars to the
  ordinary and contingent expenses of the State Board of
  Elections. I ask for your 'aye' vote."
- Speaker Breslin: "The Gentleman moves to concur in Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 530. Is there any discussion? Hearing none the question is, 'Shall the House concur in Senate Amendment @1 to House Bill 530? All those in favor vote 'aye', all those opposed vote 'no'. Voting is This is final passage. Have all voted who wish? voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this question, there are 116 voting 'ave', none voting 'no', and none voting 'present'. And the House does concur in Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 530. And this Bill, having received the Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared House Bill 569. Out of the record. House Bill 583. Representative Woodvard."
- Woodyard: "Thank you, Madam Speaker. I would move to concur with

  Senate Amendments 1, 3, and 4 on the Secretary of State's

  OCE. The Senate Amendment #1 reduced the appropriation

  Bill by 1,189,000. Senate Amendment 3 was a technical

  change only. And Senate Amendment 4 restored 617,800

  dollars to the appropriation. And I would move to concur."

65th Legislative Day

June 29, 1985

- "The Gentleman moves to concur in Speaker Breslin: Amendments #1. 3. and 4 to House Bill 583. Is there any discussion? Hearing none the question is. \*Shall the House concur in Senate Amendments #1, 3 and 4 to House Bill 5837 All those in favor vote 'ave', all those opposed vote 'no'. is open. This is final action. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the On this question, there are 110 voting 'aye', 3 record. voting 'no', and none voting 'present'. And the House does concur in Senate Amendments #1. 3. and 4 to House Bill 583. And this Bill, having received the Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. House Bill 641, Representative Richmondan
- Richmond: "Thank you, Madam Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. I move to concur with Senate Amendment \$1 to House Bill 641. The Bill originally... this is just a technical language Amendment that the Bill originally had it... the funding coming out of GRF, and it restores that to read Capital Development Fund. I ask for favorable consideration."
- Speaker Breslin: "The Gentleman has moved to concur in Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 641. Is there any discussion? none the question is, 'Shall the House concur in Senate Amendment @1 to House Bill 641?\* All those in favor vote 'aye', all those opposed vote 'no'. Voting This is final passage. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this there are 109 voting 'aye', 4 voting 'no', and 1 guestion. voting 'present'. And the House does concur in House Bill 641. And this Bill, having Amendment #1 to received the Constitutional Majority. is hereby declared House Bill 651, Representative Barnes." passed.

Barnes: "Take that out of the record for the moment."

65th Legislative Day

June 29, 1985

Speaker Breslin: "Out of the record. House Bill 652,

Representative Barnes."

Barnes: "I would like to take my appropriation Bills, all of them, out of the record for just a moment, please."

Speaker Breslin: "Very good. He're skipping down to House Bill 659, Representative Hastert."

Hastert: "Take it out of the record."

Speaker Breslin: "Explain who the appropriation... okay. Out of the record. House Bill 660, Representative Hastert."

Hastert: "Out of the record."

Speaker Breslin: "Out of the record. On page 4 on your Calendar, appears House Bill 661, Representative Hastert."

Hastert: "Out of the record."

Speaker Breslin: "House Bill 663, Representative Hastert."

Hastert: "Out of the record."

Speaker Breslin: "House Bill 664, Representative Hastert."

Hastert: "Out of the record."

Speaker Breslin: "House Bill 665. Representative Barnes has all of the rest of them. Do you still want those out of the record, Representative Barnes? Okay. House Bill 665, 666, 667, 668, and 669, and 6... 670, and 671 are out of the record. Representative Hastert, 672? Out of the record. House Bill 673, Representative Barnes. Out of the record. The Lady wants all of her Bills out of the record. House Bill 674. Out of the record. House Bill 676. Out of the record. Representative Hastert on 678."

Hastert: "And 679 an 683 out of the record, please."

Speaker Breslin: "You wish them all out of the record. 678, 679, and 683. Out of the record. House Bill 693, Representative Cullerton. Excuse me. Representative Leverenz, for what reason do you rise?"

Leverenz: "Now, Speaker, I have my name as a hyphenated Chief Sponsor."

.65th Legislative Day

June 29, 1985

Speaker Breslin: "Representative, proceed."

Leverenz: "I would move to concur with Senate Amendment #1. It reduces the appropriation by a million dollars, from 3 million down to 2 million."

Speaker Breslin: "The Gentleman has moved to concur in Senate

Amendment &1 to House Bill 693. Is there any discussion?

The Lady from Cook, Representative Pullen."

Pullen: "Since this is final passage, I wonder whether the Gentleman would explain to the House what the Bill is."

Speaker Breslin: "Representative Leverenz."

Leverenz: "I'm very sorry I didn't do that. It's the State's
Attorney Appellate Service Commission, that which we
discussed the substantive Bill yesterday, and it goes to
Cook County and for appellate services by the state's
attorney."

Pullen: "Did you say this is an appropriation to the Cook County

State's Attorney's Office for their Appellate Division?"

Leverenz: "It goes through the County Board, and it's the reimbursement for appellate services."

Pullen: "And that's for Cook County, right?"

Leverenz: "That is correct."

Pullen: "And this Amendment would leave the Bill in final form at 2 million dollars?"

Leverenz: "That's correct."

Pullen: "Thank you."

Speaker Breslin: "The Gentleman from DeWitt, Representative

Vinson, on the question."

Vinson: "Yes, Madam Speaker, we took 5 million dollars away from education a few minutes ago and put it into public radios.

Now, we're proposing to take 2 million dollars away from education and to give it to Richie Daley. I think that's a mistake. The people of this state, whether they're farmers, whether they're black,

65th Legislative Day

June 29, 1985

white, whether they're whether they're suburbanites, whether they're downstaters, or whether they're Chicagoans, want to properly fund education. Now, you can't properly if you're not going to put the money into education fund And you're not going to put the money into it i f you're going to spend it on everything else willy-nilly that everybody has got a good idea for. If you're going to spend it on education, then you're not going to spend it on these other things. Here's a good test of whether you really care about education. I would urge a 'no' vote."

Speaker Breslin: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative

Cullerton."

"Yes. vou. Hadam Speaker and Cullerton: Thank Ladies and Gentlemen the House. This is a Bill which we have debated in the past and which we have overwhelmingly The action of the Senate was to reduce... was to reduce the appropriation by a million dollars. you voted to appropriate the money for 3 million and voted against appropriating only 2 million, it seems to that that would be somewhat of an inconsistent vote. Now. the fact of the matter is that all other counties ara tο receive the services for free. The state already pays for This is just an effort to reimburse the County for the same expenses. The Senate reduced the amount of money by a million dollars. The total is 2 million. has nothing to do with taking money away from education. that's... I think... I don't... I can't imagine anyone And really believing that. I talked to many Republicans this Bill who have come from Cook County, and I think that That to the extent that the I made my point. fair share of money from the state, there aets it's will be less of an intent... intentions on their part raise their taxes. And the County of Cook when they need 65th Legislative Day

June 29, 1985

money they can't raise an income tax, so they raise the tax. And they raise sin taxes. And those adversely affect those of you who represent the collar part of Cook which generally speaking tend to be Republicans. County So, I did go on and talk to all the Republicans from Cook I pointed out to them that this is something which affect of definitely helping would have the constituents. And it's not an unfair or unjust request. It's a request that... that says that we should be treated the same way as the other... the other counties.

Speaker Breslin: "The question is. "Shall the House concur in Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 693?\* All those in favor vote 'ave'. all those opposed vote 'no'. Voting is onen. This is final passage. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. Ωn this there are 64 voting 'aye', 49 voting 'no', and question, none voting 'present'. Representative Pullen, for reason do you rise? Representative Pullen."

Pullen: "I request a verification please. Madam Speaker."

Speaker Breslin: "The Lady requests a verification.

Representative Leverenz requests a Poll of the Absentees.

Representative Roman, for what reason do you rise?"

Ronan: "Madam Speaker, I want leave to be verified."

Breslin: "May the Gentleman have leave, Representative Speaker Pullen? Yes, you may have leave. Representative requests to be voted 'aye'. Stern. Representative Laurino asks leave to be verified. Does the Gentleman have leave? Laurino. Yes. The Gentleman has leave. Proceed. Mr. Clerk."

Clerk Leone: "Poll of the Absentees. DeJaegher."

Speaker Breslin: "Excuse me. Representative Huff and Shaw ask leave to be verified. May they be verified, Representative Pullen? Yes. Yes, they have leave. Proceed, Mr. Clerk."

65th Legislative Day

June 29, 1985

Clerk Leone: "DeJaegher."

- Speaker Breslin: "Representative LeFlore now asks leave to be verified. May he be verified? Yes. Yes, the Gentleman has leave. Proceed. Representative Levin asks leave to be verified. May the Gentleman have leave? Yes, he may have leave. Proceed, Mr. Clerk."
- Clerk Leone: "Poll of the Absentees. DeJaegher. Satterthwaite.
  Wait. Wyvetter Younge."
- Speaker Breslin: "Wyvetter Younge votes 'aye'. Poll of the
- Clerk Leone: "Poll of the Affirmative. Alexander. Berrios. Braun-Brookins. Bullack. Capparelli. Bowman. Cullerton. Curran-Currie. Christensen. Del eo-Dunn. Farley. Flinn. Flowers." Didrickson.
- Speaker Breslin: "Representative Braun asks leave to be verified and Representative Younge, Hyvetter Younge, ask leave to be verified. May they be verified? Representatives Braun and Younge. Just nod your head. May they be verified? Yes. I said Wyvetter Younge. Yes, they may be verified. You have leave. Proceed. Mr. Clerk."
- Clerk Leone: "Continuing with the Poll of the Affirmative. Hannig. Gialio. Giorgi. Greiman. Hicks. Johnson. Keane. Kirkland. Krska. Kubik. Kulas. LeFlore. Leverenza levin. Matijevich. Laurino. Mautino. McAuliffe. McGann. McNamara. McPike."
- Speaker Breslin: "Representative Didrickson, for what reason do you rise?"
- Didrickson: "Please record my 'aye' vote to be a 'no' vote,
  please."
- Speaker Breslin: "The Lady changes her vote from 'aye' to 'no'.

  Representative Didrickson. Proceed, Mr. Clerk."
- Clerk Leone: "McNamara. McPike. Nash. O'Connell.

  Panayotovich."

65th Legislative Day

June 29, 1985

Speaker Breslin: "Representative... Excuse me. Representative

Brookins asks leave to be verified. They have leave."

Pullen: "Representative Brookins?"

Speaker Breslin: "Yes."

Pullen: "Yes."

Clerk Leone: "Continuing with the Poll of the Affirmative. Panavotovich. Piel. Preston. Rea. Regan. Rice. Saltsman. Shaw. Soliz. Steczo. Richmonda Ronan. Sutker. Terzich. Turner. Van Duyne. Vitek. Washington. White. Williamson. Wolf. Anthony Younga Wyvetter Younge. And Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Breslin: "Do you have any questions of the Affirmative Roll?"

Pullen: "What is the count please, Madam Speaker?"

Speaker Breslin: "Mr. Clerk. There are 66 voting 'aye' and 50 voting 'no'."

Pullen: "Thank you. Representative Bullock."

Speaker Breslin: "Representative Bullock. Representative Bullock. Is the Gentleman in the chamber? Remove him from the Roll Call. The Gentleman has returned to the chamber.

Add him to the Roll Call."

Pullen: "Is Representative Currie here?"

Speaker Breslin: "Excuse me, the Clerk corrects the count. There were only 65 voting 'aye'."

Pullen: "Thank you. Representative Currie."

Speaker Breslin: "Representative Currie. Representative Currie.

The Lady is not in the chamber. Remove her."

Pullen: "Representative Dunn. Oh, I see him."

Speaker Breslin: "Representative Dunn is in the chamber."

Pullen: "Representative Farley."

Speaker Breslin: "Representative Farley. Is the Gentleman in the chamber? Representative Farley. Remove Representative Farley's vote."

65th Legislative Day June 29, 1985

Pullen: "Representative Greiman."

Speaker Breslin: "Representative Greiman. Representative Greiman is in the Governor's Office as previously stated on the floor. Representative... Excuse me."

Pullen: "I'm wondering why he's voting that, Madam Speaker."

Speaker Breslin: "Because he wished to be recorded as voting 
'aye'. Representative Currie has returned to the chamber.

Add her to the Roll Call. Representative Greiman has been 
removed from the Roll Call."

Pullen: "Representative Hicks."

Speaker Breslin: "Representative Hicks. Representative Hicks.

How is the Gentleman recorded?"

Clerk Leone: "The Gentleman is recorded as voting 'aye'."

Speaker Breslin: "Remove him."

Pullen: "Representative Johnson."

Speaker Breslin: "Representative Johnson. Representative

Johnson. Is the Gentleman in the chamber? He is not.

Remove him from the Roll Call."

Pullen: "Representative Keane."

Speaker Breslin: "Representative Keane. Representative Keane.

Is the Gentleman in the chamber? He is not. Remove him from the Roll Call. Representative Greiman has returned to the chamber. Add him to the Roll Call."

Pullen: "I'm sorry, Madam Speaker, I did not hear you about Representative Keane because Representative..."

Speaker Breslin: "He was removed from the Roll Call. Proceed."

Pullen: "Thank you. Representative Kulas."

Speaker Breslin: "Representative Kulas. Representative Kulas is in the chamber."

Pullen: "Representative Mautino."

Speaker Breslin: "Representative Mautino is in the chamber."

Pullen: "Representative Curran."

Speaker Breslin: "Representative Curran. Representative Curran.

65th Legislative Day

June 29. 1985

Is the Gentleman in the chamber? Add him to the Roll Call.
Representative Sutker, for what reason do you rise?"

Sutker: "Verified leave."

Speaker Breslin: "Representative Sutker asks leave to be verified. Does he have leave?"

Pullen: "Yes, but, Madam Speaker, when you talked about Representative Curran, did you find him in the chamber?

You indicated that he should be added to the Roll Call, and he's on it. If he's not on the Roll Call... in the chamber..."

Speaker Breslin: "Excuse me. The Gentleman is not in the chamber. Remove him from the Roll Call."

Pullen: "Thank you."

Speaker Breslin: "And Representative Sutker has asked leave to be verified. Does he have leave?"

Pullen: "I gave him that leave."

Speaker Breslin: "He has leave."

Pullen: "Representative..."

Speaker Breslin: "Representative Dunn and Bowman ask for the same courtesy."

Pullen: "Representative Dunn has already been verified, and Representative Bowman will have leave."

Speaker Breslin: "Representative Bowman has leave."

Pullen: "May I proceed?"

Speaker Breslin: "Proceed."

Pullen: "Representative Rea."

Speaker Breslin: "Representative Rea is in his chair."

Pullen: "Representative Richmond."

Speaker Breslin: "The Gentleman is in his chair."

Pullen: "Representative Satterthwaite."

Speaker Breslin: "The Lady is in her chair."

Pullen: "Representative..."

Speaker Breslin: "And is not voting besides."

65th Legislative Day

June 29. 1985

Pullen: "Oh, I'm sorry. Excuse me. Representative Steczo."

Speaker Breslin: "The Gentleman is in his chair."

Pullen: "Representative Tony Young."

Speaker Breslin: "The Gentleman is in his chair."

Pullen: "Representative Hannig."

Speaker Breslin: "Representative Hannig. Representative Hannig.

Is the Gentleman in the chamber? He is not. Remove him.

Representative Keane has returned to the chamber. Add him to the Roll Call."

Pullen: "No further questions."

Speaker Breslin: "Representative Curran has returned to the chamber. Add him to the Roll Call."

Pullen: "No further questions, Madam Speaker."

Speaker Breslin: "The Lady has no further questions.

Representative Hannig has returned to the chamber. Add him
to the Roll Call. On this question, there are 62 voting
'aye', 50 voting 'no', and none voting 'present'. And the
House does concur in Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 693.

And this Bill, having received the Constitutional Majority,
is hereby declared passed. House Bill 721, Representative
Leverenz."

Leverenz: "Am I the Sponsor?"

Speaker Breslin: "You're a hyphenated Sponsor."

Leverenz: "On House Bill 721, the appropriation for the Office of the State Appellate Defender. I would move to concur with Senate Amendment #1 and Senate Amendment #2. Senate Amendment #1 reduces the appropriation by 124,100 in General Revenue. And Senate Amendment #2 restores 35,400 in personal services, and 62,100 for the hiring lag and turnover, and the appropriate amount for retirement and Social Security. I now move to concur in Senate Amendment #1 and 2 on House Bill 721."

Speaker Breslin: "The Gentleman moves to concur in Senate

65th Legislative Day

June 29, 1985

Amendments #1 and 2 to House Bill 721. Is there any discussion? The Gentleman from Marion, Representative Friedrich."

Friedrich: "A question, Madam Speaker."

Speaker Breslin: "State your question."

Friedrich: "Representative Leverenz, my readout here says that #2 reduces contractual services. You said it increases it?"

Leverenz: "Mine doesn't."

Speaker Breslin: "The question is, 'Shall the House concur in Senate Amendments #1 and 2 to House Bill 721?\* **411** those vote 'aye', all those opposed vote 'no'. Voting This is final action. Have all voted who voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. all On this question, there are 113 voting 'aye', none voting and none voting 'present'. And the House concur in \*no\*\* Senate Amendments #1 and 2 to House Bill 721. And this Bill. having received the Constitutional Majority. hereby declared passed. House Bill 947, Representative Leverenz."

Leverenz: "Thank you, Madam Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. On House Bill 947, I would move to concur with Senate Amendment #1. This is the budget for the Attorney General. I'll tell you what it does in a second."

Speaker Breslin: "The Gentleman has a moved to concur in Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 947. Is there any discussion? Hearing none the question is, \*Shall the House concur in Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 947?\* All those in favor vote 'aye', all those opposed vote 'no'. Voting is This is final passage. Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this question there are 115 voting 'aye', none voting 'no', and none voting 'present'. the House does concur in Senate Amendment #1 to House And Bill having 947. And this Bill. received the

65th Legislative Day

- June 29, 1985
- Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. House Bill 993, Representative Steczo."
- Steczo: "Thank you, Madam Speaker. I move to nonconcur with Amendment #1... Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 993.

  This is the appropriation for general state aid, and these items are still being negotiated. So, in... So, to get this Bill to Conference Committee, I would ask that the House nonconcur."
- Speaker Breslin: "The Gentleman moves to nonconcur in Senate

  Amendment #1 to House Bill 993. Is there any discussion?

  The Gentleman from DeWitt, Representative Vinson."
- Vinson: "Yes, Madam Speaker, will the Gentleman yield for a question?"
- Speaker Breslin: "He will."
- Vinson: "Representative, what did the underlying Bill deal with?"
- Steczo: "The appropriation, Representative Vinson, for general state aid payments, summer school payments, and for payments... extraordinary other payments in terms of reconsolidation, etcetera."
- Vinson: "And what does the Senate Amendments do to the Bill?"
- Steczo: "The Senate Amendment added 12,000 dollars for... I

  believe it's 12,000 dollars to... with respect to the

  legislation that we passed on consolidations with respect

  to fund balance deficits as per information given to them

  by the State Board of Education."
- Vinson: "So, in effect, what the entire Bill deals with is school district assistance."
- Steczo: "This Bill deals with school district assistance in terms of general state aid and other matters. Yes, that is correct."
- Vinson: "Is your intention to take it back to Conference

  Committee to reduce it by the 5 million dollars that was

  ripped off for public television and the 2 million dollars

65th Legislative Day

June 29, 1985

that was ripped off for Richie Daley. Is that what you have to do?"

- Steczo: "Representative Vinson, generally at the end of the Session periodically there's enough for everybody, and..."
- Vinson: "There is?"
- Steczo: "And... As I had indicated these... this matter is under negotiation at the present time, and this is the vehicle which we hope will make the people who are interested in education happy."
- Vinson: "Can you do that without that 7 million that's been ripped off?"
- Steczo: "We're negotiating that now, Representative Vinson."
- Vinson: "I... to the Bill. I certainly do not oppose the Gentleman's Motion. I understand that after that 7 million has been ripped off out of the General Revenue Fund, out of the budget, for these other special purposes, radio stations, and TV stations, and the Cook County State's Attorney that it's difficult to figure out where the money from education is going to come from. Now, I understand the Gentleman may have to take the Bill back to Conference Committee and reduce what we're giving schools because of those rip offs. So, I don't oppose the Gentleman's Motion to take it back to Conference Committee to try to cut education to satisfy the special interests."
- Speaker Breslin: "The question is, 'Shall the House nonconcur in Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 993?" All those in favor say 'aye', all those opposed say 'nay'. In the opinion of the Chair, the 'ayes' have it. And the House nonconcurs in Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 993, and requests a Conference Committee. House Bill 1011, Representative Matijevich."
- Matijevich: "Madam Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I
  move to concur with Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 1011.

.65th Legislative Day

June 29, 1985

This is an appropriation to the State Police Merit Board. It is a cut of 39,900 dollars. And I would move to concur with that Senate Amendment #1."

- Speaker Breslin: "The Gentleman moves to concur with Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 1011. Is there any discussion? Hearing none the question is, \*Shall the House concur in Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 1011? All those in favor vote 'aye', all those opposed vote 'no'. Voting is This is on the Order of Concurrence. Mr. Clerk, change the board please. Concurrence. Have all voted who wish? This is final action. The Clerk will take the record. On this question there are 111 voting 'aye', none voting 'no'. and voting 'present'. And the House does concur in Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 1011. And this Bill, having received the Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared House Bill 1070, Representative Matijevich.
- Matijevich: "Yes, Madam Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, House Bill 1070 is the appropriation to the State Board of Education. And I now move to nonconcur with Senate Amendments 1, 2, 4, 5, 6, and 7 to House Bill 1070.

  The purpose for that Motion is that this Bill does have to go to a Conference Committee. So, I would so move to nonconcur."
- Speaker Breslin: "The Gentleman moves that the House nonconcur in Senate Amendments #1, 2, 4, 5, 6, and 7. Is there any discussion? The Gentleman from DeWitt, Representative Vinson."

Vinson: "Yes, will the Sponsor yield for a question?"

Speaker Breslin: "He will."

Matijevich: "Proceed."

Vinson: "Representative, what does this Bill deal with?"

Matijevich: "Education."

Vinson: "Education?"

65th Legislative Day

June 29, 1985

Matijevich: "That's it. Sam."

- Vinson: "Is it your purpose to take this back to Conference to try to cut 7 million dollars out of it, because we spent it on those rip offs?"
- Matijevich: "No. My purpose is that the decision on education is finally being reached, but we have to wait for that final agreement which I know you're involved in. And I wouldn't want to do anything until I find out that Sam Vinson has made a final decision."
- Vinson: "And aren't we kind of in a posture where the... because
  the education decision is made after some of these other
  decisions, that we have less money to spend on education?"

  Matijovich: "Same in the words of York Borrae III sin't over-
- Matijevich: "Sam, in the words of Yogi Berra, "It ain't over, till it's over."
- Vinson: "Well, some of those are already over, unfortunately, and

  I just wish we had that money to spend on education. And

  I'm sure you do too, John."
- Matijevich: "You'll get all you need."
- Speaker Breslin: "The question is, "Shall the House nonconcur in Senate Amendments #1, 2, 4, 5, 6, and 7 to House Bill 1070?" All those in favor say "aye", all those opposed say "nay". In the opinion of the Chair, the "ayes" have it. And the House does nonconcur in Senate Amendment #1, 2, 4, 5, 6, and 7 to House Bill 1070, and a Conference Committee is requested. House Bill 1097, Representative Matijevich."
- Matijevich: "Madam Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, 1097 is the appropriation for the courts in Illinois. I would move to nonconcur with Senate Amendments #1, 3, and 6. I'm going to concur with 4 and 5. 1 and 6 are deep cuts that the Supreme Court cannot withstand, because there are mandatory salaries, for example, that they have to pay. I'm hoping that the Senate will... will recede. I doubt it, but I at least want to make the effort. So, I now move

65th Legislative Day

June 29, 1985

to nonconcur with Senate Amendments 1, 3, and 6 to House Bill 1097."

- Speaker Breslin: "The Gentleman moves to nonconcur in #1. 3. and 6 to House Bill 1097. Is there any Amendments discussion? Hearing none the question is, 'Shall the House nonconcur in Senate Amendments \$1, 3, and 6 to House All those in favor say 'aye', all those opposed say the opinion of the Chair, the 'ayes' have it. "nav". In And the House does nonconcur in Senate Amendments 21. 3. The Gentleman now moves to concur in Senate Amendments #4 and 5 to House Bill 1097. All there any discussion? Hearing none the question is, \*Shall the House concur in Senate Amendments #4 and 5 to House Bill 1097?\* All those in favor vote 'aye', a11 opposed vote 'no'. Voting is open. This is final passage. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Clerk will take the record. On this question, there 116 voting 'aye', 2 voting 'no', and none voting 'present'. the House does concur in Senate Amendments #4 and 5 to House Bill 1097. House 8111 1247. Representative Leverenz."
- Leverenz: "Thank you, Madam Speaker. I would move to concur in
  the Senate Amendment #2... I'm sorry, Senate Amendment #1.

  I don't know which is... I don't know which is right. The
  Calendar, or my score card and my analysis."
- Speaker Breslin: "Mr. Clerk, can you tell me how many Senate

  Amendments there are?"
- Leverenz: "This says two. This said one. Anyway, I will explain that we want to pass the Bill, as it has now 1,855,000 dollars appropriated to the State Comptroller for the development and implementation of a distributive financial accounting and reporting system, sometimes known as GAP, or it replaces... Whatever the Senate happened to do, whether

65th Legislative Day

June 29. 1985

it was 1 or 2. I think they pulled 1 and went with 2..."

- Speaker Breslin: "There is only one Senate Amendment, so we are going to assume that it is Senate Amendment #1. And the Gentleman has moved to concur with Senate Amendment #1 t o Bill 1247. Is there any discussion? There being none, the guestion is, 'Shall the House concur in Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 1247? All those in favor yote 'aye', all those opposed vote 'no'. Voting is open. This is final passage. Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this question, there are 113 voting "ave". none voting "no". and none voting "present". And the House does concur in Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 1247. And this Bill, having received the Constitutional Majority. Ìs hereby declared passed. House Bill 2240. Representative Madigan - Leverenz. Ιt appears on page eight on your Calendar."
- Leverenz: "Thank you, Madam Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of
  the House. I would move to concur with Senate Amendment
  #1 which increases the appropriation 946,000 dollars making
  it a total of 18,336,600 for the General Assembly's
  ordinary contingent expense."
- Speaker Breslin: "The Gentleman has moved that the House concur in Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 2040. Representative Vinson, for what reason do you interrupt?"
- Vinson: "Madam Speaker, I don't believe the Gentleman is the Sponsor of the Bill."
- Speaker Breslin: "The Gentleman asks leave to present the Bill."
- Vinson: "Madam Speaker, we would object to that."
- Speaker Breslin: "Representative Vinson, the Chair has allowed other Members..."
- Vinson: "Madam Speaker, the Minority Leader has asked... has...

  who is the Sponsor of the Bill, has asked that the Bill be
  taken out of the record."

65th Legislative Day

June 29, 1985

Speaker Breslin: "The Minority Leader has made the same request so that other Members from your side of the aisle could present Bills for him, and that has always been granted.

However, if you persist in this... in this vein, we can certainly file a written Motion so that Representative Leverenz can proceed with the Bill."

Vinson: "I persist."

- Speaker Breslin: "Representative Greiman in the Chair.

  Representative Daniels, for what reason do you rise?"
- Daniels: "Would you take that Bill out of the record, please?"
- Speaker Breslin: "No, I will not. Representative Greiman is in the Chair."
- Speaker Greiman: "Mr. Clerk, would you check to see whether the light at that desk where the noise is happening is working?

  Just check and see if the light is working. Yes, the Gentleman from DuPage, Minority Leader Daniels. Sir, for what purpose do you seek recognition?"
- Daniels: "First, Mr. Greiman, it is June 29 and let's not start the action. Let's not start trying to ramrod your activities through this General Assembly. So, if you think that you're going to start ignoring the Members on this side of the aisle, you got another thought coming. Secondly, as the Chief Sponsor of 2240, I want you to take that matter out of the record. And I am a Chief Sponsor of that, Sir, and you know that. And I ask that be taken out of the record."
- Speaker Greiman: "I'm looking at the records now. You're a joint

  Chief Sponsor of that, and..."
- Daniels: "That is correct, Sir. And if Mr. Madigan wants to handle the Bill personally..."
- Speaker Greiman: "If he wishes to handle it or to make such other arrangements..."
- Daniels: "No, Sir. He does not have the authority to..."

65th Legislative Day

June 29, 1985

- Speaker Greiman: "Well, that's for the Chair to rule. That's for the Chair to rule on, and the Chair is not yet prepared to rule on it. I have just come up on this podium, and I'm making inquiry with the Parliamentarian as to what the issue is as well as the ruling. Yes, Mr. Daniels."
- Daniels: "I suggest. Sir, that you be real careful of your If you get in the Chair, and you want to activities now. start ramming things through, and you want to start trying to run things as they do in the city council, if that's you want to do, Sir, we're prepared for it. We'll respond to your every action and your every move. late in the Session. We have a lot of work that has to be dones So. please. please. in order to get this in order so we can move the business of the people movina. of Illinois, please watch your actions, Sir, carefully."
- Speaker Greiman: "Mr. Daniels, I don't know much about the city council. I come from Skokie. We have a six man village board, but I do appreciate the suggestion. I will watch out carefully. Excuse me now, I will be consulting with the Parliamentarian, and we'll be back with you, Mr. Daniels. Thank you, Sir. Mr. Leverenz, for what purpose do you seek recognition?"
- Leverenz: "Two inquiries of the Chair. I didn't know they asked for a Republican Caucas on the other side there. But, however, what I would like to know is if I can withdraw my slip on the little pool on what time we're going to shut down, inasmuch as all of the Republican Bills have been taken out of the record, and it simply delays us. And I've got a real early out time. And I think I'm worried now."
- Speaker Greiman: "Mr. Tate, for what purpose do you... seeking recognition?"
- Tate: "Well, thank you, Mr. Speaker. I would just like to agree with you, because certainly I know that you're not a member

65th Legislative Day

June 29, 1985

of the Skokie City Council because the Skokie City Council rejected the gun proposal that they entertained. And that certainly doesn't reflect your voting record.

- Speaker Greiman: "Mr. Daniels, for what purpose are you seeking recognition?"
- Speaker, I am going to ask, based upon a report Daniels: "Mr. that has just been brought to my desk that is contained in Chicago Sun-Times, today's issue, regarding McCormick Place and alleged contracts at McCormick Place to a Member this House totally 741,000 dollars. And Mr. Speaker. I ask for immediate consideration am anina to αf two 573. Resolutions. House Resolution for an audit Λf McCormick Place, and a House Resolution for criminal investigation of McCormick Place. And I ask. Mr. Speaker. that you go to the immediate order of taking from the table House Bill 1454 so we can hear that immediately. So we can stop this abuse, and this apparent mismanagement οf taxpayers funds to McCormick Place. Mr. Speaker, I demand immediate action. Immediate action. And I want to know, Sir, what you're going to do about it."
- Speaker Greiman: "Mr. Daniels. Mr. Daniels. first I'm aoina tell the first aid station to be prepared with blood pressure pills. Some salt pills for you. That's the first thing I'm going to do. The second thing, I don't... on the Order of newspaper articles right now. thought I had actually recognized you to discuss... Mr. Daniels. Mr. Daniels. Mr. Daniels, I'm going to run the House in an orderly, same way. That's what I'm going t o you raised a question as to whether do. Mr. Daniels. the... you wanted it out of the record. The Chair ruling on it. but we will go to a new Order of Business at this time. On the Order of State and Local Government. Concurrences, Special Call, appears House Bill 792, Mr.

65th Legislative Day

June 29, 1985

McPike. Mr. McPike. Out of the record. Mr. McPike. you want to recede with 792? Alright. Out of the record On the Order... Well, goodness gracious. for 792. Mr. Chair recognizes Mr. McPike. Mc Pike-The Alright. Now. everyone, the TV people have all come. Now you can all be as bizarre as you like. Mr. McPike. The Chair recognizes Mr. McPike, the Majority Leader."

McPike: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. The original Bill required LRB to provide that the computerized..."

Speaker Greiman: "Ar. McPike. Mr. McPike."

McPike: "Yes."

Speaker Greiman: "Excuse me. I... For what purpose does the Gentleman from DuPage seek recognition? Is this a point of Order?"

Daniels: "I'm calling... I am calling for an immediate Republican

Conference. It will take 45 minutes in Room 118, Mr.

Speaker. Immediate Conference."

Speaker Greiman: "Well, we're... we will always grant it, of course..."

Daniels: "Thank you."

Speaker Greiman: "... And we certainly would grant it, but we have to finish this Bill first as a courtesy to this Gentleman. Now, it would be improper. Ob. Mr. Vinson. get to you later. Mr. McPike, proceed, Sir. me. We want to make that two cots in the nurses station. One for Mr. Vinson. Mr. Vinson, do you rise on a point of Order, Sir?"

Vinson: "Yes."

Speaker Greiman: "You rise on a... What is your point, Sir?"

Vinson: "Mr• Speaker• the Gentleman from DuPage presented a

Motion to the Chair• The Motion was to go•••"

Speaker Greiman: "I didn't recognize him for that purpose. I understand what he did, and you heard my ruling, and that

65th Legislative Day

June 29. 1985

is my ruling. And now we will go to Mr. McPike, the Majority Leader. On the Order of Concurrences, 722...
792. Mr.... Mr. McPike, I hope this isn't directed at you, all this noise. You're a fine fellow."

- McPike: "I I think it is. The Bill is not that think i t isa passed out of the controversial. T+ Hause with opposition. It simply allowed the LRB to provide computer memory banks to those that were available to provide it. the Senate added the Administrators Procedures Act to So, I would move that the House concur Amendment #1 to House Bill 792."
- Speaker Greiman: "The Gentleman from Madison, Mr. McPike, moves the House do concur in Senate Amendments #1 to House Bill 792. And on that question is there any discussion? Miss Pullen, did you wish to discuss that issue, or rise on some other point?"
- Pullen: "Mr. Speaker, before you went to this Order of Business,

  I was seeking recognition to move pursuant to Rule 74(d) to
  suspend the rules... the provisions of Rule 74(a) so that
  I may put my Motion with respect to Senate Bill 1454,

  McCormick Place reform, to consider that immediately."
- Speaker Greiman: "Probably not timely. Now, we'll get to all You know. excuse me. Excuse me. Letasa Now lookses now look, you know, Speaker or the temporary Speaker trying to give you respect, and I expect that you'll do the if you wish... if you wish for... you know... same. Now. you know, Mr. Daniels... you know, Mr. Daniels, if you'd play your cards right, you could do this live on the 6:00 news tonight rather than now. Now, I have ruled on this matter, and I'm in the midst of a Roll Call. If you wish to speak on this issue, I will If you do not, then I will hold you out recognize you. we have Mr... now there's a whole group of order. Now.

65th Legislative Day

June 29, 1985

₩e can let You know. I've always people there. you. thought we should just turn on all your microphones and just let you ramble on, but we're not going to ďο that. I'm going to do that. Mr. Cullerton, on the Bill."

Cullerton: "Yesa Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen really don't think that this is that of the House. I controversial a Bill. The Senate Amendment 31 to House Bill 792 changes the title to \*An Act to mAake available to public and governmental agencies the computerized text of the Illinois statutes and the administrative rules and regulations of state agencies. Admittedly, it's a long title, but it accurately describes what's in the Bill. The Amendment also amends Section 5.08 of the Legislative Information System Article of the General Assembly Chapter by deleting a portion of the Section which required, 1984. the supplying of copies of the complete \*the text of rules adopted under, quote. Administrative Procedure Act\*. closed quote. tα the Governor, the Secretary of State, the General Assembly. JCAR, agencies of State Government, and the Cook County Law Library. The Amendment then provides that the system, upon consultation with the Secretary of State, shall make available for sale - and here's the important part the make available for sale to the public or to governmental entities or agencies the computerized text adopted under the Illinois Administrative So, basically what was once provided free Procedures Acts. of charge to the above entities shall now according to this Amendment be available for sale. This could provide more money for education which we have been very concerned about last few hours. And I think basically it's a good in the Senate Amendment. I think it improves the Bill. think if we would be in... of the mood to concur with this

Amendment, that we would send it to the Governor and I

65th Legislative Day

June 29, 1985

think the Governor would probably sign it. So, for those reasons. I would speak in favor of the Gentleman's Motion." "The question is. 'Shall Speaker Greiman: the House concur in Senate Amendment #1?\* All in favor signify by voting 'aye', those opposed vote 'no'. Voting is now open, this is final action. On this question, there are 69 voting 'ave'... 70 voting 'ave', 13 voting 'no', 8111. \*present. And this having received the Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. Mr. Friedrich, for what purpose do you seek recognition?"

- Friedrich: "I move we have a recess for 45 minutes for the purpose of Republican Conference in Room 118."
- Speaker Greiman: "I think. Mr. Friedrich. that that's fine idea. The Minority Leader has made that request and, of course, the Chair always wishes to oblige the Minority Leader. And so, therefore, we will return here at the hour 1:00. 1:00. There is a Conference, 45 minutes, Room Republican Conference. Democrats may have vou verv much. Appreciate your cooperation. The House will be in... will be in order. On the Order Concurrences - State and Local Government, appears House The Lady from Cook, Miss Currie." Rill 1847.
- Currie: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Members of the House. I move the House do concur in Senate Amendment 1 to House Bill 1847. The Bill itself clarifies the payment of taxes due to assessor error eliminating penalties if those are not appropriate. We amended the Bill in the House to delete certain language having to do with state taxing authority. The Senate made a slight change in that Amendment, and... because of some outstanding bond obligations. I'm happy to answer questions, and I would encourage your support."

Speaker Greiman: "The Lady from Cook, Miss Currie, moves that the

65th Legislative Day

- June 29, 1985
- House do concur in Senate Amendment ⊭1 to House Bill 1847.

  And on that is there any discussion? The Gentleman from DuPage, Mr. McCracken.\*\*
- McCracken: "Pursuant to Rule 55(d) I demand an Oral Verified Roll
  Call on this Motion, and ask 10 people to join me therein."
- Speaker Greiman: "You don't have ten, Tom. Alright, now you have ten. Miss Currie... Yes, you want to take that out of the record, Miss Currie?"
- Currie: "Terrific."
- Speaker Greiman: "Alright, we'll take that out of the record.

  Mr. Daniels, the Gentleman from DuPage, for what purpose
  do you seek recognition, Sir?"
- Daniels: "If, Sir, within your discretion as you have, you had recognized me for the purpose of moving immediately to consider House Resolution 573, House Resolution 629 and to take from the table Senate Bill 1454. Motions have been filed in writing, Sir."
- Speaker Greiman: "Yes. I will recognize you. Are you the Sponsor of all of those?"
- Daniels: "I am the Sponsor of the Motion, Sir."
- Speaker Greiman: "No. No. Are you the Sponsor of all of those Bills?"
- Daniels: "I am the Sponsor of the two Resolutions, and Representative Pullen is the Sponsor of Senate Bill 1454."
- Speaker Greiman: "Alright. I will recognize you for that purpose, Mr. Daniels. Mr. Daniels moves that the House now consider... what were those?"
- Daniels: "House Resolution 573 and House Resolution 629. House
  Resolution 573, as you know, Mr. Speaker, deals with the
  request of the General Assembly to ask Mr. Robert Cronson,
  the Auditor General of the State of Illinois, to conduct
  and audit of the..."
- Speaker Greiman: "Well, I understand. We'll... we'll just put

65th Legislative Day

June 29, 1985

the Motion and I just wanted to get the numbers on the  $$\operatorname{\text{Motion}}$^{\bullet}$$ 

Daniels: "Alright. I'll..."

Speaker Greiman: "We have 573. 269 and..."

Daniels: "573 and 629• And 629 deals with the investigation of McCormick Place•"

Speaker Greiman: "Alright. The Gentleman from DuPage, Mr. Daniels, has moved that the House go to the Order... consider on the Order of Speaker's Table, House Resolutions 573 and 629. Mr. McPike."

McPike: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I would move for a division of the Motion so that we can vote on each Motion separately."

Speaker Greiman: "Alright. The Gentleman is within his rights.

Accordingly, all those in favor of moving to the Order...

to allowing to take... to consider House Resolution 573,

say 'aye', those opposed 'no'. In the opinion of the

Chair, the 'ayes' have it. Mr. Clerk, House Resolution

573."

Clerk Leone: "House Resolution 573..."

Speaker Greiman: "Mr. Daniels."

Daniels: "Yes, Sir. We haven't..."

Speaker Greiman: "Yes. Excuse me. Mr. Cullerton, for what purpose do you seek recognition?"

Cullerton: "Point of order. I just wondered if this Resolution has been printed and distributed?"

Speaker Greiman: "573, we'll find out. Mr. Cullerton. Mr. Cullerton, the House is prepared a Rule on your... on your Motion... on your suggestion with respect to the fact that the... that it is apparent that the Resolution has not been printed and has not been distributed. Under Rule 43, Resolutions, unless there's suspension of this Rule, could not be considered by the Body unless they were printed and distributed. So, that they would not be able at this time

65th Legislative Day

June 29, 1985

to be considered, Mr. Daniels. Mr.... I note that Mr. Madigan, Speaker Madigan, is seeking recognition. For what purpose do you rise, Mr. Madigan?"

- Madigan: "To move to suspend the provisions of that Rule to give

  Mr. Daniels his opportunity before the TV cameras."
- Speaker Greiman: "The Gentleman from Cook, Speaker Madigan, moves that the House suspend Rule 43 so that House Resolution 573 may be heard at this time notwithstanding the fact that it has not been printed or distributed. And on that, is there any discussion? Mr. Daniels, did you want to discuss that?"
- Daniels: "Yes, Mr. Speaker, since you are somebody that is not used to media, I'll make you an offer. I'll move to suspend the rule and have the light turned off so that you won't fear about any negative publicity from the TV cameras. Turn the light off, and let's go down and finish the business of the House. Since you make that offer, I'll take you up on that, Sir. If that's what you want, if you're fearful of that."
- Speaker Greiman: "Mr. Daniels, the Chair would have to tell you that we don't have that light rule anymore. We changed that a couple of years ago. Mr. Madigan, are you seeking recognition?"
- Madigan: "Simply to tell Mr. Daniels that he need not fear for
- Speaker Greiman: "Further discussion on the Rule? Mr. Friedrich.

  This is... we're discussing Mr. Madigan's Motion to suspend
  the Rule."
- Friedrich: "I would tell you that the Republicans had this
  Amendment for two or three days. I've had it on my desk.

  In fact, I've been carrying it around. So, I don't know what happened on the Democrat side with the Pages."
- Speaker Greiman: "Mr. Cullerton, on the Motion."

65th Legislative Day

June 29. 1985

Cullerton: "Yes, I wondered if since we don't have a copy of it, if the Clerk could just read it?"

Speaker Greiman: "Mr. Dunn."

- Dunn: "Hell, I... I don't see any reason to do things differently here than we do on other matters before this Assembly. And to the extent I have rights in this Body, I object to consideration of a Resolution, until it has been printed and distributed and available to the Members to read, whatever the Resolution."
- Speaker Greiman: "Mr. Clerk. Excuse me. Mr. Dunn. Yes. Yes.
  Mr. Dunn."
- Dunn: "Well, it... in the interim it has shown up. So, I'll withdraw my objection."
- Speaker Greiman: "Alright. Yes, Mr. McCracken, for the record."

  McCracken: "For the record then, it is... it is a fact that it's
- been printed and distributed making the leave unnecessary."
- Speaker Greiman: "No. It has been printed. It apparently has been printed, but apparently has not been distributed.

  Accordingly, if there's no further discussion on this issue... Miss Pullen, you want to discuss this issue?"
- Pullen: "Well. I find it very difficult to believe. Mr. Speaker. that it would have been distributed only by the Republican although I do recognize what a fine group of people those are, when House Resolution 579, which obviously was introduced after 573, has been printed and distributed to our desks on this side at least, and it is Resolution offered by a Lady on that side of the aisle. So, our Pages certainly aren't offering us just Republican Resolutions and Democrat Resolutions over there. I suspect that unless the Speaker's memo concerning keeping extraneous from floating around the House has been taken to mean taking our Resolution off the desks o f the Members, that it has indeed been distributed on both sides

65th Legislative Day

June 29. 1985

of the aisle."

Speaker Greiman: "Mr. Ewing."

- Ewing: "Mr.... Mr. Speaker, I would ask a question that, when these Resolutions are printed, is there a Republican printing office and a Democratic printing office? Do they all get printed the same and come up together?"
- Speaker Greiman: "Further discussion? Mr. Daniels, you had spoken before. Do you have a point of parliamentary inquiry at this time?"
- Daniels: "Yes, I would, Sir. We have had the distribution of 573 on our desk for sometime, and I would suggest to you that 573 has, in fact, been distributed. As a matter of fact..."

Speaker Greiman: "Mr. Daniels..."

Daniels: "... guess it is now..."

Speaker Greiman: "Mr. Daniels, I asked the Clerk."

- Daniels: "Certainly, Sir. I want to... I want to make sure you understand that 629 is also coming up. So, as you're expediting the printing of 573, I hope that your printing office, Sir, run by the House Democrat Committee, the Operations Printing Office, is also printing 629. And then I would hope, Sir, that you will conduct and no further stalln no further delay the McCormick Place legislation so that we can get on with the business of the House."
- Speaker Greiman: "Mr. Daniels. Mr. Daniels. That was not a parliamentary inquiry, and you took advantage of the Chair. Mr. Madigan has moved it. I am... I... The Clerk advised me previously that it had not been distributed. The Clerk now advises me that in the interim of this debate, indeed, it has been distributed to all of the Members. And so that Speaker Madigan, your Motion is... is moot at this point.

McCracken: "So, now we're clear for the record. Both Motions are

65th Legislative Day

June 29. 1985

printed and distributed."

- Speaker Greiman: "We are on House Resolution 573, and on that

  Resolution, I recognize Minority Leader Daniels."
- Speaker. Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. first. Daniels: "Mr. let me thank you for expediting the printing of 573. want to. for the record. state that we just received 629 distributed to the Members as well, which would be the next Resolution we hear so that we won't have any difficulty in 573 is a Resolution that hearing that one. has drafted to request the Auditor General of the State of Illinois to complete an audit of McCormick Place an independent comprehensive audit and evaluation of the operations in McCormick Place to determine extent of the cost overruns on McCormick Place nature and to be distinguished from 629, which will come up later. on the internal operations in McCormick Place. is 573 deals with the construction overrun so that we can have full and complete information on that to be delivered to General Assembly by October 1. 1985. I would ask that all Members of the House show their concern of the overruns and act immediately to support this. Now. Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentleman of the House, let it he that. of course. we will have tο appropriation that will go along with this later on in budget to the Auditor General to... in order to support his staff, and we're waiting to hear from the Auditor General as to the appropriate amount for the appropriation for that I'd appreciate your support." audit.
- Speaker Greiman: "The Gentleman from DuPage, Mr. Daniels, has moved for the adoption of House Resolution 573. And on that, the Gentleman from Cook, Speaker Madigan."
- Madigan: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, first let me say that I plan to support the Gentleman's

65th Legislative Day

June 29, 1985

Resolution. Second, let everyone understand this is of the ongoing cover-up. This is a plan of activity by the Minority Leader, which began a few days ago, with a flurry of press activity and legislative initiatives that cover-up. It's a smoke screen to hide from public scrutiny the fact that Governor Thompson's appointments McCormick Place Board could have stopped all this activity at McCormick Place, could have prevented the mess at McCormick Place, but they didn't do a damn thing All of this happened with the full consent and approval of all six of Governor Thompson's appointments this Board. So if you think that you're moving to clean up a mess by this phony investigation, you're wrong. down to the second floor, meet with your Governor. your and ask him why he didn't consult appointments to do something about this before it happened. We're going to support your Resolution. We're paina But there's going to be support your second Resolution. follow-up Resolutions that make it guite specific t n what kind of an audit we're talking about. We're not going to use your vague language, which might prevent the Auditor General from doing his job. And we're not just going to have a House Committee. We're going to have a Joint - Senate Committee, so we don't exclude the Senate. have your day in the sun, and then all scurry back and decide how you're going to further protect the Governor for the next two days of the Session."

Speaker Greiman: "Further discussion? The Gentleman from Cook,

Mr. Keane."

Keane: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I have talked to the Minority

Leader about this Resolution, and I've talked to the

Auditor General. We... We're... There's an October 1st

reporting date, which will be almost impossible to fulfill.

65th Legislative Day

June 29, 1985

but the Auditor General indicated that he would do it as quickly and as expeditiously as he could given the funds available. And I just wanted to make that part of the record. Thank you."

Speaker Greiman: "The Gentleman from Champaign, Mr. Johnson."

Johnson: "Question of the Sponsor. Just to distinguish,
Representative Daniels, this Resolution, if passed, would
direct itself toward part of a problem, but House
Resolution 629, I take it, is also necessary to direct
itself with more plenary powers to a different aspect of
the problem. Is that correct?"

Daniels: "That is correct, Sir. And I'm glad that you asked that for, as you know, House Resolution 573 does deal with the Auditor General's functions and an audit cost... cost overrides. And we would be delighted to have any Member of the House submit additional language for an additional review o f McCormick Place. Because. Representative Johnson, in answer to your question, let state to you clearly and unequivocally, if I was a Democrat Ward Committeeman from the City of Chicago, I'd give the same speech that was just given."

Johnson: "Thank you."

Speaker Greiman: "Yes, further discussion? The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Huff."

Huff: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. All I want to say is that with reference to House Resolution 573, this is tantamount to Dr. Jeckle investigating who Dr. Hyde is."

Speaker Greiman: "The Lady from Cook, Ms. Pullen."

Pullen: "Hell, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House,

I think that the comments casting aspersions on the nature
of this investigation are very interesting considering that
the Auditor General is an Officer of this Legislature. He
is not a part of the Executive Branch which has been

65th Legislative Day

June 29, 1985

maligned here today. I can understand the effort partisan issue on the other side of the aisle, especially considering that they are the ones who have refused for days to call the legislation that would reform McCormick Place. They are the ones who want to provide the money for the overruns at McCormick Place with no reform. and they want to be sure that their Members do not support... No one has written my speech. I'm not reading You use your style, I'll use mine. They are the ones itwho have refused to call this reform legislation they're weaseling around trying to stop the reform and trying to figure out how they can do it without getting the blame for it. You know there have been some issues here where people have tried to wonder about whether it was blame they were going to get if the Bill passed credit or or failed. There's no question on this issue. Ιf McCormick Place reform does not go through in this Session, it's a matter of blame. not credit. There is nothing defensible in the structure of McCormick Place as it stands in law or in the activities of the McCormick Place And there is nothing phony about asking our Auditor General this Body to investigate that local unit of Chicago government. I urge an 'ave' vote on this Resolution."

Speaker Greiman: "The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Terzich."

- Terzich: "Yes, can I ask the Sponsor of this Resolution a question? Could we..."
- Speaker Greiman: "Indicates... Excuse me, Mr. Terzich. You'll yield, Mr. Daniels? He indicates he'll yield for a guestion."
- Terzich: "Yes. I think this isn't the first cost overrun that's happened in the state or in the City. Is there... Could we amend this to include the State of Illinois Building by any chance?"

65th Legislative Day

June 29, 1985

Daniels: "If you want."

Terzich: "I understand there was a substantial cost overrun in that building also. I didn't hear of any big investigation."

Daniels: "I... I think that if you would like to present a

Resolution, fine. You will, of course, remember that you

voted on that."

Terzich: "Well, I understand, but, I mean, could we amend this to

Daniels: "I think you voted for it, Sir,"

Terzich: "Maybe we could include that in this Resolution also."

Daniels: "We... We already approved an audit on it. Would you like to furnish some additional language? Or is there something here that you don't like about the fact that we're asking for an audit?"

Terzich: "Hey... we get audited every day."

Daniels: "I would think you would."

Terzich: "Hell, I can appreciate that one too. I have been."

Speaker Greiman: "The Gentleman from Livingston, Mr. Ewing."

Ewing: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. T om certainly pleased to hear that we're going to have bipartisan support for this Resolution. As a downstater doesn't ask for a whole lot from this Legislature for his district, we do like to... we do like tο see integrity and some proper accounting for the way our funds are spent, not only in the City of Chicago. but a11 the state. I am amused though that whenever the other side the aisle gets up to tell us how they re going to join us in a good Resolution, they try and disperse the issue by, first of all, raising their voice, which is a technique taught in many law schools. When you don't have facts, you raise your voice and shout. And then they want to point the finger at the Governor on the second floor. Of course. 65th Legislative Day

June 29, 1985

he isn't up here to defend himself. But let's all face it. in the set up for McCormick Place and part of Republican Policy Committee is saving in the reform is we want to put some things in the law that will the Governor of this state to be sure that those things are carried out and that his appointments have the law behind him to run an efficient and effective operation. And that right now. And the Governor makes his appointments under the laws that are written by this And I've been here for 11 years and only two legislature. short years, they were awfully short, have been controlled by the Republicans when we got a lot of good things done."

Speaker Greiman: "The Gentleman from Marion, Mr. Friedrich."

"Mr. Speaker. I think I can allay some of the fears of Friedrich: one of the people who spoke on the other side of the aisle. The Audit Commission has already asked for the Auditor audit the State of Illinois Building, and he'll have that report in due time. And I... I think you ought the Resolution came out of Wasaaa Commission and is being done. The other thing I you. I'm probably the only one left in the to tell General Assembly that voted for the first McCormick Place. I did it because I thought it was a good thing for the City of Chicago and the State of Illinois, and it's proven to be When they came to us for an expansion, they told us they wanted to be this the showplace of the convention of the whole world, and I... I agreed to that. renter T+ sounded like a good expenditure. They said they could dο 187 million. They got 205 million. I believe I'm it for right on that. And since then, they have dollars in interest, and all at once they've got a crisis which they say they need 54 million dollars Now, I think that needs a little looking into. One thing

65th Legislative Day

June 29, 1985

would be filing it and had advised the Speaker that I suggested to the Speaker that we may wish to discuss this matter, had suggested to the Speaker that we spare no expense in making sure that the staff people employed bν the utmost and highest caliber and this Committee be of hassuggested that we... may even want to employ some of the former U.S. Attorneys that sit in private practice now to counsel on the Committee. I had suggested that because of their expertise in the area, such as Mr. Sullivan. who is a former United States Attorney and several other people matter to serve the House Investigation at this Committee. I would suggest to you that it has been on Calendar for some time. We are looking at this matter right now. The effort of this is, of course, to look internal operations of McCormick Place and to look within the terms of having that investigation conducted a bipartisan manner. That is why the Resolution calls for the election of Co-Chairmen, the intent to be that they Chairman from each side of the aisle so that we can furnish our investigation of that place. Now let me iust to VOII. Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, House Resolution 629 is not effort а partisan aл It is a bipartisan effort. investigation. That's why the Committee would be a bipartisan Committee. It's an to find out if, in fact, there has been any wrongdoing at McCormick Place. We only know about some of the talk we've heard on the street, and we only know at this point of some of the items that have been reported in the newspapers. Whether those items are factual or not only time and the future will tell. But there should be no delay. be any effort to delay an investigation of McCormick Place. We aren't pointing blame at a Democrat or a Republican. He aren't trying to turn this into an

65th Legislative Day

June 29, 1985

about the audit and one thing about the investigation, it'll get the facts. It's not set up to trap anybody or anything else. If something's wrong, we need to know it. If it isn't, the people who are... been suspected of doing things need to be exonerated. That's all we're doing here. I think the Legislature's entitled to the facts. This is the taxpayers money."

- Speaker Greiman: "The question is, 'Shall the House adopt House Resolution 573? All those in favor signify by voting those opposed vote 'no'. Voting is now open. 50 votes are required. Have all voted who wish? all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Mr. Clerk, take the record. On this question, there are 115 voting none voting 'no', none voting 'present'. And the House does adopt House Resolution 573. Yes. Mr... Mr. Daniels. for what purpose do you seek..."
- Daniels: "Just for the record, and maybe you would like to correct something so nobody is embarrassed on this Resolution. A Member of the Democratic staff voted Mr. Bullock's switch, and you may wish to take a new Roll Call so that isn't voted against, since he is not here. And you may prefer that. Now we will join... in doing that."
- Speaker Greiman: "Mr... Mr. Daniels, I ve already announced the vote. Alright. Mr. Daniels, the question was divided. With leave of the House, we will go to House Resolution 629. Do we have leave to go to that... to go to that Order? Leave is granted. Mr. Daniels, on House Resolution 629."
- Daniels: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, House
  Resolution 629 calls for the creation of a Select House
  Committee of Investigation composed of eight Members, four
  each to be appointed by the Speaker and Minority Leader of
  the House. This Resolution was filed yesterday. And I had

65th Legislative Day

June 29, 1985

advantage against the operating manager of McCormick Place. a matter of fact, a Gentleman on the other side of the aisle, your Speaker, has already called for the resignation of the operating manager of McCormick Place. kie done that to date. We may do that after we find out a few more facts. But I want to tell you something. It's time that we find out those facts. And that's why this is the second Order of Business in a process of three in an effort to try to reform the operations of McCormick Place. This I hope you'll join us in this Resolution. the second. and then join us on the third, which will he legislation to Senate Bill 1454, because the first two without the third don't really accomplish what I that you. as elected Representatives of taxpavers. want to have accomplished and that's reform of McCormick Place before you authorize any additional dollars. All of you, you have the power to determine what happens on behalf taxpayers of this state. It's their money that's entrusted to you. I don't want a partisan Roll I want 118 Members of the House joining in this Resolution and 118 Members of the House joining in Senate Bi11 the reform measures that are sponsored there. I hope you'll join us. I hope you'll join us in the... in the the passage of this Resolution in the spirit that was introduced. Thank you for your support."

- Speaker Greiman: "The Gentleman from DuPage has moved for the adoption of... of House Resolution 629. And on that, Speaker Madigan, the Gentleman from Cook."
- Madigan: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the
  House. First, to correct the record, I have not called for
  the resignation of Mr. "Hannon", the general manager at
  McCormick Place. Mr. Daniels and I appeared on a
  television program the other night at which time I said

65th Legislative Day

June 29, 1985

that events might develop in such a way that Mr. either would be called upon to resign or would be fired. I have not called for his resignation. Concerning the Resolution, it's very simple. It creates a House Committee to conduct an investigation. It excludes the Senate. Ιt proposes the House proceed on its own in this verv important matter. In my judgment, we can do better. Ыe can involve the Senate, both Democrats and Republicans, and then proceed to a full, thorough investigation as requested by the Minority Leader. But let me remind you, all of this have been stopped. The appointment authority at McCormick Place is such that today the Governor has Six appointments and the Mayor has six. So those Thompson appointees on their own, without any help or direction from us, without any flamboyant activity by the Minority Leader, could have stopped all of this. They could have that management decision to go on a fast track. They could have stopped the awarding of the cost overruns. They could have voted against the awarding of the basic contracts. They didn't do any of that. They didn't do anything. just rubber stamped everything that happened at McCormick and now the Minority Leader is engaged in a massive cover-up to protect the Governor and to Governor's appointments. So if you want to participate in it, have a lot of fun. Enjoy yourselves. Punch those green buttons, but it's not going to cover up in the end, because there will be an investigation and the truth will come out. Where was the Governor? Where were his appointments?"

Speaker Greiman: "The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Bullock."

Bullock: "Thank you, Madam (sic - Mr.) Speaker and Ladies and

Gentlemen of the House. First of all, I rise in support of

Representative Daniels' Resolution, and I voted in support

65th Legislative Day

June 29. 1985

of the last one and intend to vote in support of this one. There appears to be more smoke than fire, not only in the rhetoric but also in the content of the article referred to prior to us leaving the floor. I'm not going to work up a sweat and engage in any type of violent outbreak or intemperate remarks as was done prior to the I have responded to the press inquiries in both vesterday and today. question I think I've given three interviews with members of the press relative to the Chicago Sun-Iimes article. Moreover. I am prepared and any questions or inquires into continue to answer the in question and the promulgation of the Sun-Times article which many of us have seen. But I also took during the recess to check my records in terms of the ethics statement required in the State of Illinois for members to file. And I think if you check that ethics statement, you will clearly see that I, as a Member, attempted in anv way to conceal private ownership interests in any of the companies of which I am have private corporate ownership or interest. 20 clearly it has been there for anyone to see. and I \* m certain that most responsible people have looked at that... that ethics statement which is required by law. no time did any firm with whom I have had private ownership or association, at no time have those individuals had direct contractual relationship with McCormick Place. The firm in question, which is the Blackman Development of which I was briefly associated, worked with a Company. private contractor, who bid a McCormick Place iob in open and competitive bid process, an open and competitive bid process. And at no time did I discuss with any o f those officials at McCormick Place the letting contract indirectly or directly, not that it would have

65th Legislative Day

June 29. 1985

made a difference because they're all sealed and they're open and competitive. At no time did I benefit interest from that Blackman Development as a contract subcontractor with a private contracting company. Mr. Blackman conceded in the article that, in fact, I had very little or no management decisions in the company, which probably nothing more than a bad business judgment on my part of not taking firm control of the company which was subcontractor. The project in question was open competitive. A prime contractor, one among many, selected minority subcontractor of which I had a minority ownership, as I do in many private business ventures, they subsequently obtained a reward. So there's absolutely nothing and improper in such private husiness а transaction. But let me just say there are many officials in the Assembly, in the House and the Senate and in Congress who have outside business affiliations separate and apart from the Legislature. Hany of us do legal work for outside business interests for municipalities. We have private legal contracts with individuals in the State of Illinois. So there should never be a presumption. be a presumption that a Member of an elected should never is Body, who has private business interests, in fact class citizen. We certainly are not. So I join with Minority Leader Daniels in a call for an investigation at McCormick Place and the deficit of McCormick Place. Ιn addition. I urge Mr. Daniels to allow the House to proceed with our crucial business during these closing days of the Session, proceed in this chamber unemcumbered by political any irrational outbursts or threats McCarthyism. So. Mr. Daniels, I'm proud to join you in calling for this investigation."

Speaker Greiman: "The Gentleman from McLean... I'm sorry. The

65th Legislative Day

June 29, 1985

Gentleman from McLean, Mr. Ropp."

"Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Members of the House, it's been Ropp: my distinct pleasure to serve in this Body for four terms. in a previous administration, as one of its executive And at all time, coming from a farm, knowing officers. that integrity was extremely important in all of our dealings and the fact that we attempted to do what was and wrong, and as a young man many years ago when we riaht went to Kentucky, we visited a fellow black man who was celebrating his 100th birthday. And he said at that time when my dad asked him, \*What do you attribute your life to? He said, 'I attribute my long life to living right and doing right by everybody. I've remembered forty-five years now. I happen to serve on the SAME State Government Administrative and Review Committee as its Minority Spokesman and have attempted at all times to work with every Member of this Body. because I love this institution, because what it has meant to mе the citizens of the State of Illinois for these many years. And I have attempted to resolve every difference might have and to work with people. And I have known that on particular differences that though we mav have I understand that it comes from the district individually. And I that you represent. appreciate you for that for that. On the morning of June 20th, 1985, vou for the record and Members of this Body, a Legislator this Body came to me and said, 'I have a small Amendment I would like for you to add to a Bill that will be coming up, Senate Bill 558.º He said, 'It only does a couple of things. The fact is there's only three or four words, with any state agency or department. With all that has gone on that more clearly comes into focus. today. Had I known what has happened up until today, certainly that

65th Legislative Day

June 29, 1985

to assist a colleague would not have been consideration But in the spirit of cooperation, always attempted to do in this Body and throughout life, I said, yes, because I was given the word that the Sponsor of that Bill was willing to accept it and that the Joint Sponsor of that Amendment was also a party tο the understanding of what this particular Amendment did. And with that full knowledge, I said, yes, I'll be happy to sponsor an Amendment that is good for the people the State of Illinois. When the Amendment was drafted, it was brought to me and stated that, "Oh, this only allows for! let's say an example - an attorney, in the name of one our Minority Leaders. If he would choose to become involved in dealing with his local municipality. Bill will better clearly identify the fact that he could work because most of those communities dο receive revenue sharing monies. And under the current provision, it's a little bit difficult or a conflict of interest. So I understand this and maybe it should be adopted. So the Amendment was drafted and was presented. current Speaker was in the Chair, if I'm not mistaken. The Chairman... The Spokesman... correction. The the Senate Bill was on the floor... was not on the floor, but the Amendment eventually was placed Staffs from both sides of the aisle came to #1. me afterwards and said, "We don"t understand what the world this Amendment does. We have to redraft it. And so it was redrafted Amendment #2, which was even more complicated. No one could understand that either. The though that after the first Amendment was drafted the Sponsor, after about three hours, found out that part of a Bill that he had, in fact, not been granted the authority to amend, particularly not in the manner that

65th Legislative Day

June 29, 1985

And after much time was elapsed, that Bill it was. was recalled, and the Amendment went off. The fact being as it is encumbered in this whole project today is a serious one. because what this would have done. it would have granted a particular Member of this Body, under what we have seen in the newspaper today, special, special kinds of consideration. And I think that's a tragedy. It gives all of us, as a group, a very bad name. Serving in the Legislature, representing the people of the State o f Illinois and attempting to get some personal, even possibly financial gain, as I think will be evidenced by the study investigation that comes out. Soladies Gentlemen, I want this for the record that I certainly part in the concerns that are involved with have anv that Amendment would have been McCormick Place as and so useful for a particular Member of this effective Body. And I'm saddened today to have to say that we all been shamed by acts that went on June 20th, 1985. Thank vou."

Speaker Greiman: "The Gentleman from Lake, Mr. Matijevich."
Matijevich: "Hill the Sponsor of the Resolution yield?"

Speaker Greiman: "Indicates he'll yield for questions."

Matijevich: "Representative Daniels, as I heard the debate and... and the votes, explanation of votes, I was understood that there will be an audit of believe the State of Illinois Building. And there were some who were indicating in debate that this is no different or at least the audit the McCormick Place Authority is no different than having an audit of any state agency and having an audit the State of Illinois Building. Hould you also then believe in and recommend, because there have been tremendous cost overruns of the tremendous. State of Illinois Building... Would you believe that we should.

65th Legislative Day

June 29, 1985

conduct an investigation of the State therefore. Illinois Building which is much different than an Daniels: "Let me answer your question by pointing out to you, as that the VOU SO accurately stated. State ٥F Illinais part of the state agency. Building is Sure, it's undergoing an audit because the Auditor General is charged with that responsibility for cyclical audits, and they are auditing the actions at the State of Illinois Building. Now. if it becomes appropriate as this audit uncovers, if it may, anything that's wrong, then we should do that. you should come and cooperate with me in presenting investigation of that. But let's talk about the instant Resolution, which is an investigation. The audit part already passed, Representative Matijevich. This one is an investigation into the activities at McCormick Place a local unit of government, different from a state agency. First of all, it doesn't fall under the Auditor General's cyclical audit process. That's why we passed House Resolution 579. House Resolution 629, that is before you right now, is a request by a bipartisan House Committee to audit or to investigate the activities of McCormick If there's any wrongdoing, then that should be turned over to the appropriate law enforcement authorities. hope that there will be uncovered. but the street talk, the talk amongst people, reports in the newspaper raise sufficient concern that necessitates this investigation in this instance."

Matijevich: "I didn't... I don't... Through all that, I don't think you answered my question. Would you now support a Resolution to investigate the State of Illinois Building?

Because much of what your Resolution, the prior Resolution said about cost overruns, et cetera, which led you to the conclusion that in addition to an audit, there ought to be

65th Legislative Day

June 29, 1985

an investigation. Do you now conclude by your remarks that in addition to an audit of the State of Illinois, because there are cost overruns and much of what you say in your Resolution about McCormick Place, that in addition, thereto, there should to be an investigation of the State of Illinois Building?"

Daniels: "I... I can understand..."

Matijevich: "Do you conclude that?"

Daniels: "You know, John, I can understand what you're saying and why..."

Matijevich: "Well, just answer me then. As long as you can understand it, answer it."

Daniels: "I can... You know, I can understand what you're saying and why and what you're trying to do here."

Matijevich: "All it requires is a yes or no."

Daniels: "I can certainly sympathize with your feelings and your concern. I don't understand though, John, in answer to your question, why you're engaging in some of the delay and the cover-up on the McCormick Place. It makes no sense.

It's not in your district, John. You didn't have anything to do with it. Aren't you interested in protecting the taxpayers dollars, John?"

Matijevich: "I am. I am."

Daniels: "Don°t you want to get down to the bottom of this? Come on, John. Join with us. Pass this, and then bring the Resolution for an investigation over, you know, bring it over..."

Speaker Greiman: "Mr. Daniels, you yielded for a question, not for a diatribe."

Daniels: "Bring it over, John. We'll join with you..."

Matljevich: "Do you want to answer my question?"

Speaker Greiman: "Mr... Mr. Matijevich, the Chair recognizes you."

65th Legislative Day

June 29, 1985

Daniels: "... interupted your conversation, Mr. Speaker. I

didn't realize you were part of this discussion."

Matijevich: "I'd like... I'd like the Minority Leader only to answer the question, and all it requires is a yes or no.

Do you now conclude that in addition to an audit of the State of Illinois Building because it does have many cost overruns, even more substantial than the McCormick Place, that we also ought to now have an investigation of the State of Illinois Building? And all that requires is a yes or no."

Daniels: "Bring the Resolution over. Let us read it. Set it up in a bipartisan fashion. Sponsor the Resolution. just as long as it's not one that's patterned look at. after the salmonella investigation that you people tried to pull, we can cooperate in an investigation if you evidence of any criminal wrongdoing or you've heard there's any wrongdoing. But, John, join with us in passage of House Resolution 629. Investigate this. Help get to battam of this. Let's see if there's any wrongdoing. Don't stop it anymore. Vote for it. Let's deal with McCormick Place first. The State of Illinois Building, you voted for the appropriation. You were one of the people that supported the seven, eight year project. the Appropriations Committee. You're one of the movers and the shakers of the General Assembly. You were a Chairman of the Appropriation Committee, I believe, when the State of Illinois Building was submitted for consideration. believe you were, Sir. And if that's the case, knows more about that than you do. So let's deal with McCormick Place. Let's protect the taxpayers' dollars this first. Just pass this Resolution."

Speaker Greiman: "Mr. Matijevich."

Matijevich: "I was going to let you... let him go as long as he

65th Legislative Day

June 29, 1985

wanted, because he hasn't said anything yet so... Well, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, let... all of the people in the gallery and all of the people in the State of Illinois know that anytime, anytime, the Minority Leader puts on an act like he did, especially the way he did before the Caucus, that it's a political Let everybody know that because that's what it is. verv... inept answer to my question, he said that i f the audit, for example, of the State of Illinois Building shows any wrongdoing, well, certainly then there ought to be an That's exactly what the Speaker presented his stance on this Resolution. If the audit of the McCormick Place shows any wrongdoing. there should shouldn't be... come now. investigation. Τt 1+ shouldnot come first. It should fall in its rightful place that there be an audit and then we conduct an investigation if we find any wrongdoing. I don't know what's going don't know if the Governor in his gut feeling feels that the State of Illinois Building is going to be a major issue and that there are going to be different wrongdoings come out of that State of Illinois Building. I don't know if I get the gut feeling that that that's the case. And, therefore, they want to make a political issue out of McCormick Place. So, Mr. Speaker and Gentlemen of the House, until I'm convinced that this isn't political circus that the Minority Leader has started, go along with what I did on the first I'm going to I voted "aye" that there be an audit. That's Resolution. responsible. But I think that on this... this vote 'no', at least vote... or I'll Resolution I should probably vote 'present'. At least vote 'present' until I'm convinced, convinced that the Minority Leader is going to put this issue on the same plane and level as the

65th Legislative Day

June 29. 1985

State of Illinois Building and invest... investigate the State of Illinois Building too. Until then, I will vote \*present...

Speaker Greiman: "The Gentleman from Champaign, Mr. Johnson."

Johnson: "On May 16th. 1985. the Illinois Senate passed an Act. Senate Bill 558, that amended the Illinois Purchasing Act to provide a ten year, rather than a seven year, limitation any contract by the term of a state agency for telecommunications equipment and services and space On May 17th, because this Bill was called for therefore. by the University of Illinois and really is primarily matter of local interest, at least of interest to areas that have state universities and colleges in it. the primary House Sponsor of Senate Bill 558. On May 22nd. that was assigned to Committee, and I believe that sometime in early June the Bill passed out of Committee on the... on the Consent Calendar. On June 18th, 1985, at the request of Representatives Soliz and Hartke, that Bill was the Consent Calendar. At sometime during the period of time of June 15th to June 20th, the Chairman the Committee that Representative Ropp serves as a Minority Spokesman on came to me and indicated that I had two Bills the Calendar, Senate Bills 558 and 559, to which he wished to add an Amendment. Ultimately, an Amendment, I wasn't even aware that the Bill was called and was called to task by the University of Illinois and the Amendment later removed, Amendment #1 and 2... actually, Amendment #1 and. was added to my Bill without my consent frankly. knowledge. Current without mν law provides that Individuals who have a financial interest... acquiring a contract with units of local government, the contract of which is satisfied in whole or in part monies appropriated by the General Assembly, it's illegal 65th Legislative Day

June 29, 1985

for a person holding elective office in this state, holding a seat,in the General Assembly and so forth. to contractual interest in those things. Amendment #1 that the Chairman of that Committee had asked me to look vehicle for, and that was ultimately added at his request by Representative Ropp and Representative Nash, would have in effect limited those criminal prohibitions to only those units of government that are state agencies or state departments. The effect of Amendment \$1 would be to individuals in this situation to contract with units of local government, such as McCormick Place, and to have interest in contracts and so forth wherein the funds of the Assembly would be appropriated to pay. in whole or in part, those contracts. Three hours later, after the Amendment was... had been offered and adopted, University of Illinois officials asked me why the Amendment offered and adopted. I then asked Representative Ropp and Nash why the Amendment had been offered and adopted and was told that it had been done at the behest of the Chairman of the State Government Administration Committee. After prolonged discussion with a number of individuals, all of whom I have just described, the Amendment was withdrawn. effects would have been and how it applies the specifically to the factual situation that we've discussed today is something that I'm not going to decide, and I'm certainly not going to cast..."

Speaker Greiman: "Excuse me, Mr. Johnson. Excuse me. The Chair has... you know, did not stop Mr. Ropp, did not stop you either from making your point. I'm not sure of whether this is, strictly speaking, germane to the Resolution before us and relevant to the Resolution before us. So I would just appreciate you bringing your remarks to a close on this subject and moving toward addressing... Resolution.

65th Legislative Day

June 29, 1985

please."

Johnson: "I will bring my remarks to a close when I think it's appropriate to do that and when the House rules preclude me from finishing what I think is an appropriate comment on a Resolution that's before us. If you want to shut me down, then you shut off the electricity."

Speaker Greiman: "Mr. Johnson."

Johnson: "That's your right."

Speaker Greiman: "Mr. Johnson."

Johnson: "You've done that in the past, and if you want to do it again, you can do it now, but I intend to finish what I'm saying. I think it's absolutely germane to a Resolution that deals with the administration of McCormick Place. And I think the people and the taxpayers of the State of Illinois through this..."

Speaker Greiman: "Mr. Johnson, may the Chair just remark? The Chair respectfully advise you that this Resolution deals basically with cost overruns. Ιt is an important I... Mr. Ropp spoke and that was why I Resolution. certainly did not want to get into a procedural question. But nonetheless, I think we should... we shouldn't allow this to be relevant to the, strictly speaking, to the Resolution. I have not told you I cut you off. Just relax..."

Johnson: "I'm not... I'm not unrelaxed."

Speaker Greiman: "...and I just suggested... suggested that perhaps you might address the Resolution more directly.

Sir. Proceed."

Johnson: "The Resolution would empower a special House Committee
to investigate certainly cost overruns and, as the
Resolution states, a whole series of other issues that are
or might be involved with the appropriatedadministration
of... of jurisprudence in this state and the administration

65th Legislative Day

June 29, 1985

What I'm suggesting is that when this McCormick Place. scenario occurred, a week and a half ago, that the this General Assembly unwittingly became involved, and we have an opportunity through this Resolution to beain... again involved. And why I think it's germane and why it's absolutely on point is because that House Committee then would be able to look into the various aspects of the administration of McCormick Place, the contracts that are executed by that Body, the personnel involved with those contracts and with the administration of McCormick Place and to determine, based on those... that investigation and that, I hope, measured, responsible approach to the if anything further, the House should do, what our actions should... how... how they should involve themselves with... with the administration of McCormick Place and this fits into the bigger picture. I'm not suggesting, as I started to say, that that scenario of a week and ago was wrong or criminal. But it certainly involved 118 Members of this House in an attempt to significantly change Illinois law and specifically in a manner that. at allegedly, would exempt at least one Member of this... of this chamber from possible criminal activity under Illinois And I think that it's important and relevant and germane to this Resolution and to a proper consideration of this issue that the Members of the House, particularly Representative Ropp and Nash and myself, who were involved with this specific Bill, to have the background in terms of making an intelligent vote on this Resolution and in terms looking at the issue in its bigger sense. I hope that the Members of this chamber vote unanimously for this House Resolution, and I'm confident that the House Committee will exercise responsibility and toughness in terms of looking at the use of public funds in a... in a local agency that

65th Legislative Day

June 29 1985

has involved us all wittingly and unwittingly. I urge a \*yes\* vote.\*

Speaker Greiman: "The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Nash."

I rise on a point of personal Nash: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. My name was mentioned in debate by In my seven years in the Legislature, first in sneaker. the Senate, now in the House, I've never sponsored any Amendment or any Bill with any intent to cover anything up. I've always tried to accommodate Members of both sides of the House in Committee and on the floor. And Isas for that reason, I rise on a point of personal privilege to i t set on the record. Thank you.

Speaker Greiman: "Mr. Kubik, the Gentleman from Cook."

Kubik: "Thank you. Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the You know, I cannot believe that there would be adverse debate on this particular Resolution. This isn't a issue. or a downstate issue. a suburban issue. Chicago It's not a Republican issue or a Democrat icena. T+ +c taxpaver issue. McCormick Place has come to us for 60 And I know I was not a Member million dollars. this House when some 200 million dollars was authorized last But I'll tell you something, if I was, I'd be a angrier than I am now. We are considering something in the order of 300 million dollars worth of additional school aid this state this year. If you stop to consider that McCormick Place is asking for an additional 60 million one-fifth of that package, I think that ought to dollars. drive it home. That ought to drive home the fact that people of this state are going to suffer because of the mismanagement and misappropriation of McCormick Place. I don't think that we ought to look at this as a Republican issue or a partisan issue. I think what we ought to do is get down to the facts, get it out in the open, and let's

65th Legislative Day

June 29, 1985

finally solve this problem and keep McCormick Place what it truly is, a great and tremendous asset to the State of Illinois. I urge you to vote for House Resolution 629."

- Speaker Greiman: "The question is, "Shall the House adopt House
  Resolution 629?" All those in favor signify by voting
  \*aye\*, those opposed vote \*no\*. The Gentleman from
  DuPage, Mr. Daniels, one minute to explain his vote."
- Daniels: "Mr. Speaker, just briefly to make sure that the record is straight. McCormick Place Board has six appointments by the Mayor of Chicago, six appointments by the Governor of the State of Illinois. The current Chairman of McCormick Place was appointed by the Mayor of Chicago, and the Chairman, as such, would have the primary authority in which to operate the internal dealings of McCormick Place. And I think that as you review the record, you'll find that maybe we ought to be concerned with the taxpayers dollars instead of trying to put political blame for political purpose on somebody who may be a political opponent of individual in this House. Thank you for supporting this Resolution."
- Speaker Greiman: "Further dis... I'm sorry. Mr. Homer, one minute to explain your vote."
- Homer: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I'm voting in favor of this Resolution. I'm doing so, however, completely sympathetic to the position taken by Representative Matijevich, who expressed the views that I have. And I believe that we've actually, in the course of debate, established a meeting of the minds as to a subsequent Joint Resolution that will be presented that will add Senate Members to the investigative Body along with House Members and also include the State of Illinois Building. And I think it's absolutely essential that... that we agree on both of those points. And I think all the debate, both on this side and the other side of the

65th Legislative Day

June 29, 1985

aisle, has indicated that such a Joint Resolution would be favorably considered at that time. So I'm going to vote 'yes' with the clear understanding that this is... that this is a halfway measure, and it will be followed by something with some substance and some teeth in it which will be a Joint Resolution. So I look forward to voting on that subsequent Joint Resolution.

Speaker Greiman: "Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Mr. Clerk, take the record. On this question, there are 115 voting 'aye', none voting 'no', 3 voting 'present', and the House does adopt House Resolution 629.

The third portion of your Motion, Mr. Daniels, was that the House take from the table Senate Bill 1454, and such a Motion has been filed in writing. And on that Motion, the Gentleman from DuPage, Mr. Daniels."

Daniels: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, Senate Bill 1454 was the remaining legislation that dealt with, hopefully, a reform of the McCormick Place structure discussed on several instances by this Body, as well as discussed by the Speaker and the other Leaders and the within some of our discussions in the Governor's Governor Office of recent date. And it is my hope that from the table Senate Bill 1454 and place it on the Calendar so that we can go to the immediate consideration of Amendments to 1454 in an effort to place before the Body the guestion of reform of McCormick Place so that we can then move forward with the business of the people of Illinois. Thank vou.

Speaker Greiman: "The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Bowman, on the Motion."

Bowman: "Will the Gentleman yield for a question?"

Speaker Greiman: "He indicates he will."

Bowman: "Mr. Daniels, I was discussing a similar issue of taking

65th Legislative Day

June 29, 1985

a Bill from the table with one of your Leaders this morning. I was instructed that your side of the aisle was opposing all Motions to take any Bill from the table. I just want to know if that policy is abrogated by this... this Motion and whether you might reconsider my request.

Speaker Greiman: "Mr. Daniels vields for a question."

Daniels: "Was that to the... to the Speaker?"

Bowman: "No, that is to you, Sir."

Speaker Grieman: "No, that was to you, Mr. Daniels."

Daniels: "Oh..."

Bowman: "I was told that the policy of the Republican side of the aisle was to reject all such requests, and I just wanted to know whether that policy is being abrogated, and perhaps you might reevaluate the requests from our side of the aisle."

Daniels: "On the merits, Sir. On the merits."

Bowman: "Yes, okay, thank you very much."

Speaker Greiman: "The Gentleman from Macon, Mr. Dunn."

Dunn: "Will the Sponsor yield for a question?"

Speaker Greiman: "He indicates that he will."

Dunn: "In the interim since the Motion was called, I've looked in the Digest at the Bill and it seems to have nothing contained either in the Bill or the Senate Amendment which I can see in any way be relevant to what we're doing here now. Is there a proposal to use this as a vehicle to add some Amendments to it some place? Maybe my Digest is not complete. Maybe there's been some current action."

Speaker Greiman: "Mr. Daniels."

Daniels: "The Bill has been amended, Sir."

Dunn: "Hell, I know in the Senate. But has it been amended here?"

Daniels: "Yes, Sir."

Dunn: "Can you tell us what the Amendment does?"

65th Legislative Day

June 29, 1985

Daniels: "The original Amendment filed provides for a reform the McCormick Place Board by making the McCormick Place first. a state agency and then reducing the Board. membership on the board to nine members, all of whom would be appointed by the Governor with the advice and consent of the Senate. There has been an Amendment filed, which pending now to the Bill which would change that Amendment and make the Board a quasi-state agency with nine Amendments... nine members, six appointed by the Governor and three by the Mayor of Chicago."

Dunn: "Thank you."

Speaker Greiman: "Further discussion? The question is, \*Shall the House take from the table Senate Bill 1554? T m sorry, 1454. All in favor signify by voting 'aye', those \*no\*--vote 'no'. Voting is now open. voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Mr. Clerk. the record. On this question, there are 112 voting 'aye', 3 voting 'no', none voting \*present\*, and the Motion Mr. Clerk, read the Bill. Mr. Daniels, the Bill. carries. believe, is on the Order of ... was on the Order of Third Reading at the time that it was tabled, Third Reading Short Debate, so that that is where it is. Mr. Clerk, Bill."

Clerk Leone: "Senate Bill 1454 is a Bill for an Act concerning the Department of Law Enforcement. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Greiman: "Mr. Daniels."

Daniels: "Mr. Speaker, in cooperation, we might want to also, with leave of the House, suspend the deadline on this Bill until midnight, June 30th, so that it would give any Members the opportunity to participate in discussion of the Amendments and, with leave of the House, I would make that Motion, Sir."

65th Legislative Day

June 29. 1985

Speaker Greiman: "The Gentleman from Cook, Speaker Madigan."

Madigan: "Question of the Chair. Hhat is the deadline impact
upon the Bill now? The Gentleman has requested leave to
extend the deadline. What is the deadline for the Bill
now? Mr. Speaker. Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Greiman: "Yes. Mr..."

Madigan: "Let me simply suggest that it's my recollection that
the deadline for this Bill was extended until yesterday,
but then there was no effort yesterday to extend the
deadline one more day; and, therefore, the Bill died
pursuant to the rules."

Speaker Greiman: "That is correct."

Madigan: "And if that is the correct record and if Mr. Daniels is asking to extend that deadline to tomorrow, I would not agree to that, but I will agree to extend the deadline until midnight today so that he can proceed to call his Bill today. And, as a matter of fact, he can call it right now."

Speaker Greiman: "Mr. Daniels, I believe you do not have leave to
extend the deadline till tomorrow, so that we are prepared
now to move to your Bill. Mr. Daniels."

Daniels: "I think the Speaker said he would agree to extend the deadline to midnight tonight."

Speaker, Greiman: "Do you wish to take it out of the record?"

Daniels: "No, he..."

Speaker Greiman: "We'll extend the deadline, but the process is to take it out of the record right now."

Daniels: "With leave of the House, the deadline on the Bill's extended to midnight tonight. Is that correct, Sir?"

Speaker Greiman: "Mr. Madigan, on his request for leave."

Madigan: "Mr. Speaker, I would propose that we extend the deadline to midnight tonight, which would then obviate the impact of that rule upon consideration of the Bill and that

65th Legislative Day

June 29, 1985

we proceed to a consideration of the Bill within the next minute. Let us not delay."

Speaker Greiman: "Mr. Madigan has... has offered an Amendment to your Motion that we extend it to today and that we hear the Bill at this time, that we go to this Order now. The Clerk has read the Bill on Third Reading. The Bill is properly on Third Reading because you have taken it from the table, and we are prepared. Mr. Daniels, the Gentleman from DuPage, on Senate Bill 1454, on the Order of Third Reading Short Debate. Mr. Vinson, for what purpose do you seek recognition?"

Daniels: "Would you return the Bill to Second Reading, Sir?"

Speaker Greiman: "The House may do that."

Daniels: "Alright."

was denied."

Speaker Greiman: "You may put that Motion, Sir."

Daniels: "Alright, ask leave of the House to return the Bill to

Second Reading for purpose of an Amendment."

Speaker Greiman: "The Gentleman from DuPage asks leave of the House to place this Bill... to return this 3ill to the Order of Second Reading for the purposes of an Amendment.

Does the Gentleman have leave? Well, I guess you don't have leave. Mr. Madigan."

Madigan: "Mr. Speaker, what was that last question of the Chair?"

Speaker Greiman: "The question was... Mr. Daniels like to return

the Bill to the Order of Second Reading. He asked leave of

the House to return the Bill to Second Reading and leave

Madigan: "And I  $\bullet \bullet \bullet$  I would move that the matter be taken to Second Reading  $\bullet$ "

Speaker Greiman: "Mr. Madigan moves to return the Bill... to return the Bill to the Order of Second Reading. All in favor say 'aye', those opposed 'no'. In the opinion of the Chair, the 'ayes' have it, and the Bill is returned to the

65th Legislative Day

June 29 1985

Order of Second Reading for the purposes of Amendment. Ar. Clerk, are there any Amendments?

Clerk Leone: "Amendment #2, Daniels - Pullen - et al, amends

Senate Bill 1454 as amended."

Speaker Madigan: "The Gentleman from DuPage, Mr. Daniels."

Daniels: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I believe everyone has a copy of Amendment 82."

Speaker Greiman: "Mr. Clerk, has this... has this Amendment been distributed, printed and distributed?"

Daniels: "Has Amendment #3 been distributed?"

Speaker Greiman: \*Apparently Amendment #2 has not been distributed, nor has Amendment #3.\*\*

Daniels: "Nor has... Well, then let me suggest that maybe... I
know they're extensive Amendments. Why don't we put this
over with leave of the House to 5:00 this afternoon?
Amendments will be printed, distributed. And everyone can
hear it at 5:00."

Speaker Greiman: "Mr. Madigan."

Daniels: "Yes."

Madigan: "Mr. Speaker, are we on the Order of Amendment #2?"

Speaker Greiman: "Second Reading, Amendment #2, but Amendment #2 has not been distributed, and it would be the position of the Chair that we can't take that up."

Madigan: "Mr. Speaker, I now move to suspend the provisions of that rule so as to permit the consideration of this Amendment immediately, and I hope that I'm joined by Mr. Daniels."

Speaker Greiman: "The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Madigan, asks
leave to suspend the rule with respect to printing and
distribution so that the matter may be heard on the Order
of Second Reading with respect to Amendments 2 and — how
many more Amendments are there, Mr. Clerk — 2... 2 and 3.

Is there leave? You have leave. Mr... Mr. Clerk. Mr.

65th Legislative Day

June 29, 1985

Daniels, on Amendment #2."

Daniels: "Now did the Gentleman's Motion go to 3 as well?"

Speaker Grieman: "Yes."

Daniels: "Is that correct, Sir?"

Speaker Greiman: "Yes, Mr. Madigan..."

Daniels: "No, he's seeking recognition."

Madigan: "My recollection is that it did not extend to 3, but I now move to amend the Motion to include Amendment #3."

Speaker Greiman: "Is there leave? Leave is granted. Mr. Clerk... Mr. Clerk, read the..."

Daniels: "Then I would withdraw Amendment #2 and go straight to #3."

Speaker Greiman: "Amendment #2 is withdrawn. Further

Amendments?"

Clerk Leone: "Floor Amendment #3, Daniels - Pullen - et al, amends Senate Bill 1454 as amended."

Speaker Greiman: "The Gentleman from DuPage, Mr. Daniels, on Amendment #3."

Daniels: "Mr. Speaker. Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. Amendment #3 to Senate Bill 1454 provides a structure of accountability for the Metropolitan Fair and Exposition Authority commonly known as McCormick Place. It provides provisions for state auditing. Open Meetings Act. Purchasing Act: under the Personnel Code, the Administration... Administrative Procedures Act. The Board would fall under the Public Records Act, the Freedom of Information Act, the Illinois Rights Commission and the Governmental Ethics Act. In addition to the provisions above, which I just stated, it also relates the dav-to-dav operations in McCormick Place which would involve that a full-time manager must be bevolame and cannot be engaged in any other business or employment. Six board members must approve the appointment of a general

65th Legislative Day

June 29, 1985

manager of the Fair. The Governor would appoint six... six members of the Board. The Mayor of Chicago Hould This would be a nine member Board. The six members appointed by the Governor would be subject to the advice and consent of the Senate. It would require of the Board budget approval by six votes of the Board, and the Board would have to file three year financial plans. review of the professional staff would be conducted as well annua) report to the General Assembly. It also an addresses the very important problem of completion o f the construction of McCormick Place. And due to the particular connected with the construction of the new annex, special provisions relating to the completion construction are proposed. For one, a construction manager would be appointed to oversee the completion οf the project, and the construction manager would have t o he approved by six of the Board members. There must be strict adherence to the building codes, an immediate audit by the Auditor General of the construction project and any change exceeding 100,000 dollars would require Board approval by at least six Board members. There would monthly progress reports on construction to the Governor and to the Mayor, including a report of anv anticipated overruns. In regards to financing the completion of the annex, the money necessary to finance the completion of... build up the annex of McCormick Place would come within two funds if the Members of the General Assembly Sa deemed it appropriate. One potential fund would be under the Build Illinois Fund. The additional potential fund. depending upon the wishes of the General Assembly, would come into a bond issue which would be subordinate to the original bond issue. That is a matter that would be the fourth part of this plan if the Members of the General

65th Legislative Day

June 29, 1985

Assembly wish to talk about the financing and the completion of the annex to McCormick Place. I seek your favorable support of this Amendment and suggest to you that this is an appropriate vehicle in which to reform the structure of McCormick Place. Thank you.

- Speaker Greiman: "The Gentleman from DuPage has moved for the adoption of Amendment #3 to Senate Bill 1454. And on that question, the Gentleman from Cook, Speaker Madigan."
- Madigan: "Mr. Speaker, to expedite the process, I will agree to accept the Amendment and then debate the issue on Third Reading."
- Speaker Greiman: "Further discussion? There being none, the question is, 'Shall the Amendment be adopted?' All in favor say 'aye', those opposed 'no'. In the opinion of the Chair, the 'ayes' have it. Amendment £3 is adopted. Further Amendments?"

Clerk Leone: "No further Amendments."

Speaker Greiman: "Third Reading. Mr. Daniels."

- Daniels: "And I would... I assume I have immediate consideration on Third Reading."
- Speaker Greiman: "The Gentleman from DuPage, Mr. Daniels, moves to waive Rule 37(c) by the use of the Attendance Roll Call so that this Bill may be considered at this time. Does the Gentleman have leave? Mr. Daniels, you have leave. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill on the Order of Third Reading."
- Clerk Leone: "Senate Bill 1454, a Bill for an Act concerning the

  Department of Law Enforcement. Third Reading of the Bill."
- Daniels: "Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, let me suggest to you that the Amendment before you right now will accomplish the third of a total of four processes in terms of the McCormick Place problems that we have and are now so familiar with. Certain people talk about how we undertook the investigation in terms of the McCormick Place

65th Legislative Day

June 29, 1985

annexation expansion. But may I remind you that we didn't go into this investigation with our eyes closed as to was on the Board. We didn't go into this investigation with our eyes closed as to who made the appointments on the Board and who serves as the general... the general manager of McCormick Place. We knew that six were appointed by the Mayor of Chicago and six were appointed by the Governor of the State of Illinois and the general manager was operating under the authority of the Board. The fact is, what we were doing and we knew who the Board members are and we still went ahead on this investigation. He wanted to proceed. and we wanted to reform McCormick Place. For, you see, when we saw the problem this magnitude, we recognized that the taxpavers funds must protected at all costs, regardless of who may be involved, regardless of who may make the appointments, regardless of any individuals, regardless of their political stripes and regardless of their connections. McCormick Place year, after year, after year has been the subject of debate in the General Assembly. Something must be done, regardless who is to... at blame. And we have the opportunity now to reform McCormick Place, so that We have that ahilitu. The opportunity is upon us. Amendment before you will accomplish that purpose. And Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I suggest that this is your opportunity to participate in the reform, to participate in the very important project of reforming Board, which, unfortunately, has shown itself not to be effective and to be wasting taxpayers dollars. Please join with me in supporting this Amendment, and I'm happy to answer any questions that may come about."

Speaker Greiman: "The Gentleman from DuPage, Mr. Daniels, has moved for the passage of Senate Bill 1454. And on that,

65th Legislative Day

June 29, 1985

the Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Madigan."

Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, we now Madigan: "Mr. have the second chapter in this cover-up and now takeover. the Resolution, the effort by the the beginning was Minority Leader to cover-up, to develop this smoke screen the public will not get to the real point of authority in the mess at McCormick Place. Δς. I said the appointment authority at the... earlier. the Fair Authority is such that the Governor appoints six, the Mayor appoints six. The Governor's appointments have been position from day one to stop this mess. They didn't do The historical facts are that since Mayor Washington elected. he has only had two appointments to this Was Roard. The other mayoral appointments were appointed bν previous Mayor. So clearly, Mayor Washington has not been in a position to do literally anything at the Fair This has been the Governor's show. Now here's the second chapter. This facility is located in Chicago. It's been there for how many years? It was built under an agreement between a Governor and a Mayor. that said the Mayor of Chicago would have an equal voice in the operations of this Authority. This Bill is simple. 11 let the Governor, whose appointments haven't simply savs. done a damn thing tο stop this mess, just take so that nobody will be in a position to complete control, will be in a position to ask stop him: nobody anv intelligent questions; nobody will be in a position to stop what might happen again just as it has happened in the last That's what this is all about. few months. First it's a smoke screen, a cover-up. Now it's takeover for no legitimate reason. I don't plan to support Bill. I'll tell you, Mr. Daniels, I think your Bill this will pass and then it will go to the Senate. And I hope it

65th Legislative Day

June 29, 1985

goes to the Governor, and then we go to the Governor and is this how we plan to build sav. Mr. Governor, Illinois?\* Are we going to build Illinois by stripping away from the City of Chicago? Well, I'm sure the assets Governor's listening right now, Governor, and Mr. will be no building of Illinois under these conditions, Mr. Mr. Good Governor. Good luck. Governor. luck. Daniels."

Speaker Greiman: "The Lady from Cook, Ms. Pullen."

Pullen: "We heard a previous speaker on a previous Motion sav posturing or a political show. something about political If there's been any such in this House today, we just heard How in the life... for the life of figure out that a Bill to restructure and reform McCormick Place and prevent future scandals and overruns such as wracking that place today could be considered a cover-up, I just can't figure that out. This Bill is long overdue. The issue has finally come to a head because the of the administration of McCormick Place. The issue has come to a head, Ladies and Gentlemen. time is now to do something about it. Year after year, the people in this Body are asked to appropriate money. to pour into McCormick Place. It is a worthy institution in its purpose, but there has been no accountability in that This Bill will provide accountability. This Bill says that if the state is expected to pour all resources into McCormick Place, then the state ought to be able to control what goes on there. The people on floor ought to be able to control what goes on there if we expected year after year to cough UD dough I urge an \*aye\* vote on this Bill, and McCormick Place. those who would not vote for this Bill are truly voting continue McCormick Place as it is - a shame, a shame and a

65th Legislative Day

June 29, 1985

ripoff of the taxpayers. Vote "yes"."

Speaker Greiman: "The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Cullerton."

Cullerton: "Yes, would the Sponsor yield?"

Speaker Greiman: "Indicates he'll yield for questions."

Cullerton: "Representative Daniels. Representative Daniels, ០១ page and 37 and 38 of your Amendment #3, you seem to strike... Well, all three of those pages strike language from the existing Act dealing with contracts. And you strike such things as requiring competitive bidding for supplies and services for anything greater than five thousand dollars. And you replace it with the Act subject to the State of Illinois Purchasing Act. Could you me whether that... what's in the Purchasing Act and how that differs from the McCormick Place Act?"

- Daniels: "The purpose of that provision, of course, was not to write into special law any special provisions relating to McCormick Place. The Purchasing Act under Illinois law has been interpreted on many occasions. It's the advice of counsel, who drafted this Amendment, that the Purchasing Act is a more appropriate facility under this quasi-state agency that would be formed."
- Cullerton: "But wouldn't we have the effect though in some cases

  of... of taking standards which are very tight such as

  requiring competitive bidding for anything over five

  thousand dollars and replacing it with state... current

  state law which might be a higher level?"

Daniels: "We don't think so."

Cullerton: "Well, I can tell you, for one, that with regard to
the State Purchasing Act, definitely does not go as low as
five thousand dollars. It's twice as high as I recall, so
that might be a problem with regard to this new state
agency in... in allowing for bids, noncompetitive bids, for
products. I just point that out because we do strike three

65th Legislative Day

June 29. 1985

full pages of very rigid requirements concerning the bidding with regard to McCormick Place. I also would indicate that at least this Amendment which does delete Amendment #1, which was adopted. is somewhat that Amendment #1 allowed for the Governor improvement in to have all the appointments. This does have having some appointments, but it once again, as Speaker Madigan indicated, just ignores the fact that the current Board cannot operate without the approval of the Governor. And if there are any problems with regard to the Board have already indicated in your previous Resolutions where you spoke about the... and you questioned the expertise of the members of the Board, then the blame clearly should be on the Governor. And the response in this Amendment to give the Governor total control is just really ludicrous. For that reason, I would rise in opposition to the Gentleman's Bill."

Speaker Greiman: "Further discussion? The Lady from Cook, Ms.

Braune"

Braun: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen οf the House. There's not a person here who wants to stand up in support of incompetence, or graft or corruption. But it's curious to me that the Governor's response to the alleged incompetence, and graft and corruption at McCormick Place that his Board. his Board. Ladies and Gentlemen. oversaw is to suggest legislation that will give him a better opportunity to control graft. and corruption and incompetence at McCormick Place. This is nothing more or less than a power grab, changing the rules in the middle of the game, taking away from the Mayor of the City of Chicago any opportunity whatsoever to have any input in the operation and management of that facility. I think it is a wrong direction. It is a hotheaded, irresponsible response

65th Legislative Day

June 29, 1985

to a situation which concerns us all, and I would encourage a \*no\* vote on Senate Bill 1454 in its present form.

Speaker Greiman: "The Gentleman from Jersey, Mr. Ryder."

Ryder: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. My point is very simple. It's the citizens of the entire State of Illinois that are for the construction of McCormick Place. It is the citizens of the entire State of Illinois that are being asked to foot the bill for the additional fifty-five or sixty million dollars. I'm not here to cast blame. That 's why we've got an audit and that's why we're going to an investigation. And if blame is to be placed, then so be it. But when one talks about a takeover or a power grab. then let's see what one has contributed. And I submit to vou that the City of Chicago has not. hv itself. contributed to the construction of McCormick Place And when there was cost overruns and a cry and a crisis, we didnot go to the Hayor of Chicago. Thev didn\*t go to the City Council of Chicago. They came to the General Assembly where 100% of that money has come in the first place. When one talks about a power grab, one should talk about accountability and responsibility. The citizens of the State of Illinois are reclaiming that which is rightfully theirs."

Speaker Greiman: "The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Ronan."

Speaker and Hembers of the House. Ronan: "Thank you. Mr. the most amazing debate I've heard today, but T \* m CHER we're going to hear better things later on. Reform is reform, and if we truly want reform, we've got an audit set up. We've got the procedures set up and now we do about looking into this investigation. power grab is a power grab. And what the Governor people here on the... on the to do through his Republican side of the aisle is take control over Board

65th Legislative Day

June 29, 1985

had tremendous influence over. he\*s alreadv look at some of the people who serve on that Board. got U.S. attorneys going in and out of that place so fast I even keep straight who is the U.S. attorney and who U.S. attornev. because whenever the Governor the switches them. they go on and off the Board. Now if that Board hasn't been functioning, let's look and see who's been put on it. We know who those people are. There's no sense in mentioning names. All you do is look at all the attornevs who've been there, have been there and on their way there. If they're not doing their come out in an audit. But why should you take away a long standing tradition of appointments? And whv should the get control over another entity that he's already Governor had a lot of control over in the past? Let's look the The facts are if mistakes happen, that Board wasn't doing its job and you've had supposedly some of the most competent legal minds and Some o f the greatest investigators of all time serving on that Board. If they didn't do their job it's going to come out over the next couple of months of investigations. But at that same time, using that phony excuse to have a power grab on the part of of the State of Illinois is wrong. Governor It's not the kind of public policy that we should be establishing, I cannot believe that any Member on this side of the aisle who really cares about reform is going to allow it to happen. I urge a 'no' vote on this proposal. T+ \*s last day of the Session. play the Let's get grandstand about the people's business so we can get out o f here night. I don't want to be here next week. get this over with. Let's defeat a bad Bill 50 we can leave tomorrow night and go back to our districts. Thank you very much."

65th Legislative Day

June 29, 1985

Speaker Greiman: "The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Shaw."

"Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen But I... I agree with some of the previous speakers of the aisle. Evervone want reform. on this side And while we talking about this reform, we might inform the Governor that... about the building... the Concordia Building over at the Department of Correction - that's Concordia Computer Center. A few months ago, they could have bought that for five million dollars for the whole building, but yet the Governor choose to pay almost over three million dollars a year for that building. don't see why the Minority Leader won't include that in his package. Maybe we should take that building and send it up to Chicago, rather than take McCormick Place and give it to I think if you want real reform, you should do that, Mr. Minority Leader and the Governor. down and discuss it with the Governor. There's no way that you could have bought a building for five million dollars and you chose to pay three point some million dollars rent on the building a year. Why don't you go down and confer with the Governor about that?"

Speaker Greiman: "The Gentleman from Lake, Mr. Matijevich."

Matijevich: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen, I move the previous question."

Speaker Greiman: "The Gentleman from Lake, Mr. Matijevich, moves
the main question be put. Those in favor say 'aye',
opposed 'no'. In the opinion of the Chair, the 'ayes' have
it. The main question will be put. Mr. Daniels to close."

Daniels: "Well, the real issue before us is really reform. The real issue is protection of taxpayers dollars. The real issue is how do we want to make sure that a 252 million dollar bond issue that we authorized last year and in less than one year a request for 60 million dollars more... Is

65th Legislative Day

June 29. 1985

there a Member of this House that could suggest that that's appropriate? Is there a Member of this House that to be connected with this kind of activity? T don't let's And reform talk about reform-The think socurrent structure that we have right now allowed for six mayoral appointments and six gubernatorial appointments electing their chairman, who happens to have been appointed by the Mayor, to operate McCormick Place - a chairman that has some veto authority over the Board to conduct the Board that they operation in such a manner ianored the verv principles of good government. They didn\*t comply with an auditing Act, a cyclical audit. They didn\*t comply Open Meetings Act. Did you hear about the meeting at 7:00 in the morning where the cost overruns first came out on Saturday morning? Did any member of the public get an opportunity to come out and attend that meeting at 7:00 the morning? You didn't hear about that, cause you weren't and neither was any other member of the public there. We require the Board to file resolutions on there. Board meetings and definite times. Do you know about how to get hold of the records? No, you don't know how to get hold of the records, but the reform measure before you provides for the Freedom of Information Act to be applicable the Place operation and to file governmental ethics statements and to be required to file the conflict interest laws of the State of Illinois as far as the Purchasing Act as well. And yes, the general manager o f McCormick Place, unlike previous time, must now... must now a full-time employee of McCormick Place. Did you know. Did you know that he wasn't a full time You didn't know it, did you? Hell, you McCormick Place? know now, and the reform measure would apply for So why, I ask you, is anybody in this House opposed why ...

65th Legislative Day

June 29, 1985

to the reform structure or opposed to the reformation the Board operation or opposed to the State of Illinois having actual responsibility over the operation McCormick Place? ī ask vou whv, and vou know why some Hembers may be opposed to the reform. The reason politics and politics as usual. And Ladies and Gentlemen, you all have the ability now to stop that operation and entrust your vote and your responsibility so that your constituents, whether they be from Centralia or from other this state or from the furthest point in the districts of southern tip of Illinois, you have an opportunity protect the taxpavers dollar. Representative Kubik said it earlier - 55 million dollars, 55 million dollars more on top of the 252 million dollars that they spent in one year. they spent. Protect vour of where they come from. Join in this reform, and join in the passage. And thank you for your

Speaker Greiman: "The question is, 'Shall this Bill pass?' All in favor signify by voting 'aye', those opposed vote 'no'.

Voting is now open, and this is final action. Lady from Champaign, one minute to explain your vote."

Satterthwaite: "Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, I'm sorry that I didn't have an opportunity to ask some questions for further clarification, but I have learned in the 11 years down here that things that are called reform are frequently traps instead. And so in order to avoid the possible trap of having now a state facility for which we will already be obligated to bail the facility out of its deficit, I'm going to vote 'present' because I did not support the original bond authorization for the expansion of McCormick Place, and I don't plan to expand on that with a bail out.

Speaker Greiman: "The Gentleman from Champaign, Mr. Johnson, one

65th Legislative Day

June 29, 1985

minute to explain your vote."

- Johnson: "From Cairo to Rockford, your citizens and constituents are paying taxes to support the activities of McCormick Place. And I would ask downstate Legislators in particular that when they go home and their constituents ask them how they spent their money, and you tell them that you voted to fund McCormick Place and let it be run bv local politicians who have no responsiveness t o vour taxpavers, and your constituents are paying the bill. suggest that you're going to have a hard time explaining that. And that every downstate Legislator, who represents the taxpayers of his district, ought to enthusiastically vote for this Bill to provide accountability 50 that in Cairo and Rockford and everywhere in between can control the money that their taxpayers are giving This is a big issue, and you McCormick Place to spend. ought to look at your vote real carefully."
- Speaker Greiman: "The Gentleman from Livingston, Ar. Ewing, one minute to explain your vote."
- Ewing: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I think there is a real similarity between what we're doing and what we had to do a couple years ago for the RTA and a couple years ago for the Chicago School System-We've had to bail them out. We\*ve had to pass reform packages here before, and they've worked well. This is another example of the need to do that. An analogy, which I'd like to make, is that none of us in operating our families would continue to open the checkbook and give an open pocketbook to our children in the McCormick Place. in the City of Chicago Schools, in the RTA, our children in the State of Illinois without some accountability. We're for accountability here. asking Vote "yes" for a good Bill."

65th Legislative Day

June 29, 1985

- Speaker Greiman: "Mr. Stephens, one minute to declare... to explain your vote."
- Stephens: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. The Leadership on the other side of the aisle has tried to draw attention away from the real issue here. And on behalf of the people of the 110th District, I'm proud to vote 'yes' on this Senate Bill 1454. It's the second time this week I've seen the Democratic Leadership stand up and point their finger across the aisle and say that they've got a better idea, that they've got a better solution. And you criticize a good Republican Bill. I wonder where their Bill is. I wonder where their Resolution is. I wonder where their votes are. It's clear to me that the real Leadership in this House resides this side of the aisle, and I congratulate those green votes."
- Speaker Greiman: "Have all voted who wish? Mr. Clerk, take the record. On this question, there are 78 voting 'aye', 34 voting 'no', 6 voting 'present'. This Bill, having received a Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. Yes, Mr. Daniels. Mr. Vinson."
- Vinson: "Mr. Speaker, I would ask that you declare this Bill passed with the Extraordinary Majority required by the Constitution."
- Speaker Greiman: "This Bill, having received a... a appropriate

  Extraordinary Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared

  passed."
- Vinson: "Thank you."
- Speaker Greiman: "Sure. Alright. Ar. Cullerton, for what purpose do you seek recognition?"
- Cullerton: "Yes, I have an inquiry of the Chair and perhaps of the Clerk. Has this Bill and the Amendment #3 been reproduced and placed on the desk of each Member before this final passage?"

65th Legislative Day

- June 29, 1985
- Speaker Greiman: "Mr. Clerk, has Amendment #3... was that in its former... final version, placed on the desk of each Member?"
- Clerk Leone: "Neither Amendment #2 or 3 have been distributed."
- Speaker Greiman: "The answer is no. Amendment #3... Neither

  Amendment #2 or 3 were on the desk of the Members at the

  time of the passage of this Bill, Mr. Cullerton."
- Cullerton: "Thank you. Ar. Speaker, I believe that Article..."
- Speaker Greiman: "Thank you. Mr. Cullerton, we're going to move on the next Order of Business. On the Order of Concurrence, Special Call - State and... Yes, Mr. Madigan."
- Madigan: "Mr. Speaker, I rise for the purpose of an announcement to our friend, Mr. Thompson, down in his bunker on the second floor. I hereby cancel our 2:00 meeting, Mr. Governor, the meeting that was called on Build Illinois. Thank you."
- Speaker Greiman: "On the Order of Special Call State and Local
  Government on the Order of Concurrence appears House Bill
  1847. Ms. Currie. Ms. Currie."
- Currie: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Members of the House. I believe we discussed this measure before we went to a different Order of Business, so I would simply renew my Motion that the House do concur in Senate Amendment 1 to House Bill 1847."
- Speaker Greiman: "Excuse me, Ms. Currie. Mr. Davis, for what purpose do you seek recognition?"
- Davis: "Well, I have an inquiry, Mr. Speaker. What Order of

  Business are we on?"
- Speaker Greiman: "Mr. Davis, we are on the Order of Concurrence,

  Special Call State and Local Government..."
- Davis: "Special Call..."
- Speaker Greiman: "... which is where we were at the time that we took Mr. McPike's Bill. He are now going to the next Bill

65th Legislative Day

June 29. 1985

on that Order."

Davis: "Is that a listed Order on a Supplemental Calendar?"

- Speaker Greiman: "It is a listed Order on a Special Subject
  Matter Call. It has been... And Subject Matter Calls have
  been provided to your Leadership. They are aware of it.
  You might go over and speak with them. Hr. McCracken, for
  what purpose do you seek recognition?"
- McCracken: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I wonder if the Sponsor would briefly just remind us of what the Bill does."

Speaker Greiman: "Ms. Currie, proceed."

- Currie: "Happy to, Mr. Speaker and Representative McCracken. The underlying Bill deals with a Supreme Court decision, Illinois Supreme Court decision, that made it unlikely that a case in which the assessor had made error the local governments could recover taxes without also recovering penalties and interest payments. In the situation in which an individual taxpayer was not quilty of fraud, there... it is unlikely that a court would impose penalty and interest payments because of assessor clerical error. Hhat this does is to say he taxes themselves will still be owing even if penalty and interest assessments appropriate."
- Speaker Greiman: "The Lady from Cook moves that the House concur in Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 1847. And on that, is there any discussion? There being none, the question \*Shall the House concur in Senate Amendment @1?\* All those in favor signify by voting 'aye', those opposed vote 'no'. Voting is now open, and this will be final action. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Mr. Clerk, take the record. On this question, there are 105 \*aye', 1 voting 'no', 1 voting 'present', and this... Mr. Tuerk votes 'aye'. 106 voting 'aye', 1 voting 'no', present. This Bill. having received

į

65th Legislative Day

June 29 + 1985

Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. And the House does concur in Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 1847. On the Order of Concurrences — Special Call appears House Bill 1849, Ms. Currie. Ms. Currie on 1849.

- Currie: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Members of the House. I move the House do concur in Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 1849. The underlying legislation creates a program for both municipal and shared municipal and county... work together on... on land resource management. The Bill is entirely permissive. The Senate Amendment had the effect of taking out unnecessary language in the Bill as it passed this chamber, language that might have raised questions about the authority of additional units of government who have control over their own property. I'd be happy to answer questions, and I would appreciate your support for the concurrence..."
- Speaker Greiman: "The Lady from Cook moves that the House do concur in Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 1849. And on that, is there any discussion? The Gentleman from DuPage,

  Mr. McCracken."
- McCracken: "Contrary to what you're thinking, Mr. Speaker, we're
  not engaging in any other tactics. I address myself to the
  Bill."

Speaker Greiman: "I never thought you did."

McCracken: "Will the Sponsor yield?"

Currie: "Yes."

McCracken: "Alright. To... To... To the Bill as originally enacted or passed with your Amendment. Currently do these municipalities and counties have this authority or was this Act promulgated out of a necessity for authorizing them to so Act?"

Currie: "It... It is unclear whether there would be statutory authority for the kind of... of joint planning process

65th Legislative Day

June 29, 1985

envisioned by this Bill. This was the... the remnants of a Commission report of four years ago — the Local Land Management Resource Planning Commission that had been a particular issue of former Representative Dick Mugalian. The Bills, when first introduced, were sponsored by myself and by Representative Clarence Neff, from your side of the aisle, someone who is no longer a Member of this chamber either. The Bills have undergone a great many revisions and in fact, this Session they are not opposed by the Municipal League or other groups that in the past had been concerned that the Bills were too far reaching in their implications."

- McCracken: "Alright, now the Senate Amendment, in your opinion,
  then would limit the Authority in its condemnation rights
  and zoning rights."
- Currie: "I think, in fact, the language that was removed by

  Senate Amendment was rather more hortatory than meaningful.

  But because there were local governments, not themselves

  even municipalities or counties, who feared that that

  language might limit their own authority over issues that

  have otherwise been granted them, we took that language

  out."
- McCracken: "Alright, and that's the only point I'm trying to make. For the record, this does not preempt or supersede any ordinance or other local authority relative to this type of issue."

Currie: "It does not."

McCracken: "Alright. And that includes annexation rights."

Currie: "That is my understanding, Representative."

McCracken: "Alright, thank you."

Speaker Greiman: "There being no further discussion, the question is, 'Shall the House concur in Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 1849?' All in favor signify by voting 'aye', those

65th Legislative Day

June 29, 1985

opposed vote 'no'. This is final action. Have all voted Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Mr. Clerk, take the record. On this question, there 103 voting 'ave'. 9 voting 'no'. none voting areass And this Bill, having received... I'm sorry. \*present\*. Mr. Mulcahev. On this... Mr. Piel votes "aye". There are 104 voting 'aye', 9 voting 'no', none voting 'present', and the House does concur in Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 1849having received a Constitutional And this Bill. Majority, is hereby declared passed. Yes, Mr. Mulcahey."

Mulcahey: "Mr. Speaker, an inquiry of the Chair, please. What Order of Business are we on right now?"

Speaker Greiman: "We are on the Order of Special Call — State and

Local Government Concurrence."

Mulcahey: "Okay. And how do we know which Bill is going to be next? Do we have a copy of that Special Order of Call?"

Speaker Greiman: "Well, I'm not sure whether it's been distributed or not frankly. What I will..."

Mulcahev: "Mr. Speaker, I..."

Speaker Greiman: "Yes."

Mulcahey: "Mr. Speaker, I would... I would ask that the

Membership get a copy of the Special Order of Call now and

also whatever we go to in the future."

Speaker Greiman: "Yes, I think that would be appropriate. Are Clerk, we will furnish the Members with a Special Order of Call which the Parliamentarian will provide you. The next Bills, however... I will just read off the next three Bills or so on the list so we can continue going on that. 1889, Mr. Leverenz. 1914, Mr. Steczo. And 1925, Ms. Didrickson. Mr. Davis, yes, for what purpose do you seek recognition?"

Davis: "Mr. Speaker, an inquiry of the Chair and perhaps of the Chair's staff. How... How is it determined what is a state

65th Legislative Day

June 29, 1985

and local concurrence, Mr. Speaker?"

Speaker Greiman: "Special subject matter."

Davis: "I understand that. But I mean, how is it determined on the Calendar on Concurrences? Is it by subject matter inclusive totally of all state and local matters that are on Concurrence?"

Speaker Greiman: "Special Call by subject matter. On the

Davis: "Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Greiman: "On that Special Call... Yes, Mr..."

Davis: "House Bill 1918 remains on the Calendar, and you apparently have left it off the Call. I'm sure that must have been inadvertent. But if you could, Sir, we would get to that before 1925, and I would appreciate your inclusion on this Special Subject Matter."

Speaker Greiman: "We'll check it out."

Davis: "It is a state and local government issue."

Speaker Greiman: "On the Order of Special... State and Local Government appears House Bill 1889. And on that, Mr. Leverenz. Mr. Leverenz. Out of the record. On the Order of House Bills Special Call - State and Local Government appears House Bill 1914, Mr. Steczo."

Steczo: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Members of the House. House Bill 1914 in its original form, as it left this House, provided for the annexation of eight parcels of property in south suburban Cook County to the Metropolitan Sanitary District. At the request of Representative Kirkland, the Senate Amendment provided the annexation of an additional two parcels of property in his district, and I would move for the concurrence to Senate Amendment #2 to House Bill 1914."

Speaker Greiman: "The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Steczo, moves that the House concur in Senate Amendment #2 to House Bill 1914.

65th Legislative Day

June 29, 1985

And on that, is there any discussion? There being none. question is, 'Shall the House concur in Senate Amendment #2 to House Bill 1914?' All in favor signify voting 'ave', those opposed vote 'no'. Voting is now open. and this is final action. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Mr. Clerk, take the record. Dα this question. 107 voting... 108 voting 'ave', none voting 'no', none... 3 voting 'present', and the House does concur in Senate Amendment \$2 to House Bill 1914. And this Bill, received a Constitutional Majority, is having hereby declared passed. I\*m advised that Ms. Stern 15 hyphenated Cosponsor of 1889. On the ... As Stern, on House Bill 1889."

"Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, House Bill 1889 Stern: a relatively... totally noncontroversial Bill that deals with the disposal of 28 slivers of land accumulated over the years by the Cook County Forest Preserve, little chunks that lie between the tollway and the forest preserve, little pieces left over from various developments. in the Amendment which removed one of the 20. I guess at that time, 29 pieces. I urge an \*aye\* vote Concurrence."

Speaker Greiman: "The Lady from Lake moves that the House do concur in Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 1889. And on that, is there any discussion? There being none... Yes, Mr. McCracken, the Gentleman from DuPage."

McCracken: "Would the Sponsor yield?"

Speaker Greiman: "Indicates that she will."

McCracken: "Alright. Would you explain again? I'm sorry. The noise... Only now have we quieted down. I didn't hear a word you said. Could... Could you explain that briefly?"

Stern: "I'd be happy to, Mr. McCracken. This is a Bill that

permits the Cook County Forest Preserve to dispose of 28

65th Legislative Day

June 29, 1985

slivers of land that has been accumulated over the years, little leftover pieces from where the tollway went through and severed a... an area, assorted bits of that sort. The Amendment in the Senate dealt with removing one particular piece from the list. That's all, and we concur."

McCracken: "Okay. And there's no money involved?"

Stern: "At the moment no money, no."

McCracken: "Oh, oh, oh, it authorizes them to sell." tfh;7

Stern: "To dispose it, right."

McCracken: "Very good. Thank you."

Stern: "You bet."

Speaker Greiman: "Further discussion? The Gentleman from Macon.

Mr. Dunn."

Dunn: "Well, Mr. Speaker, just a suggestion. We were trying to expedite the Order of the... Business of the House, and I wonder if we might just consider taking all the Bills on this Special Order of Concurrence and all the Bills on all the Special Orders yet to be announced and just do them all in one Roll Call. Why... Why don't we just do that?"

Speaker Greiman: "I'm sorry, Mr. Dunn. Was that a question to the Chair? The question is... is there any further There being none, the question is, \*Shall the discussion? House concur in Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill those in favor signify by voting 'aye', those opposed vote 'no'. Voting is now open, and this is final Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Mr. Clerk, take the record. On this question, there are 116 voting 'aye', none voting 'no', none voting 'present'. And the House does concur in Senate Amendment \$1 to House Bill Bill, having received a Constitutional 1889. And this Majority. hereby declared passed. Representative Breslin in the Chair."

Speaker Breslin: "Representative Didrickson on Senate Bill...

65th Legislative Day

June 29, 1985

- House Bill 1925. It appears on page six on your Calendar." Didrickson: "Thank you. Madam Speaker. Members of the House. House Bill 1925, as it went out, made certain changes compact on mental health with regards to interstate two, it amended the... transfers, number one. Number Confidentiality Act. And number three, it also codified existing practices of releasing clients to a community based residential group home. There was some concern with amending the Confidentiality Act. I promised regards to that we would work on a Senate Amendment. He were unable to clarify the language so that all parties agreed so we have amended out the portion on the Confidentiality and so I move to concur with Senate Amendment @l."
- Speaker Breslin: "The Lady has moved that the House concur in Senate Amendment 21 to House Bill 1925. On that question, the Gentleman from Cook, Representative Cullerton."
- Cullerton: "Thank you, Madam Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of I just rise to compliment Representative on her Motion, to indicate that I concur with Didrickson her and to point out that even though our Leaders Parties may not get along that you and I still do. And those of us down in the... in the... lower in the ranks a o the business of the House responsibly and without anv... without any fighting, and it's refreshing. acrimony. We're out here working. back there doing whatever they do, and it's refreshing."
- Didrickson: "Representative Cullerton, we make a great Cook

  County team. Thank you very much."
- Cullerton: "That's right. Thank you. Thanks for your vote,
  until Vinson got you to change."
- Speaker Breslin: "The Gentleman from DuPage, Representative McCracken."

McCracken: "Will the Sponsor yield?"

65th Legislative Day June 29, 1985

Speaker Breslin: "She will yield for a question."

- McCracken: "To your knowledge, was the Senate Amendment to which you concur the suggestion of Representative Cullerton?"
- Didrickson: "It... We could not agree on language and so yes, I had promised him that we would strike it completely."
- McCracken: "I... I think he's very open-minded in congratulating
  you on accepting his Amendment."
- Speaker Breslin: "The question is, "Shall the House concur in Amendment #1 to House Bill 1925?\* All those in Senate favor vote "ave", all those opposed vote "no". Voting This is final passage. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this question, there are 113 voting 'aye', 1 voting 'no' and none voting 'present', and the House does concur in Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 1925. And this 3il1. received the Constitutional Majority, is hereby House Bill 1928, Representative Olson. declared passed. Representative Olson? Out of the record. Bill 1933. Representative Kirkland."
- Kirkland: "Thank you, Madam Speaker. I move to concur in Amendment #1 which adds to the Bill the substance of House Bill... excuse me, Senate Bill 1260 that went out of here unanimously. The original Bill amends the Explosive Act and Conservation of Oil and Gas Act, raising magazine owner permit fees, extending explosives possession licenses from one year to three years, raising bond requirements for coal, gas and oil and drilling lease... leases and SO forth. The Amendment is... defines who is entitled to payment and who must pay royalty fees for connection production of oil and gas. Establishes a schedule for such payment. Provides that the interest that must be paid if payments are not made within the schedule and other terms. I move for passage... move for concurrence."

65th Legislative Day

June 29, 1985

Speaker Breslin: "The Gentleman has moved to concur in Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 1933. Is there any discussion? the question is, \*Shall the House concur in none. Senate Amendment 21 to House Bill 1933? All those in favor vote 'ave'. all those opposed vote 'no'. Voting is open. This is final action. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this there are 114 voting 'aye', none voting 'no', none voting \*present\*, and the House does concur in Amendment #1 to House Bill 1933. And this Bill. having received the Constitutional Majority, is hereby daclared House Bill 1951 appears on page Calendar, Representative Stange."

Stange: "Thank you, Madam Chairman (sic - Speaker). I move concurrence on House Bill 1951. The purpose of this House Bill 1951 is to empower the Director of Central Management Services to develop a plan for providing alternative employment for employees who have become disabled, or nonservice connected. It was amended in the provide that the plan t o he voluntary. and nonparticipation would not... preclude the employee from receiving benefits either from workmens\* comp or the retirement system. This Amendment was added at the request of AFSCME to take care of its problem with the basic Bill. I move for concurrence on House Bill... Senate Bill

Speaker Breslin: "The Gentleman has moved to concur in Senate

Amendment #1 to House Bill 1951. And on the question, the

Gentleman from Cook, Representative Cullerton."

Cullerton: "Yes, would the Sponsor yield?"

Speaker Breslin: "He will."

Cullerton: "The... My question is concerning the addition of the collect... the language dealing with collective bargaining.

Has that at the request of AFSCHE?"

65th Legislative Day

Stange: "That's correct."

Cullerton: "That these new plans would be subject to the collective bargaining agreement. Is that what that Amendment does?"

Stange: "They will be voluntary. The whole program is voluntary."

Cullerton: "Alright, thank you."

Speaker Breslin: "There being no further discussion, the question is. \*Shall the House concur in Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 1951?\* All those in favor vote 'aye', all those opposed vote 'no'. Voting is open. This is final Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this question, there are voting 'aye', none voting 'no' and none voting 'present'. And this House concurs in Senate Amendment to House Bill 1951. And this Bill, having received the Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. Bi 11 1952 appears on page seven on your Calendar, Representative Oblinger."

Oblinger: "Thank you, Madam Speaker. This is a Bill that amends the State Employees Group Insurance Act and makes the contribution rate equal to that from the GRF or the Road Fund for the other systems except the university systems, the Agricultural Premium Fund and the Fire Prevention Fund. Senate Amendment 2, which is on this Bill and which I wish to concur with, only adds the word for a definition of plan so that the State Group Employees Insurance can consider among other things wellness, utilization and second opinion and medical fee reviews."

Speaker Breslin: "The Lady moves to concur in Senate Amendment #2 to House Bill 1952. And on the question, the Gentleman from Cook, Representative Cullerton."

Cullerton: "Will the Sponsor yield?"

June 29, 1985

65th Legislative Day

Speaker Breslin: "She will."

Cullerton: "Representative Oblinger, is your House Amendment #1 still on the Bill?"

Oblinger: "Pardon?"

Cullerton: "Is your House Amendment #1 still on the Bill?"

Oblinger: "Yes, that went into... to the Senate Amendment. It is off."

Cullerton: "It is off? It is on?"

Oblinger: "He explains that it is still on the Bill, but it has been revised."

Cullerton: "Do you remember me questioning you about this Bill when we first passed it, and I asked you why you were accepting Amendment #1? And I think you admitted that it seriously dilutes the purposes of your Bill."

Oblinger: "Is that the one that exempted the funds that were university systems, Agricultural Premium Fund and the Fire Prevention Fund?"

Cullerton: "Yeah, remember that?"

Oblinger: "Yes. That came back in Amendment #2."

Cullerton: "And they're still exempt, aren't they?"

Oblinger: "Yes."

Cullerton: "They're still exempt, right?"

Oblinger: "Yes."

Cullerton: "And you're... Who... Who was it that got you to do this?"

Oblinger: "It was... It was the universities that requested this,

Sir. It was not my idea. It was their idea, and they
requested this."

Cullerton: "Alright, now this Bill is a very significant Bill, isn't it? Because we're going to save a lot of money."

Oblinger: "Yes."

Cullerton: "We're going to save, I think, something like 15,000,000 dollars. How much are we going to save?"

June 29, 1985

65th Legislative Day

June 29, 1985

Oblinger: "He assures me that we lose one million through this way but we save 15,000,000. Yes, you're right."

Cullerton: "And if we didn't exempt out the universities, we would save 45,000,000?"

Oblinger: "No, one million more."

Cullerton: "Oh, one million, okay. Well, it's better than nothing. Is that basically what you're saying? It's better than nothing."

Oblinger: "Yes."

Cullerton: "Yes, thank you."

Speaker Breslin: "The question is, 'Shall the House concur Senate Amendment #2 to House Bill 1952? All those in favor vote "aye", all those opposed vote 'no". Votina ic This is final passage. Have all voted who wish? open. Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the On this question, there are 116 voting 'aye', none voting "no" and none voting 'present'. And the House concurs Amendment #2 to House Bill 1952. And this Bill, the Constitutional Majority, having received is hereby declared passed. House Bill 1974, Representative Hait. It appears on page seven on your Calendar. Representative Wait."

Wait: "Thank you, Mr... Madam Speaker. House Bill 1974 was just a technical Amendment, and then in the Senate, they added... would raise the allowable limit from five cents to ten cents to match the matching fund... to match the federal matching funds."

Speaker Breslin: "The Gentleman has moved to concur in Senate

Amendment #1 to House Bill 1974. On the question,

Representative Cullerton."

Cullerton: "Yes, would the Sponsor yield?"

Speaker Breslin: "He will."

Cullerton: "Representative Wait, the Bill as we passed out of

65th Legislative Day

June 29, 1985

here was basically a... a shell Bill. Is that correct?"

- Wait: "No, it was actually a Bill to correct a technical problem.

  IDOT gave me the Bill, and they assured me that it was not
  a shell Bill, that it was an honest, sincere problem that
  they had."
- Cullerton: "Well, it... sure it was because it corrected incorrect cross-references to other Sections of the Act.

  But this... this Bill only deals with the Highway Code. Is that right?"
- Wait: "Yes, I believe so."
- that it makes are changes in the Cullerton: "And the changes Township and the Road Districts' Road Article. Now. the Senate Amendment, and I'm for it, by the I want you to know I am from Chicago, and I will to vote for this... this Bill because I don't think it will increase the taxes in my- district. But. 25 T understand it. this Amendment that the Senate put on raises the maximum rate. Well, it double it. It doubles the tax. What type of a tax is this? Property tax?"
- Wait: "Yes, this is a property tax. It would match the... It
  would be a matching fund tax. There's three different
  taxes they have locally: a bridge tax, a roads tax and a
  matching tax to match the federal money that we get."
- Cullerton: "Right. So in other words, the more we raise our taxes, we get an equal amount from the Federal Government?"
- Wait: "No, actually, for every dollar we spend locally, we get two-and-a-half times from the Federal Government."
- Cullerton: "Okay. So all we're doing here is doubling our own property tax or your own property tax for the downstaters so that we will get more money, a two to one ratio from the Federal Government."
- Wait: "Okay. We are just raising the cap here. We are not passing onto the property owners. It would be left up to

65th Legislative Day

June 29. 1985

the county board. The county board would have to vote it."

Cullerton: "Of course, that's what we do when we raise... That's

the first step that we take when we raise taxes."

Wait: "It would be similar to the sales tax we're talking about, authorizing the county board to raise it."

Cullerton: "Right, now, I want you to know that I'm only raising these questions so that if there happens to be anybody in the room who don't... who normally doesn't like to vote for raising taxes, property taxes especially, that they could vote no. But of course, I'm going to support it because I know that... that it's very important downstate to have good strong roads for those big trucks. And I'm... I'm in favor of supporting... I hope you get enough votes to pass it."

Speaker Breslin: "The Gentleman..."

Cullerton: "But I want to point out that if anyone is... Excuse
me, Madam Speaker, I'm almost finished. I want to point
out that if anyone who is from downstate who doesn't...
isn't in the mood to raise their property taxes, they may
want to vote 'no' or 'present'."

Speaker Breslin: "The Gentleman from... The Gentleman from Macon,

Representative Dunn. The Gentleman from Bureau,

Representative Mautino."

Mautino: "Will the Gentleman yield for questions?"

Speaker Breslin: "He will."

Mautino: "Representative Wait, by concurring with the Amendment, you are providing for an increase in taxes on property tax for the Road Fund of the township. Is that not correct?"

Wait: "Yes, that is correct."

Mautino: "That means that what the Amendment does is say to those people in the city, your taxes will be increased, and it will not benefit your roads because the roads are covered by the city portion and not the township or county portion.

65th Legislative Day

June 29, 1985

Is that correct?"

Wait: "I... I'm not sure on that to tell you the truth."

Mautino: "Well, I think the answer's yes. And you're saying to
the people in the rural community, "We will tax your
personal property, your homestead and three acres. We will
double that." And the result will be an increased motor
fuel tax allocation for the roads in the country. Is that
not correct?"

Wait: "Yes, that could be so."

Mautino: "To the... To the Motion on Concurrence, if I may, Madam Speaker."

Speaker Breslin: "Proceed."

downstater who is as well vitally concerned with the road programs, maintenance and construction, I stand in opposition to providing the county board or the township board to increase this tax without referendum and only by resolution. There is a difference to it. What you are proposing here is that those people in the cities and the urban areas have their real estate taxes increased for betterment of the Motor Fuel Tax Fund of the township in the county when, in fact, almost all of the townships and the counties have not only the Road Fund but the Bridge And in many of the downstate counties. Fund as well. the most lucrative Fund that they have to operate with. I understand full well your attempt to help those but you are, in fact, asking for a disproportionate amount of funds to be provided by the urban area for expenditures on the township and county roads without having the benefit of the referendum by those people who would be paying 80 to 90% of the new tax, and I stand in opposition to the concurrence on 1974."

Speaker Breslin: "Representative Wait, to close,"

Wait: "Thank you, Madam Speaker. I would just ask support on

65th Legislative Day

June 29, 1985

this. basically would allo₩ the county board to decide what is proper and fair for their people. Those people are elected by the... by the local residents of that and they then, if they didn't like it, if they felt they were not being properly treated on their needless to sav. they would kick these people out next time around. It's returning to the local government local sav that we think down here that oftentimes we take away from. And I would simply ask for vote on this."

question is. 'Shall the House concur in Speaker Breslin: "The Senate Amendment &1 to House Bill 1974?\* A11 those in vote 'aye', all those opposed vote 'no'. favor Voting is This is final action. Have all voted voted who wish? Representative Homer. Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. question, there are 34 voting 'ave', 71 voting 'no' and 11 voting \*present\*. Representative Wait now t o MOVES nonconcur."

Wait: "Nonconcur, please."

Speaker Breslin: "The Gentleman moves to nonconcur in Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 1974. Is there any discussion? the question is. 'Shall the House nonconcur Hearing none. in Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 1974? A11 'aye', all those opposed say 'nay'. favor sav In the opinion of the Chair, the 'ayes' have it, and the House nonconcurs in Senate Amendment #1 House Bill 1974. to House Bill 2132 appears on page seven on your Representative Ryder."

Ryder: "Thank you, Madam Chairman (sic - Speaker). On House Bill 2132, I move to concur. The original Bill tagged on CMS expenditures to GSA pricing. The Senate Democrats attached language which clarified that this can only be done in the

65th Legislative Day

June 29, 1985

event that there is a savings or an amount equal to what would have been accomplished under competitive bidding. I move to concur."

Speaker Breslin: "The Gentleman moves to concur in Senate

Amendment #1 to House Bill 2132. On the question,

Representative Mautino."

Mautino: "Will the Gentleman yield?"

Speaker Breslin: "He will."

Mautino: "Representative Ryder, does this exempt anyone from competitive bidding in this state for purchases or services provided?"

Ryder: "No, it does not."

Mautino: "It does not exempt anyone from the bidding process. Is that correct?"

Ryder: "That's correct."

Mautino: "Does it exempt any goods and services from the bidding process?"

Ryder: "This Bill simply tags GSA pricing, which has been determined to be acceptable, on the kinds of supplies — and we're talking about adding machines, cash registers, that sort of thing. Okay?"

Speaker Breslin: "The question is, 'Shall the House concur in Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 2132?\* All those in 'aye', all those opposed vote 'no'. Voting is Have all voted who wish? This is final passage. Have all voted who wish? Representative Homer, would you punch my switch please? Have all voted The who wish? Clerk will take the record. On this question, there are 118 voting 'aye', none voting 'no' and none votina 'present'. And the House concurs in Senate Amendment #1 to And this Bill, having received the House Bill 2132. Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. Bill 2259. Representative Greiman. It appears on page 65th Legislative Day

June 29 1985

eight on your Calendar. Representative Greiman."

- Greiman: "Thank you, Speaker. This Bill is returned with an Amendment that merely... it keeps the Bill in its good condition that it... that it left, but merely extends to the City of Chicago the right to require certification as to water charges. And I would have no objection. I would move that the House do concur with Senate Amendment #1."
- Speaker Breslin: "The Gentleman moves to concur in Senate

  Amendment #1 to House Bill 2259. Is there any discussion?

  The Gentleman from DuPage, Representative McCracken."

McCracken: "Parliamentary inquiry."

Speaker Breslin: "State your inquiry."

- McCracken: "Does this require an Extraordinary Majority to concur in light of the fact it limits home rule? I... I'm not necessarily opposed to the Bill. I'm just making the inquiry."
- Speaker Breslin: "We... We will look at the Bill. In the meantime... Representative Mautino."
- McCracken: "Okay, then I... I have a question of the Sponsor then."
- Speaker Breslin: "Okay. Proceed then, Representative McCracken."

  McCracken: "I suppose it's not worth killing the Bill, but, you know, that water payment clear up in the City in order of effect a real estate closing is a pain. Now, the City's gone to great lengths to computerize and make... make that available so that we can close our real estate transfers in accordance with the parties wishes. Why extend that?"
- Greiman: "That's why... Well, that's why I... I was willing to extend it, because the truth is that the City's water tax involvement is not at all difficult to... to handle. It's the... And it doesn't... doesn't impact on the other... the root of the problem that I sought to alleviate with the Bill. The first place, the place where the City's water

65th Legislative Day

June 29, 1985

department is is right in the same building the recorder of deeds is and across the street from the title company. And it makes it a little easier."

McCracken: "I'm sorry. I... I agree that the City's gone to great lengths to facilitate that. But I mean, why extend it? Is it... Is it a problem generally?"

Greiman: "For... For the City's water?"

McCracken: "For other cities."

They ... They just ... They have imposed ... Greiman: "Yes. Yes. You mean the essence of the Bill. That's the essence the Bill. The other cities have imposed upon people who and selling real estate... are buying iust conditions on perfectly... prepared to reluctantly pay the transfer tax to communities. Absolutely, let's do it. But let's just have it like we have real estate, you know, revenue. It's a revenue producing thing. And each... It is impossible to... to work on real estate in Cook County any same fashion. One... One says you have to present the deed first. Des Plaines says you have to put all kinds of... the survey and the title policy. All I want to do is pay the real estate transfer tax, and they make it really a very cumbersome way. I don't know if you do real estate transactions, but it is very cumbersome. I'm perfectly willing to give them their... their tax."

McCracken: "Okay."

Speaker Breslin: "Representative Mautino."

Mautino: "Representative Greiman, question if I may."

Greiman: "Yes."

Mautino: "Since you are prohibiting the imposition of real estate... prohibits home rule municipalities from imposing real estate transfer tax unless payment..."

Greiman: "No."

Mautino: "Isn't that the Bill in its original form?"

65th Legislative Day

June 29, 1985

Greiman: "No, no, no, no, no, no, no. No, no. It just says that that if they want to charge a tax, they can charge a We--tax. Τt prohibits essentially all kinds of obligations that they might have. And it iust savs. you know, you can do the tax. You can buy the tax stamps, and you can put the revenue stamps on. But that's what it should be. It's a revenue producing thing. It doesn't... doesn't stop them from charging it, no."

Mautino: "I was just wondering what other tax would go on there because you are prohibiting..."

Greiman: "Pardon?"

Mautino: "As I read the synopsis, it says you're prohibiting the establishment or imposition of a real estate transfer tax unless evidence of payment of the tax is in the form of revenue stamps. What other... What other provisions would there be?"

Greiman: "Well..."

Mautino: "How about trusts? Would a trust..."

Greiman: "Each... No, no. Each... Each of the different... What this does, essentially it says that I can... if I have a transaction in Dalton, I can send in the 300 dollars for the transfer tax, and they'll send me a stamp and I'll put it on the deed, and I go forward. But I don't have to go out there personally, as Dalton required us to do. I don't have to send a letter of opinion, as some other community did. It just says, 'Pay the tax.' That's all. Thanks. I'd appreciate a Roll Call."

Speaker Breslin: "The question is, "Shall the House concur in Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 2259?" On this...

Every... In answer to Representative McCracken's question, the Bill does affect home rule power, and it requires 71 votes for passage. All those in favor vote "aye", all those opposed vote "no". Voting is open. This is final

65th Legislative Day

June 29, 1985

Have all voted who wish? Have all voted The Clerk will take the record. On this question, there are 118 voting 'aye', none voting "no" and none 'present'. And the House does concur in Senate votina Amendment #1 to House Bill 2259. And this Bill, having received the Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. House Bill 2273 appears on page eight OB Calendar. Representative Oblinger."

you. Madam Speaker. This is the Bill that has Oblinger: "Thank the Golden Illini Card, which was called the Silver The expense of the issuance of the cards, the expense of the directories and the expense of contacting the merchants who will provide this service is being borne by Southwest Illinois. The Secretary of State is merely to see to it... to oversee that there is a directory the senior citizens and that everybody has a... an application available. The Senate Amendment only name from Silver Saver Passport to the Senior Citizens Discount Card, and ſ move for the adoption of Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 2273."

Speaker Breslin: "The Lady moves to concur in Senate Amendment #1

to House Bill 2273. Is there any discussion? Hearing

none, the question is, 'Shall the House concur...' Would

you clear the board, Mr. Clerk? The question is, 'Shall

the House concur...' Representative Leverenz, on the

question."

Leverenz: "Will the Sponsor yield?"

Speaker Breslin: "She will."

Leverenz: "Does the Secretary of State still do these..."

Oblinger: "Pardon?"

Leverenz: "The Secretary of State still does this?"

Oblinger: "He only now is to see to it that applications are available at senior citizens sites, senior citizens centers

65th Legislative Day

June 29, 1985

and that he will encourage the development of a directory for the whole state. There is no cost to the Secretary of State."

Leverenz: "But he's not going them mail them to all the seniors or anything like that."

Oblinger: "No. No, this is done by Southwest Bell."

Speaker Breslin: "The Gentleman from Will..."

Leverenz: "Thank you."

Speaker Breslin: "The Sentleman from Will, Representative Davis."

Davis: "Madam Speaker, are we still on the Order of State and Local Government — Special Subject Matter."

Speaker Breslin: "We are."

Davis: "I was out of the chamber. Well, Madam Speaker, there are two Bills on the Calendar that should have been in that order, House Bill 1918 and 1934. And I can't imagine why they were. Would you please check and see when they're going to be called?"

Speaker Breslin: "Representative Davis, you are... vou are interrupting Representative Oblinger's Bill, and we are ready to vote on her Bill. The inquiry was not timely. The question is. \*Shall the House concur in Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 2273? All those in favor vote 'ave', all those opposed vote 'no'. Voting is open. This is final passage. Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will On this question, there are 118 voting take the record. \*aye\*, none voting \*no\* and none voting \*present\*. Bill. having received the Constitutional Majority. is Representative Davis has made hereby declared passed. an to the inquiry, those two Bills do inquiry. In response not appear on this Order of Call. If they are not on the Order of Call, I would suggest that you check with your Leadership. The next Bill on this Order of Call is House Bill 2310, Representative Brunsvold."

65th Legislative Day

June 29. 1985

Brunsvold: "Thank you, Madam Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. House Bill 2310, as it left the House, simply clarified language as far as advanced payments on already appropriated funds. And the Department of Children and Family Services Amendment #1 simply added the UDIS Program to those dispersals. The Department of Children and Family Services is in support of the Bill and the Amendment, and I would ask for the concurrence on Senate Amendment #1 on House Bill 2310."

Speaker Breslin: "The Gentleman moves to concur in Senate

Amendment #1 to House Bill 2310. And on the question, is

there any discussion? The Gentleman from Winnebago,

Representative Hallock."

Hallock: "Yes, will the Sponsor yield for a question?"

Speaker Breslin: "He will."

Hallock: "How does this go about achieving the goal and purpose of the Bill? What kinds of grants are these, and how do they work?"

Brunsvold: "Well, the... the purpose of the Bill... This doesn't... You know, this doesn't change the purpose of the Bill at all. It simply adds the UDIS, Unified Delinquency Intervention Services Programs, can receive this advanced funding of already appropriated funds, and it doesn't change the Bill at all. In fact, the... the Bill, as it began, was... really rather... only claritive... in nature, and... and maybe a little redundant even in its... in its inception."

Hallock: "Does this Bill in any way, shape or form deal with the problem of truancy?"

Brunsvold: "No."

Hallock: "Well, I wish it did, but thank you."

Speaker Breslin: "The question is, 'Shall the House concur in Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 2310?' All those in

65th Legislative Day

June 29, 1985

favor vote "aye", all those opposed vote "no". Voting is open. This is final passage. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this question, there are 117 voting 'aye', none voting 'no' and none voting 'present'. And the House concurs in Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 2310. And this Bill, having received the Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. House Bill 2434, Representative Tate."

Tate: "Thank you, Madam Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. I move to... I move to nonconcur and send this Bill to a Conference Committee."

Speaker Breslin: "Explain to the Body why."

Tate: "Hell, if Representative Stern could tell me which

Amendment I'm receding from."

Speaker Breslin: "The Gentleman yields to Representative Stern.

Representative Stern."

Stern: "Thank you, Representative Tate. Madam Speaker, Hembers of the House, on Thursday morning an agreement was reached between the Department of Transportation and the City of Highland Park about a chunk of land at the intersection of Lake Cook Road and Skokie Highway and the expressway there. And I was asked to put those Amendments in. Senator Keats put them in. There turned out to be a minor defect in it, and we were asked if we could nonconcur. And I agree..."

Speaker Breslin: "Very good. Representative Cullerton on the question. Representative Cullerton on the question."

Cullerton: "Yes, will one of the Sponsors yield?"

Speaker Breslin: "Representative Tate."

Cullerton: "Representative Tate, Amendment #4 deals with the payment of money to the State of Illinois and the City of Highland Park. Is that the Amendment that you are... wish to..."

Tate: "Representative Cullerton, there's... as you're... I'm sure

65th Legislative Day

June 29, 1985

you're well aware of, there's many Members that have interest in this Bill. I would like to accommodate all the Members that have different conveyances in land that they'd like to turn over and "

Cullerton: "Put it in Conference Committee?"

Tate: "And... and at the accommodation of Representative Stern, which essentially is some technical language that she would like, and quite frankly, I'm not sure which Amendment I should not concur with."

Cullerton: "It's #4. That's what I wanted to tell you. It's #4.

You want to move to refuse..."

Tate: "So I would like to refuse to recede to Amendment #4 and send it back to the Senate."

Cullerton: "Refuse to concur with Senate Amendment #4."

Tate: "Refuse to concur. Okay."

Speaker Breslin: "The Chair recommends, Representative Tate, that if you want to get to Conference Committee it's appropriate as to nonconcur as to all. So the question is, 'Shall the House nonconcur in Senate Amendments 31, 2, 3, 4 and 5 to House Bill 2434?' All those in favor say 'aye', all those opposed say 'nay'. In the opinion of the Chair, the 'ayes' have it, and the House nonconcurs in Senate Amendments \$1, 2, 3, 4 and 5 to House Bill 2434. The next Bill is House Bill 1928. It appears on page six on your Calendar. Representative Olson. Representative Olson."

Olson: "Thank you, Madam Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. I move to concur with Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 1928. The essence of the Bill that we sent to the Senate permitted the Department of Conservation to describe how their vehicles assigned to the law enforcement division would be marked. That would put them in conformity with the Department of Law Enforcement. Give them the same opportunity. Amendment #1 was basically a take-off on

65th Legislative Day

June 29, 1985

940• which moved out of here 105 to 9, which House Bill indicated to the Department of Transportation that should they replace any used vehicles, it should notify and give government in units οf local the state the first purchase such vehicles. They should be opportunity to required to notify those units of local government indicate an interest in any proceeds from the sale of such vehicles to units of local government would be deposited in the Road Fund. I move for concurrence on Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 1928."

Speaker Breslin: "The Gentleman moves to concur Amendment 81 to House Bill 1928. Is there any discussion? the question is, \*Shall the House concur in Hearing none. Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 1928?\* 411 those in vote 'aye', all those opposed vote 'no'. Voting is This is final action. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this question, there are 112 voting 'aye', 4 voting 'no' and none voting 'present', and the House concurs in Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 1928. And this Bill, having received the Constitutional Majority is hereby declared Going to Special Subject Matter Call dealing with State Regulation, we are now distributing the the printout and be ready to proceed with your Bill on that Order. The first one is House Bill 1871. T t on page six on your Calendar. Representative appears Representative Curran." Curran.

Curran: "One moment, Mr. (sic - Madam) Speaker. I move to concur with the Senate Amendment #1. It's simply a technical... technical change."

Speaker Breslin: "Tell us what the main Bill does."

Curran: "This Bill was originally a home rule preemption clause.

The Senate Amendment makes it easier to live with, and I

65th Legislative Day

June 29 1985

move to concur with that Amendment."

- Breslin: "The Gentleman moves to concur in Speaker Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 1871. Is there anv discussion? Hearing none. the question is. 'Shall the House concur in Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 1871?" A11 in those vote 'aye', all those opposed vote 'no'. favor Voting is open. This is final passage. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. And on this question, there are 114 votina "no" and none voting "present". And the House concurs with Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 1871. this Bill, having received the Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. House Bill 1901, Representative Matijevich. It appears on page six on your Calendar. Representative Matijevich. Out of the record. Senate Bill 1902 appears on page six on your Calendar. Representative Vinson."
- Vinson: "Madam Speaker, I... it's my understanding that Ar.

  Cullerton would like me to take the Bill out of the record

  for now. Is that correct? Okay, then I'll do that.™
- Speaker Breslin: "Out of the record. Senate Bill 1944 appears on page six on your Calendar. Representative Churchill."
- Churchill: "Thank you, Madam Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. I would move to concur with Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 1944. Originally, House Bill 1944 defined the term 'shoulder' in the Vehicle Code. The effect of Senate Amendment #1 was to put on the uniform rules for vehicle and highway code safety. I would ask for the adoption of the Bill."
- Speaker Breslin: "The Gentleman moves to concur in Senate
  Amendment #1 to House Bill 1944. Is there any discussion?
  Hearing none, the Gentleman from Knox. Representative
  Hawkinson, on the question."

65th Legislative Day

June 29, 1985

Hawkinson: "Thank you, Madam Speaker. Will the Sponsor yield for a question?"

Speaker Breslin: "He will."

Hawkinson: "Representative, could you tell us what changes in...
in the traffic rules are being made by this Amendment?"

Churchill: "Representative, there... there are several changes. First, it says that a driver may turn left across the paved dividing Provides noncurbed space. that a driver approaching highway construction or a maintenance area shall stop if signaled to do so by а flag or control signal. Provides that no person shall fail to comply with the lawful order or direction of a fireman or a uniformed adult crossing guards and makes other technical changes."

Hawkinson: "Does it have any rules in there regarding requirements to have lights on when wipers are being used or... or the driving solely in the right hand lane of a multi-lane highway?"

Churchill: "No-"

Hawkinson: "Thank you."

Speaker Breslin: "The question is, 'Shall the House concur in Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 1944?" All those in favor vote 'aye', all those opposed vote 'no'. Voting is open. Calendar announcement."

Clerk O'Brien: "Supplemental Calendar #2 is being distributed."

Speaker Breslin: "Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this question, there are 112 voting 'aye', none voting 'no' and 1 voting 'present'. And the House concurs in Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 1944. And this Bill, having received a Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. With leave of the Body, we'll go back to Representative Matijevich's Bill, House Bill 1901. It appears on page six on your Calendar. Representative

65th Legislative Day

June 29 1985

Matijevich."

- Matijevich: "Madam Speaker, House Bill 1901, in its original introduced by the Secretary of State and would authorize the Secretary to enter into reciprocal agreements with other jurisdictions relating to parking privileges for persons with permanent disabilities. Senate Amendment #1 would alter the amendatory language to provide that this State of Illinois may rather shall. grant reciprocal parking privileges to disabled nonresidents. move to concur."
- Speaker Breslin: "The Gentleman moves to concur in Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 1901. Is there any discussion? Hearing none, the question is, \*Shall the House concur i٥ Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 1901?\* All those in favor vote 'aye', all those opposed vote 'no'. Voting is passage. Have all voted who wish? This is final open-Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. this question, there are 117 voting 'aye', none voting 'no' and none voting 'present'. And the House Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 1901. And this Bill. having received a Constitutional Majority, House Bill 1902, Representative Vinson. declared passed. It appears on page six on your Calendar. Representative Vinson."
- Vinson: ™Thank you. Madam Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the 1902 is a rewrite of a number House. House Bill provisions in the Securities Act that this House passed earlier in the spring. The fundamental philosophy of the Securities Act to be a disclosure... a full disclosure Act and not a substantive regulation Act is still embodied with this rewrite. In the Senate on a... a number of The two primary changes were changes that indicated that a... an offender was only quilty of the

65th Legislative Day

June 29, 1985

Felony in regard to violation of the statutory provisions i f he had knowledge, if he had knowledge. The second set of Amendments that were attached dealt with registration at the securities... 50ld in Illinois by means of any general advertising or solicitation. And what it does is to provide... deals with the fat cat exemption and provides that it takes... you cannot, through general advertising, attract fat cat I would move for House concurrence in Senate subscribers. Amendment #1 and Senate Amendment #2."

Speaker "The Gentleman moves to concur in Amendments #1 and 2 to House Bill 1902. Is there any the question is. •Shall discussion? Hearing none, the House concur in Senate Amendments #1 and 2 to House dill All those in favor vote "ave". a11 those Voting is open. This is final passage. all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this question, there are 109 voting 'ave', none voting 'no' and 7 voting 'present', and in Senate Amendments #1 and 2 to House the House concurs Bill 1902. And this Bill. having received the Constitutional Majority. is hereby declared Representative Davis, for what reason do you rise?"

Davis: "Madam Speaker, I know that we're on another Special Order
- State Regulation, House Bills, but mysteriously another
state regulatory Bill doing business with lights in Wrigley
Field has been left off. Is there a reason for that? It's
House Bill 1934."

Speaker Breslin: "Representative Davis, I think you should consult with your Leadership on that."

Davis: "I have."

Speaker Breslin: "And then if you want Bills added to the Special Order..."

65th Legislative Day

June 29, 1985

Davis: "They don't know either."

Speaker Breslin: "Representative Frederick on House Bill 1948.

It appears on page six on your Calendar. Excuse me.

Representative Frederick. For what reason do you interrupt. Representative Vinson?"

Vinson: "Mr. Speaker... Madam Speaker, just for the purpose of making it quite clear that we would like both of Mr. Davis's Bills called. We believe that both should be passed out and sent to the Governor, and we would make the request that those Bills do be called."

Speaker Breslin: "I will convey your request. Representative Frederick on House Bill 1948."

Frederick: "Yes, Madam Speaker. Ladies and Gentlemen of the I move the House concur with Senate Amendment #2 on House. House Bill 1948, which places methylenedioxymethamphetamine on the Schedule I list of drugs that will comply with the Federal Drug Enforcement Administration scheduling. The Bill originally dealt with amending the Controlled placed gluthethimide on Schedule II in Substance Act and And it also set forth a procedure for emergency Illinois. prescription. I move that we concur."

Speaker Breslin: "The Lady moves to concur in Senate Amendment #2

to House Bill 1948. Representative Cullerton, on the

Cullerton: "Yes, would the Sponsor yield for a question?"

Speaker Breslin: "She will."

Cullerton: "What is added in Senate Amendment \$2?"

Frederick: "Actually, the street name for that drug is ecstasy."

Cullerton: "What is it?"

Frederick: "Ecstasy."

Cullerton: "Ecstasy?"

Frederick: "Yes. Perhaps you've read in the newspapers..."

Cullerton: "Are we outlawing ecstasy?"

65th Legislative Day

June 29, 1985

- Frederick: "Well, actually the Senate approved placing this...

  this drug on Schedule I. It is not legal anywhere in the

  United States. It has no use that's medically required,
  and it... we're..."
- Cullerton: "I just want to know what we are adding to the list.

  What are we outlawing by this Amendment? What's the name

  of the..."
- Frederick: "Hell, it's MDMA or it's methylenedioxymethamphetamine."
- Cullerton: "Very good. Very good. Alright, let's hear it.

  Let's see, how many letters is that?"

Frederick: "It's about 20."

Cullerton: "It's 30 letters long. How about on page three of the Amendment?"

Frederick: "Yes."

- Cullerton: "That should be easier. What does that do? So we're outlawing ecstasy and what..."
- Frederick: "Oh, that... that we did in the Bill. That's gluthethimide that we placed on Schedule II."

Cullerton: "What's the street name for that?"

Frederick: "I do not know what that is."

Cullerton: "How do you know how to pronounce these so well?"

Frederick: "Well, my husband's a chemist."

Cullerton: "Oh, very good. As long as he's not a drug pusher, I imagine that's... Okay. Well, so these... these were... these were things which we forgot to add in the House Bill."

Frederick: "That is correct."

Cullerton: "And the pharmacists position on these..."

Frederick: "That we passed in the House Bill, House version of the Bill."

Cullerton: "Oh, that's right. I asked you about Amendment #1,

and you... House Amendment #1 and you indicated that both

65th Legislative Day

June 29, 1985

the Medical Society and the pharmacists were in favor..."

Frederick: "Yes."

- Cullerton: "...Amendment. Okay. Fine. Thank you very much, and congratulations."
- Speaker Breslin: "The question is, "Shall the House concur in Senate Amendment 32 to House Bill 1948?\* A11 those in vote 'aye', all those opposed vote 'no'. This is final passage. Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this question, there are 115 voting 'aye', none voting "no" and none votina and the House concurs in Senate Amendment #2 to 1948. And this Bill. having Majority, is hereby declared passed. House Constitutional your R111 1949 annears on page Six on Calendar. Representative Mays."
- "Thank you very much, Madam Speaker. Ladies and Gentlemen Mays: of the House, I move that the House concur with Amendments #1 and 2 to House Bill 1949. The original Bill made certain revisions in real estate licensure law. a culmination of discussion and agreement between the Department of Registration and Regulation and the Illinois Association of Realtors. Senate Amendment #1 and Senate Amendment #2 are further... the result οf further discussions and agreements on those issues, and so I would move concurrence."
- Speaker Breslin: "The Gentleman has moved to concur in Amendments #1 and 2 to House Bill 1949. Is there any the question is. \*Shall discussion? Hearing none, the House concur in Senate Amendments #1 and 2 to House Bill 1949? All those in favor vote 'aye', all those Voting is open. This is final passage. Have Have all voted who wish? all voted who wish? will take the record. On this question, there are 114

65th Legislative Day

June 29, 1985

voting 'aye', none voting 'no' and none voting 'present'.

And the House concurs in Senate Amendments #1 and 2 to

House Bill 1949. And this Bill, having received the

Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. House

Bill 1953 appears on page seven on your Calendar.

Representative Johnson.

Johnson: "For months, people have been waiting for this issue, and I think it's important, Representative Cullerton, that we have a full dialogue on this issue. It's one that effects every citizen of this state, and I can't overstress the drama of this issue. I would move to concur with Senate Amendment #1 and Senate Amendment #2 to House Bill 1953."

Speaker Breslin: "The Gentleman moves to concur in Senate

Amendment... Excuse me."

Johnson: "Okav."

Speaker Breslin: "Explain the Amendment."

Johnson: "No, that's alright. It... They're pretty well self-explanatory."

Speaker Breslin: "Explain... Explain the Amendments, please."

Johnson: "Okay. Amendment #1 just is a redefinition so that when handicapped drivers go into self-service stations, simply having to display their designations, they have to be parked at a self-service and displaying a self-service designation. And Amendment #2, which is perhaps more dramatic, changes the effective date to July 1, 1986."

Speaker Breslin: "The Gentleman moves to concur in Senate

Amendments #1 and 2. And on the question, Representative

Cullerton."

Cullerton: "Yes, would the Gentleman yield for a question?"

Speaker Breslin: "He will."

Cullerton: "Representative Johnson, first of all, I think you

65th Legislative Day

June 29, 1985

should become more familiar with the terminology of your own Bill. He don't use the word 'handicapped' anymore. We use the term 'people who happen to be disabled'. And specifically in the Bill we refer to 'physically disabled person', not 'handicapped'. That's number one. Okay?

Johnson: "I'm sorry."

Cullerton: "What does the Bill do?"

Johnson: "The Bill itself, the underlying Bill requires that, now as amended, self-service... gas stations that provide for both full service and self-service have to give self-service prices to handicapped drivers... I... well... disabled drivers."

Cullerton: "Physically disabled drivers."

Johnson: "That's correct. Yes."

Cullerton: "Okay. They have to have some kind of a... How do
they prove that they're physically disabled?"

Johnson: "They have to have a designation on their car."

Cullerton: "And how do you go about getting one of those?"

Johnson: "You go through me."

Cullerton: "This is a little unusual for you to be carrying this
Bill, wouldn't you agree?"

Johnson: "I would say this Bill is not of a type and description that normally identified with me as the Chief Sponsor."

Cullerton: "Okay. So the original Bill said it applied to both self-service and full service islands. Is that right?"

Johnson: "That's correct."

Cullerton: "And what did the Senate do?"

Johnson: "The Senate simply made some dramatic changes changing the effective date to July 1, 1986 and also providing that the disabled driver had to do more than simply drive into the general area of the station and display a handicapped designation or a disabled designation but had to park at

65th Legislative Day

- June 29, 1985
- the self-service island and thereafter display that  $\label{eq:designation} \mbox{designation} \bullet^{n}$
- Cullerton: "And first of all, I want to compliment you on just in only a few minutes here you're already using the word physically disabled as opposed to handicapped."
- Johnson: "It's really culturally... I appreciate your... your help in helping me realize my covert biases."
- Cullerton: "Well, now but... but Amendment #1, the Senate

  Amendment #1, says that the car has to be parked. Does

  this mean that if the car was driving around the island

  that they would not be entitled to the reduced price."
- Johnson: "You mean... trying to run innocent pedestrians down or,
  you know, going after kids on bikes or whatever?"
- Cullerton: "Right. You're saying that this... that... You're saying that they would not be entitled to the reduced price."
- Johnson: "I think that's right."
- Cullerton: "So they have to actually stop then their vehicle..."

  Johnson: "Right, and park."
- Cullerton: "...before they'd be entitled to the reduced price."
- Johnson: "That's right. As a matter of fact, I don't even think they could have their engine running. I think they'd have to be parked."
- Cullerton: "So this basically takes money away from the operators of the gas stations and gives it to physically disabled people."
- Johnson: "That's right. It's an income transference sort of a Bill."
- Cullerton: "And what is the theory behind it?"
- Johnson: "The theory behind it is to help disabled people obtain the same services that you and I can..."
- Cullerton: "Oh, in other words..."
- Johnson: "...for the same price that you and I can..."

65th Legislative Day June 29, 1985

Cullerton: "...because they can't..."

Johnson: "...because they don't have the ability to get out of their car and..."

Cullerton: "Wash their own windows."

Johnson: "Hell, no. It only applies to pumping gas. It doesn't apply to the collateral services. As the liberal that I am, my initial intention was to require them to do everything."

Cullerton: "Check the oil and..."

Johnson: "But some of the conservatives in the oil lobby wanted to limit this to... oil and gas lobby... I even had some calls from Texas on this..."

Cullerton: "Well, I... I think it could be improved,
Representative Johnson, but I would say this. I think it's
a very significant piece of legislation, and I'd be afraid
of what you might do with in a Conference Committee. So I
think we should concur with Senate Amendments #1 and 2 and
pass this Bill onto the Governor."

Johnson: "I've... I've invited Representative Cullerton, Lynn
'Quigley', the legislative liaison, and others to the
signing of this Bill. It's the Governor's promise which is
going to occur within the next two weeks. Ought to get
your... Ellis Levin will be there. The press will be
there."

Cullerton: "What gas station is he going to sign this at?"

Johnson: "He're going to sign this down on ..."

Cullerton: "How about the Dixie highway? The Dixie highway stop

Johnson: "Yeah, at McLean. Representative Ropp will be there because that's in his district. This is very important Bill, and we'll make sure to let you know when the signing occurs. Ellis, I want you there. The Governor will be there. I'll be there. My kids will be there."

65th Legislative Day

June 29, 1985

Cullerton: "And I think Representative DeJaegher, who sponsored the Bill a couple years ago and wasn't able to pass it, should probably be..."

Johnson: "Representative DeJaegher is my ..."

- Speaker Breslin: "Ladies and Gentlemen... Ladies and Gentlemen. it should be noted that we have already debated the underlying Bill. The Sponsor has described the two Amendments as fairly noncontroversial. The board is lite up like a Christmas tree. I'm suggesting that we go to Representative Johnson to close and then should Members wish to describe... to explain their vote they will be recognized. Is that acceptable with the Body? That acceptable. Representative Johnson, to close,"
- Johnson: "Well, as I always say on other issues, everything gets down to the bottom line. If you want to help the handicapped people of this state, absolutely, physically disabled people of this state, people who are really asking for your support on a vital issue, you ought to vote 'yes'. If you want to be a 19th century conservative that's... that's down on people who have physical disabilities then you ought to vote 'no'. It's just that simple. It's like gun control or anything else. I urge a 'yes' vote."
- Speaker Breslin: "The question is, "Shall the House concur in Senate Amendment #1 and 2 to House Bill 1953?" All those in favor vote "aye", all those opposed vote "no". Voting is open. Representative Countryman, one minute to explain your vote."
- Countryman: "Thank you, Madam Speaker. I don't want to belabor the point. I enjoy a joke as much as anybody does in the House. But to those people who are physically disabled, this is a serious Bill. This is a serious matter, and let's give them an 'aye' vote and pass this thing out here. Thank you."

65th Legislative Day

June 29, 1985

- Speaker Breslin: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Vitek, one minute to explain your vote."
- Vitek: "Thank you, Madam Speaker. Being a physically disabled person and carrying a handicapped sticker on my car. I heartily support this legislation."
- Speaker Breslin: "The Clerk will take the record. On this question, there are 118 voting 'aye', none voting 'no' and none voting 'present', and the House concurs in Senate Amendments #1 and 2 to House Bill 1953. And this Bill, having received the Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. House Bill 1969 appears on page seven on your Calendar. Representative Koehler."
- Koehler: "Thank you, Madam Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen I move to concur in Senate Amendments 1 and 2 the House. to House Bill 1969. The underlying Bill, as it passed amends the Ginseng Act. It restricts the harvest season. purchasing of wild ginseng to wild ginseng harvested in Illinois. It makes individuals who cut or gather wild ginseng on the land of another subject to the harvest license and harvest season requirements. Now Amendment 31. as added in the Senate, this Amendment provides that dealer may purchase at any time wild or а cultivated ginseng harvested in another state and certified for export by that state. Senate Amendment #2, Amendment amends the Illinois Endangered Species Protection Act, and it broadens the Act to include threatened species. provides for the declaration of essential habitat for these species. I would move for the... for concurrence Senate Amendment #1 and 2."
- Speaker Breslin: "The Lady moves to concur in Senate Amendment #1

  and 2 to House Bill 1969. And on the question, the

  Gentleman from Kendall, Representative Hastert."

Hastert: "Does this pertain only to, it says domestic, so is that

65th Legislative Day

June 29. 1985

ginseng that's just grown in America?"

Koehler: "Pardon, Representative Hastert. Did you say ginseng grown in America?"

Hastert: "It says domestic, so I... within the continental United

States or is there other forms of ginseng?"

Koehler: "Well, this applies only to ginseng that's gone in the State of Illinois in the Bill as originally passed. And the... And then in Senate Amendment #1 it allows for that grown in another state. So it applies only to domestic ginseng."

Hastert: "Alright. And then there's other places where ginseng is grown. Right?"

Koehler: "Yes. It can be exported from other countries."

Hastert: "Thank you."

Speaker Breslin: "The Gentleman from Cook• Representative

Leverenz•"

Leverenz: "The Sponsor vield?"

Speaker Breslin: "She will."

Leverenz: "How does the endangered species fit into all this as you were talking about it?"

Koehler: "The endangered... The endangered species? The Endangered Species... Species Act needed updating, Representative Leverenz. And... And because this was Bill that was not called in the... during our House debate. there was not time for it to be called in the House deadlines, this was then later amended on in the Senate. And it is a very important Bill to the Department of Conservation. It has the support of environmentalists, conservation groups and by those concerned, and there is no known opposition."

Leverenz: "Anything to do with imported ginseng?"

Koehler: "It does not deal with imported ginseng from other countries. It deals with ginseng imported from other

65th Legislative Day

June 29. 1985

states. but not from other countries."

Leverenz: "Thank you."

Speaker Breslin: "The question is. \*Shall the House concur in Senate Amendments 1 and 2 to House Bill 1969?\* **Δ11** those favor vote "aye", all those opposed vote 'no'. Voting is open. This is final passage. Have all voted who Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this question, there are 117 voting 'aye', I voting 'no' and none voting 'present', and the House concurs in and 2 to House Bill 1969. And this Bill, Amendments #1 the Constitutional Majority, is hereby having received declared passed. House Bill 2181 appears on page seven on your Calendar. Representative Vinson."

Vinson: "Thank you, Madam Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House Bill 2181 was amended in the Senate to add it the Collection Agency Act to establish requirements bank accounts of licensed collection adencies. And it also allows lawfully admitted aliens to act as officers of a collection agency. The underlying authorized the Director of Registration and Education to revoke the certificate of an registrant under Collection Agencies Act found guilty of four violations of this Act within a one year period. I would move for action on House Bill 2181."

Speaker Breslin: "The Gentleman moves to concur in Senate

Amendment #1 to House Bill 2181. And Representative

Cullerton, on the question."

Cullerton: "Yes... can I inquire of the Chair, who is the Sponsor of this Bill?"

Speaker Breslin: "Representative Vinson is shown on the Calendar."

Cullerton: "How did it get on the list?"

Vinson: "Are you asking me that question?"

65th Legislative Day

June 29, 1985

- Cullerton: "No. I want to congratulate you, Representative

  Vinson, for a fine Bill, and I'm glad you got it on... got

  it called."
- Vinson: "Yes, I... I suspect that Mr. LaPaille's administrative
- Speaker Breslin: "The question is... The question is, 'Shall the House concur in Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 2181?'

  All those in favor vote 'aye', all those opposed vote 'no'.

  Voting is open. Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this question, there are 115... 116

  voting 'aye', none voting 'no' and 1 voting 'present'. And this Bill, having received the Constitutional Hajority, is hereby declared passed, and the House does concur in Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 2181. House Bill 2189 appears on page seven on your Calendar. Representative McCracken."
- McCracken: "Thank vou. Madam Speaker. Ladies and Gentlemen. move to concur in Senate Amendments #1 and 2 to House Bill 2189. Δ< it left the House, this measure required that records... or dental records be made available upon request of the patient or quardian thereof. and it was amended before leaving to allow copies of those records to be produced. A similar or I should say identical Amendment is Amendment #1. And the second Amendment makes the... the examining committee advisory to the director. Now the committee acts in concert with the director, and under this proposal would be made advisory. And that is a Department Registration and Education requested Amendment. to concur in Senate Amendments 1 and 2."
- Speaker Breslin: "The Gentleman moves to concur in Senate

  Amendments 1 and 2 to House Bill 2189. Is there any
  discussion? The Gentleman from Macon, Representative

Dunn: "Will the Sponsor yield for a question?"

65th Legislative Day

June 29, 1985

Speaker Breslin: "He will."

Dunn: "Do people have trouble getting copies of dental records at the present time?"

McCracken: "I have no idea. The reason the copy Amendment is in there is because they didn't want to have to give up the originals. The Bill originally required the production of the records and was amended to allow the production of copies. I don't know if this is in response to a real problem or not. It's requested by the Dental Society."

Dunn: "And what provision is made for payment of the copies?"

McCracken: "None to my knowledge."

Dunn: "Thank you."

Speaker Breslin: "The question is, 'Shall the House concur in Senate Amendment #1 and 2 to House Bill 2189?\* All those in favor vote 'aye', all those opposed vote 'no'. Voting open. This is final passage. Have all voted who wish? Representative Homer, would you vote me please? Thank you. On... The Clerk will take the record. 0n this question, are 118 voting 'aye'. none voting 'no' and none votina 'present'. And the House concurs in Senate and 2 to House Bill 2189. And this Bill. Amendments #1 having received the Constitutional Majority. is declared passed. House Bill 2283. We'll take that Bill out of the record with leave to return. House Bill 2368 appears on page eight on your Calendar. Representative McGann."

"Thank you, Madam Speaker and Members of the Assembly. McGann: move to concur with Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 2368. It's actually... The original Bill dealt with the valid firearms identification card. The Amendment the definition the firearms ammunition o f changes... the of hypodermic tranquilizers exclusion а used hv veterinarians or animal control officers in the performing

65th Legislative Day

June 29, 1985

of their professional duties. I move for concurrence."

- Speaker Breslin: "The Gentleman moves to concur in Senate Amendment \$1 to House Bill 2368. Is there any discussion? Hearing none. the question is, 'Shall the House concur in Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 2368?\* All those in vote 'aye', all those opposed vote 'no'. This is final action. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this question, there are 116 voting 'aye', 2 voting 'no' and none voting 'present', and the House concurs in Amendment #1 to House Bill 2368. And this Bill, having received the Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared House Bill 2400 appears on page eight on your Representative Capparelli." Calendar.
- Capparelli: "Madam Speaker, I move to concur with Senate to House Bill 2400. When 2400 left here it Amendment #1 was a motor franchising Bill, and we created a new Act. The Amendment adds a new Section to the Motor Vehicle Franchise Act, and that new Section more clearly defines what a motorcycle is and adds provisions unique to the motorcycle industry - those provisions regarding financing, ownership by a dealer of a franchise and repurchase The Bill is agreed upon now by the motorcycle the Motorcycle Industrial Council dealers. I would ask for a favorable motorcycle manufacturers. Roll Call."
- Speaker Breslin: "The Gentleman moves to concur in Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 2400. Is there any discussion? Hearing none, the question is, 'Shall the House concur in Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 2400?' All those in favor vote 'aye', all those opposed vote 'no'. Voting is open. This is final action. Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this question, there are

65th Legislative Day

June 29, 1985

114 voting "aye", 2 voting "no" and 1 voting "present", and the House does concur in Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 2400. And this Bill, having received the Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. House Bill 2407 appearing on page eight on your Calendar, Representative Johnson."

- Johnson: "This Bi 11 was approved unanimously or nearly unanimously in the House and Senate. It has tο do with technical revisions i n the Drainage Code. Amendments are simply technical Amendments, and I move for its approval.
- Speaker Breslin: "The Gentleman moves to concur in Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 2407. Is there any discussion? the question is, 'Shall the House concur in Hearing none. Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 2407?\* A11 favor vote 'aye', all those opposed vote 'no'. Voting is This if final passage. Have all voted who wish? open. Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this question, there are 117 voting 'aye', none 1 voting 'present', and the House does concur in Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 2407. And this having received the Constitutional Majority. is hereby House Bill 2414 appearing on page declared massed. eight. Representative Daniels - Vinson. your Calendar. Representative Vinson."
- Vinson: "Thank you, Madam Speaker. House Bill 2414 the Insurance Code, adding a new Article regulating and licensing third party administrators. It establishes the license requirements for third party administrators, and it provides the grounds for denial, suspension or revocation of licenses. Defines the various terms in regard to administrators. In the Senate, the Bill was amended so as to exclude from the definition of third а party

65th Legislative Day

June 29, 1985

administrator a creditor acting on behalf of its debtor with respect to insurance covering a debt between the creditor and debtors. I would move for House concurrence on Senate Amendment 31 to House Bill 2414."

Speaker Breslin: "The Gentleman moves to concur in Senate

Amendment #1 to House Bill 2414. And on that question, the

Gentleman from Cook, Representative Leverenz."

Leverenz: "Inquiry of the Chair."

Speaker Breslin: "State your inquiry."

Leverenz: "On the Calendar on page eight it says House Bill 2414.

It does not show the distinguished Representative Vinson as
a Sponsor. Who is the Sponsor of the Bill?"

Speaker Breslin: "Representative Daniels was the Sponsor of the Bill. Representative Vinson was added by a written request of the Members... of Representative Daniels and himself."

Leverenz: "Well, I did't get you this time."

Speaker Breslin: "The question is, 'Shall the House concur in Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 2414?\* A11 those in favor vote 'aye', all those opposed vote 'no'. Voting is This is final action. Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this question, there 116 voting 'aye', none voting 'no' and I voting 'present', and the House concurs in Senate Amendment &1 to House 2414. And this Bill, having received the Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. House Bill appears on page nine on your Calendar, Representative Weaver."

Weaver: "Thank you, Madam Speaker. 2436 authorizes the State of Illinois to release easements and restore access rights to certain lands subject to permit requirements of IDOT.

Senate Amendment 1 simply adds additional easements in updating the unneeded parcels of property that they have, and I move for concurrence with Senate Amendment #1."

65th Legislative Day

June 29, 1985

Breslin: "The Gentleman moves to concur in Senate Speaker Amendment #1 to House Bill 2436. Is there any discussion? Hearing none. the question is, 'Shall the House concur in Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 2436?\* A11 those favor vote 'aye', all those opposed vote 'no'. Voting is This is final passage. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this question, there are 117 voting 'aye', none voting none voting 'present'. and the House concurs in Senate Amendment \$1 to House Bill 2436. And this 3111. having received the Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. House Bill 2515 appears on page nine your Calendar, Representative Ropp."

Ropp: "Thank you, Madam Speaker and Members of the House. Bill 2515 amends the Food and Drug and Cosmetic Act-Senate Amendment #1 specified certain areas of animal drugs administered by the Department of Agriculture. to be Senate Amendment #2 makes language corrections and also fee for inspections of processing plants, bulk tank trucks and receiving stations. And it also included a provision under which the Department of Public Health would check out food additives as it pertained consumption. I move to concur with Senate Amendments 1 and 2."

Speaker Breslin: "The Gentleman move to concur in Senate
Amendments #1 and 2 to House Bill 2515. And on that
question, Representative Dunn. Representative Matijevich
in the Chair."

Dunn: "Will the Sponsor yield for a question?"

Speaker Breslin: "He will."

Dunn: "Are the fees provided in Senate Amendment #1 brand new fees? Is this a new fee?"

Ropp: "Yes, Sir."

65th Legislative Day

June 29, 1985

Dunn: "I can't hear you."

brand new fees that had been agreed to by Ropp: HYPS. they are representatives the processing and the Department. Originally. it just stated that there would be fees. The agreement was that in the statute they would place the exact fee."

Speaker Matijevich: "Is there further discussion? If not,

Representative Ropp has moved to concur with Senate

Amendment #2 to House Bill 2515. Those in favor signify by

voting 'ave', those opposed..."

Ropp: "Mr. Speaker, Senate Amendment #1 and 2."

Speaker Matijevich: "1 and 2. Those in favor signify by voting "aye", those opposed by voting "no". This is final action.

Have all voted? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this question, there are 118 "ayes", no "nays", and the House does concur with Senate Amendments #1 and 2 to House Bill 2515. And this Bill, having received the Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. House Bill 2283, Representative Breslin. The Lady from LaSalle, Representative Breslin.

Breslin: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House Bill 2283 was a Bill that included local... House. state and local government employees under the Illinois and Collection Act, which was previously an Act that applied only to the private employee Because of a problem that the... private sector. logistical problem that the Comptroller's Office would have under this Act, Senate Amendment &1 was attached to exempt state and... it would exempt state employees or employees of the Federal Government. I... I don't have any with the Amendment. The major problem that... the major reason the Bill was introduced was to apply t o government, so I... I move to concur in Senate Amendment #1

65th Legislative Day

June 29, 1985

to House Bill 2283."

Speaker Matijevich: "Representative Breslin has moved to concur with Senate Amendment @1 to House Bill 2283. On that, the Gentleman from Cook, Representative John Cullerton."

Cullerton: "(inaudible)"

Speaker Matijevich: "She indicates she will. Proceed."

Cullerton: "Representative Breslin, what is the significance of the... being included under the Illinois Wage Payment Collection Act?"

Breslin: "You... "

Cullerton: "What does it mean to... What does the Act do?"

Breslin: "You would have a statutory right in order to recoup wages and benefits that had been promised to you if, as an employee, if you have performed services for a unit of local government as an employee of that unit. Right now, that statutory right accrues to people in the private sector."

Cullerton: "Give me... Could you give me an example of how it might work?"

Breslin: "Sure. If I worked for Caterpillar, and I don't have a contract, and I decide to leave their employment and it is one of the agreements that I be paid vacation benefits should my employment terminate, I have a right, under the Wage Payment and Collection Act, to bring a suit in order to gain payment."

Cullerton: "Okay. So, the Bill would have, as originally introduced, would have allowed all employees in the entire state, whether... be they state employees or local government employees or private employees, to come under this Wage Payment Collection Act."

Breslin: "Yes."

Cullerton: "Now, the Senate then took the state employees out?"

Breslin: "They took them out, and the major reason was that the

65th Legislative Day

June 29, 1985

State Comptroller's Act said that... the State Comptroller said that part of the Act requires payment of wages within a certain period of time. I guess the state scale has certain pay... pay arrangements that are longer than the 13 or 14 day period. We have some on a or a 16 day cycle, which is perfectly acceptable to state employees. There's no problem there. They accept that a part of their employment, but it would create a problem under the Act if they were included under the Act, Sn we have agreed to exempt them."

Cullerton: "Fine. Thank you very much."

Speaker Matijevich: "The Gentleman from DuPage, Representative

McCracken."

McCracken: "Yeah, thank you. Will the Sponsor yield?"

Speaker Matijevich: "She indicates she will. Proceed."

McCracken: "We have... We have some conflicting information over here, and I'm unclear on what this Act does. Does it give a cause of action only to the employee, as the title implies?"

Breslin: "I... I think that it does. That's my understanding."
McCracken: "Okay. So this is not an Act for the benefit of a
creditor of an employee. It's only so that the employee

Breslin: "Correct."

McCracken: "Alright. So it has no application to the areas of garnishment or anything of that nature?"

Breslin: "No. No."

McCracken: "Alright. That's it. Thank you."

can get his last paycheck?"

Speaker Matijevich: "The Gentleman from Macon, Representative

John Dunn."

Dunn: "If this Bill becomes law, who will now be subject to wage collection and garnishment... who will be subject to wage garnishment and collection under the law that... who is not

65th Legislative Day

June 29, 1985

now?"

Breslin: "It doesn't have any application to garnishment. It...

This allows employees of local governments and school districts to collect their pay as... as is due and owing."

Dunn: "Allows who to collect from whom?"

Breslin: "The employees to collect from local governments or units of the school districts."

Dunn: "Oh, okay. Alright. Okay."

Speaker Matijevich: "Representative Breslin, to close."

Breslin: "I would appreciate a favorable Roll Call."

Speaker Matijevich: "Representative Breslin has moved that the House concur with Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 2283.

Those in favor signify by voting 'aye', opposed by voting 'no'. This is final action. Have all voted? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this question, there are 115 'ayes', 2 'nays', and the House does concur with Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 2283.

And this Bill, having received the Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. Representative Breslin in the Chair."

Speaker Breslin: "Ladies and Gentlemen, we are going to the Subject Matter Call of Civil and Criminal Law. We will have the printout to you shortly, but in the meantime, will read the numbers of the Bills so that Sponsors will be alerted and ready to have their Bill heard. The first Bill is House Bill 2106, Representative McNamara, House Hill 2129. Representative Oblinger. 8111 House 2217. Representative Oblinger. House Bill 2220, Representative Mautino. House Bill 2431, Representative Olson. Rill 2499, Representative Ronan. The first Bill is House 2106. Representative McNamara. Excuse me . Representative Mulcahey, for what reason do you rise?"

Mulcahey: "Thank you, Madam Speaker. Just to inform the

65th Legislative Day

June 29, 1985

- Membership that... that the House of Lords has adjourned until tomorrow morning... tomorrow afternoon at 1:00."
- Speaker Breslin: "Representative McNamara, we are sorry for the interruption. Representative McNamara. Excuse me. Representative McCracken, for what reason do you interrupt?"
- McCracken: "Thank you. We had a request relative to a couple of
  Bills which are appropriate to this Order of Business, and
  I'd ask you to include them 1924... 1934 and 1918."
- Speaker Breslin: "We will consider your request. Representative

  McNamara."
- vou. Madam Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the McNamara: "Thank Houses House Bill 2106 is an important Bill that \*RUR\* situation as far as children in the court with the system and what to do with them. As it passed out we stated that it would be further amended as House. negotiations went on. The Amendment gives... that was is agreed by our particular section on to Senate. because it gives the Judges more of a chance of a say as to what to do with the children when they are not released upon request. It's a very important piece of legislation. and it at least gives us a chance to finally do something about this serious problem."
- Speaker Breslin: "The Gentleman moves to concur in Senate

  Amendment #1 to House Bill 2106. And on that question, the

  Gentleman from DeWitt, Representative Vinson."
- Vinson: "Yes, Madam Speaker. I wonder if you could respond to
  Representative McCracken's request and tell us whether...

  whether it's your intention to put House Bill 1918 on this
  Order."
- Speaker Breslin: "Representative Vinson, it is not my intention to... to interrupt Representative McNamara. I said that the Chair would consider your request, and we will get back

65th Legislative Day

June 29. 1985

to you. The Gentleman has moved to concur in Senate Amendment #21... in Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 2106.

Does anyone wish to discuss this move?"

Vinson: "Yes. Yes."

Speaker Breslin: "This Motion. Representative Vinson."

Vinson: "Yes, Representative, would you explain what the effect of the concurrence would be?"

McNamara: "Yes, I will. The effect of concurrence is that it allows for greater judicial discretion in dealing with minors that are released on request. What it does is it allows the parents who have not... or the court system to respond to the parents that have not picked up their children after seven days of a judicial process. It allows the State of Illinois and the agencies in the State of Illinois to make determinations as to where those children can be..., thereby releasing them from a detention center for up to a year as has been the case in the past."

Vinson: "Thank you. Madam Speaker, I believe this is a very important Bill, and I would like to have the Roll Call taken on an Oral Verified Roll Call. And I would ask the requisite number of people to join with me in that regard."

Speaker Breslin: "The Gentleman has moved... Representative Hallock on the question."

Hallock: "No. Madam Speaker. I'm raising in support of Representative Vinson's Motion."

Speaker Breslin: "That's fine, but there are others who wish to discuss the Motion, I believe, of Representative McNamara."

Hallock: "Well..."

Speaker Breslin: "Would you be one of those people?"

Hallock: "I want to discuss the Bill but I'll wait for that later."

Speaker Breslin: "Excuse me, but we will, of course, grant an Oral Verified Roll Call if you wish. But the Members would

65th Legislative Day

- June 29. 1985
- like to know what the Motion is before we proceed to that.

  If you don't wish to discuss it, we'll go to Representative

  Cullerton."
- Cullerton: "Yes, I believe that I'm not exactly sure what the
- Speaker Breslin: "Well, the Gentlemen have only risen, I believe, in order to request an Oral Verified Roll Call on the Motion. The Motion is to concur in Senate Amendment &1 to House Bill 2106. So, of course, there would be a Roll Call vote taken since that is final passage. They have just asked that it be oral and verified, and they have been joined by the requisite number. We are now taking..."
- Cullerton: "Madam Speaker, I don't think that there is a requisite number needed with regard to an Oral Verified Roll Call. I think that the... Rule 57(b) reads that when the Speaker orders a Verified Oral Roll Call, and then it goes on to describe..."
- Speaker Breslin: "We will read the rules again and see whether or not that's the case. Does anyone wish to discuss this Bill? Representative Vinson, for what reason do you now rise?"
- Vinson: "Madam Speaker, just when you read the rules I wish you would read 55(d) as well."
- Speaker Breslin: "The question... There being no further debate,
  the question is... Representative McNamara, do you wish to
  close?"
- McNamara: "Thank you, Madam Chairman (sic Speaker). I'd ask for a favorable Roll Call."
- Speaker Breslin: "Very good. There has been a request for an Oral Verified Roll Call, Ladies and Gentlemen. Members should be in their seat. When their names are called, they should indicate how they wish to vote, and you will be recorded. Mr. Clerk. The question... We will begin. The

65th Legislative Day

June 29, 1985

question is, 'Shall the House concur in Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 2106?\* This will be final passage of House Bill 2106. The Parliamentarian advises me that the most orderly method for doing this is that when your name is called, you should stand, announce your vote and press your switch so that we also have an electronically recorded Roll Call as well. Should you wish to pass, you may do so when your name is called, and vote at a later time. Mr. Clerk, would you proceed with an Oral Verified Roll Call. question is. 'Shall the House concur in Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 2106?\* All those in favor "ave". all those opposed vote 'no". Clear... Ladies and Gentlemen, clear the board. We are having an Oral Verified Roll Call. Stand when your name is called and vote. Mr. Clerk. begin."

Clerk O'Brien: "Alexander."

Speaker Breslin: "Stand and record your vote, Madam. This is an Oral Verified Roll Call. The Lady votes 'aye'."

Clerk O'Brien: "Barger."

Speaker Breslin: "Representative Barger votes 'aye'."

Clerk O'Brien: "Barnes."

Speaker Breslin: "Representative Barnes votes 'aye'."

Clerk O'Brien: "Berrios."

Speaker Breslin: "Representative Berrios votes 'aye'."

Clerk O'Brien: "Bowman."

Speaker Breslin: "Representative Bowman votes 'aye'."

Clerk O'Brien: "Braun."

Speaker Breslin: "Pass."

Clerk O'Brien: "Breslin."

Speaker Breslin: "Breslin votes 'aye'."

Clerk O'Brien: "Brookins."

Speaker Breslin: "Representative Brookins 'aye'."

Clerk O'Brien: "Brunsvold."

65th Legislative Day June 29, 1985

Speaker Breslin: "Representative Brunsvold votes \*aye\*."

Clerk O'Brien: "Bullock."

Speaker Breslin: "Representative Bullock, pass,"

Clerk O'Brien: "Capparelli."

Speaker Breslin: "Representative Capparelli votes \*aye\*."

Clerk O'Brien: "Christensen."

Speaker Breslin: "Representative Christensen, pass."

Clerk O'Brien: "Churchill."

Speaker Breslin: "Representative Churchill votes 'aye'."

Clerk O'Brien: "Countryman."

Speaker Breslin: "Representative Countryman votes 'no'."

Clerk O'Brien: "Cowlishaw."

Speaker Breslin: "Representative Cowlishaw votes 'aye'."

Clerk O'Brien: "Cullerton."

Speaker Breslin: "Representative Cullerton votes 'aye'."

Clerk O'Brien: "Curran."

Speaker Breslin: "Representative Curran votes 'aye'."

Clerk O'Brien: "Currie."

Speaker Breslin: "Representative Currie votes 'aye'."

Clerk O'Brien: "Daniels."

Speaker Breslin: "Representative Daniels, pass."

Clerk O'Brien: "Davis."

Speaker Breslin: "Representative Davis votes 'aye'."

Clerk O'Brien: "DeJaegher."

Speaker Breslin: "Representative DeJaegher votes 'aye'."

Clerk O'Brien: "DeLeo."

Speaker Breslin: "Representative DeLeo votes 'aye'."

Clerk O'Brien: "Deuchler."

Speaker Breslin: "Representative Deuchler votes 'aye'."

Clerk O'Brien: "Didrickson."

Speaker Breslin: "Representative Didrickson votes "aye"."

Clerk O'Brien: "Dunn."

Speaker Breslin: "Representative Dunn votes 'aye'."

65th Legislative Day June 29, 1985

Clerk O'Brien: "Ewing."

Speaker Breslin: "Representative Ewing. Representative Ewing votes 'ave'."

Clerk O'Brien: "Farley."

Speaker Breslin: "Representative Farley votes 'aye'."

Clerk O'Brien: "Flinn."

Speaker Breslin: "Representative Flinn votes 'aye'."

Clerk O'Brien: "Flowers."

Speaker Breslin: "Representative Flowers votes "aye"."

Clerk O'Brien: "Virginia Frederick."

Speaker Breslin: "Representative Frederick votes \*aye\*."

Clerk O'Brien: "Dwight Friedrich."

Speaker Breslin: "Representative Friedrich votes 'aye'."

Clerk O'Brien: "Giglio."

Speaker Breslin: "Representative Giglio, pass."

Clerk O'Brien: "Giorgi."

Speaker Breslin: "Representative Giorgi. Representative Giorgi
votes 'aye'."

Clerk O'Brien: "Goforth."

Speaker Breslin: "Representative Goforth votes 'aye'."

Clerk O'Brien: "Greiman."

Speaker Breslin: "Representative Greiman, pass."

Clerk O'Brien: "Hallock."

Speaker Breslin: "Representative Hallock votes 'no'."

Clerk O'Brien: "Hannig."

Speaker Breslin: "Representative Hannig votes \*aye"."

Clerk O'Brien: "Harris."

Speaker Breslin: "Representative Harris votes 'aye'."

Clerk O'Brien: "Hartke."

Speaker Breslin: "Representative Hartke votes 'aye'.

Representative Hartke. Representative Hartke votes 'aye'."

Clerk O'Brien: "Hastert."

Speaker Breslin: "Representative Hastert votes 'aye'."

65th Legislative Day June 29, 1985

Clerk O'Brien: "Hawkinson."

Speaker Breslin: "Representative Hawkinson votes \*aye\*."

Clerk O'Brien: "Hensel."

Speaker Breslin: "Representative Hensel votes 'aye'."

Clerk O'Brien: "Hicks."

Speaker Breslin: "Representative Hicks votes 'aye'."

Clerk O'Brien: "Hoffman."

Speaker Breslin: "Representative Hoffman votes 'aye'."

Clerk O'Brien: "Homer."

Speaker Breslin: "Representative Homer votes 'aye'."

Clerk O'Brien: "Huff."

Speaker Breslin: "Representative Huff votes 'aye'."

Clerk O'Brien: "Johnson."

Speaker Breslin: "Johnson votes 'aye'."

Clerk O'Brien: "Keane."

Speaker Breslin: "Keane, pass."

Clerk O'Brien: "Kirkland."

Speaker Breslin: "Excuse me. Representative Keane. No, Keane passes."

Clerk O'Brien: "Kirkland."

Speaker Breslin: "Kirkland votes 'aye'."

Clerk O'Brien: "Klemm."

Speaker Breslin: "Flinn votes 'aye'. Excuse me. Klemm votes

'aye'."

Clerk O'Brien: "Koehler."

Speaker Breslin: "Koehler votes 'aye'."

Clerk O'Brien: "Krska."

Speaker Breslin: "Krska, pass."

Clerk O'Brien: "Kubik."

Speaker Breslin: "Kubik votes 'aye'."

Clerk O'Brien: "Kulas."

Speaker Breslin: "Kulas votes 'aye'."

Clerk O'Brien: "Laurino."

65th Legislative Day June 29, 1985

Speaker Breslin: "Laurino votes 'aye'."

Clerk O'Brien: "LeFlore."

Speaker Breslin: "LeFlore votes 'aye'."

Clerk O'Brien: "Leverenz."

Speaker Breslin: "Leverenz votes... Leverenz."

Leverenz: "Present."

Speaker Breslin: "Leverenz votes 'present'."

Clerk O'Brien: "Levin."

Speaker Breslin: "Levin. Levin votes 'aye'."

Clerk O'Brien: "Matijevich."

Speaker Breslin: "Matijevich 'aye'."

Clerk O'Brien: "Mautino."

Speaker Breslin: "Mautino 'aye'."

Clerk O'Brien: "Mays."

Speaker Breslin: "Mays. Mays votes 'aye'."

Clerk O'Brien: "McAuliffe."

Speaker Breslin: "McAuliffe, pass."

Clerk O'Brien: "McCracken."

Speaker Breslin: "McCracken. McCracken votes 'aye'."

Clerk O'Brien: "McGann."

Speaker Breslin: "McGann votes 'aye'."

Clerk O'Brien: "McMaster."

Speaker Breslin: "McMaster. McMaster votes... McMaster votes

\*aye\*. Representative Braun seeks recognition to vote

'aye'. This is an Oral Verified Roll Call."

Clerk O'Brien: "McNamara."

Speaker Breslin: "McNamara votes "aye"."

Clerk O'Brien: "McPike."

Speaker Breslin: "McPike, pass."

Clerk O'Brien: "Mulcahey."

Speaker Breslin: "Mulcahey votes 'aye'."

Clerk O'Brien: "Nash."

Speaker Breslin: "Nash votes 'aye'."

65th Legislative Day June 29, 1985

Clerk O'Brien: "Oblinger."

Speaker Breslin: "Oblinger votes 'aye'."

Clerk O'Brien: "O'Connell."

Speaker Breslin: "O'Connell votes 'aye'."

Clerk O'Brien: "Olson."

Speaker Breslin: "Olson votes 'no'."

Clerk O'Brien: "Panayotovich."

Speaker Breslin: "Panayotovich votes 'aye'."

Clerk O'Brien: "Pangle."

Speaker Breslin: "Pangle, pass."

Clerk O'Brien: "Parcells."

Speaker Breslin: "Parcells votes 'no'."

Clerk O'Brien: "Parke."

Speaker Breslin: "Parke votes 'no'."

Clerk O'Brien: "B. Pedersen."

Speaker Breslin: "Pedersen votes 'no'."

Clerk O'Brien: "W. Peterson."

Speaker Breslin: "Peterson votes 'aye'."

Clerk O'Brien: "Phelps."

Speaker Breslin: "Phelps votes \*aye\*."

Clerk O'Brien: "Piel."

Speaker Breslin: "Piel. Piel votes 'aye'."

Clerk O'Brien: "Preston."

Speaker Breslin: "Preston, pass."

Clerk O'Brien: "Pullen."

Speaker Breslin: "Pullen \*present\*."

Clerk O'Brien: "Rea."

Speaker Breslin: "Rea votes \*aye\*."

Clerk O'Brien: "Regan."

Speaker Breslin: "Regan votes 'ave'."

Clerk O'Brien: "Rice."

Speaker Breslin: "Rice votes 'aye'."

Clerk O'Brien: "Richmond."

65th Legislative Day

June 29, 1985

Speaker Breslin: "Hit your switch Representative Rice. Richmond, pass."

Clerk O'Brien: "Ronan."

Speaker Breslin: "Ronan votes 'aye'."

Clerk O'Brien: "Ropp."

Speaker Breslin: "Push your switch. Ropp. Ropp votes 'no'."

Clerk O'Brien: "Ryder."

Speaker Breslin: "Ryder votes 'aye'."

Clerk O'Brien: "Saltsman."

Speaker Breslin: "Saltsman votes 'aye'."

Clerk O'Brien: "Satterthwaite."

Speaker Breslin: "Satterthwaite votes 'aye'."

Clerk O'Brien: "Shaw."

Speaker Breslin: "Shaw votes 'aye'."

Clerk O'Brien: "Slater."

Speaker Breslin: "Slater votes 'aye'."

Clerk O'Brien: "Soliz."

Speaker Breslin: "Soliz votes 'aye' .: "

Clerk O'Brien: "Stange."

Speaker Breslin: "Stange votes 'aye'."

Clerk O'Brien: "Steczo."

Speaker Breslin: "Steczo, pass."

Clerk O'Brien: "Stephens."

Speaker Breslin: "Stephens votes \*aye\*."

Clerk O'Brien: "Stern."

Speaker Breslin: "Stern votes 'aye'."

Clerk O'Brien: "Sutker."

Speaker Breslin: "Sutker votes 'aye'."

Clerk O'Brien: "Tate."

Speaker Breslin: "Tate votes 'aye'."

Clerk O'Brien: "Terzich."

Speaker Breslin: "Excuse me. Excuse me. Representative Tate."

Tate: "Thank you, Madam Speaker. May I have the opportunity to

65th Legislative Day

June 29. 1985

explain my vote?"

Speaker Breslin: "Proceed. One minute."

Tate: "Well, I really don't know what's happening in this chamber, but this is absolutely ridiculous. These stall tactics... People have to have... get some Bills called. We could get adjourned out of this place. Now let's go on and do the business and get the right Bills called in this place."

Speaker Breslin: "Tate votes 'aye'."

Clerk O'Brien: "Terzich."

Speaker Breslin: "Terzich votes 'aye'."

Clerk O'Brien: "Tuerk."

Speaker Breslin: "Tuerk votes 'aye'."

Clerk O'Brien: "Turner."

Speaker Breslin: "Turner votes 'aye'."

Clerk O'Brien: "Van Duyne."

Speaker Breslin: "... Who was that?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Van Duvne."

Speaker Breslin: "Van Duyne votes 'aye'."

Clerk O'Brien: "Vinson."

Speaker Breslin: "Vinson. Vinson votes 'no'. Representative

Preston seeks recognition."

Preston: "Thank you, Madam Speaker. How am I recorded as voting?"

Speaker Breslin: "You passed."

Preston: "May I be recorded as voting 'aye', please?"

Speaker Breslin: "Record Representative Preston as voting 'aye'.

Representative Steczo seeks recognition to vote 'aye'."

Steczo: "Please record me as 'aye' please."

Speaker Breslin: "Okay."

Clerk O'Brien: "Vitek."

Speaker Breslin: "Representative Bullock votes 'aye'.

Representative Daniels, for what reason do you rise?

65th Legislative Day

June 29. 1985

Representative Daniels votes 'aye'. Continue with the..."

Clerk O'Brien: "Vitek."

Speaker Breslin: "Representative Vitek votes 'aye'."

Clerk O'Brien: "Wait."

Speaker Breslin: "White. White votes 'aye'."

Clerk O'Brien: "Wait."

Speaker Breslin: "Excuse me, was that White? Wait. Wait votes

\*aye\*."

Clerk O'Brien: "Washington."

Speaker Breslin: "Washington votes 'aye'."

Clerk O'Brien: "Weaver."

Speaker Breslin: "Weaver votes 'aye'."

Clerk O'Brien: "White."

Speaker Breslin: "I can't understand you."

Clerk O'Brien: "Jesse White."

Speaker Breslin: "Jesse White votes 'aye'."

Clerk O'Brien: "Williamson."

Speaker Breslin: "Williamson votes 'aye'."

Clerk O'Brien: "Woicik."

Speaker Breslin: "Wojcik votes 'aye'."

Clerk O'Brien: "Wolf."

Speaker Breslin: "Wolf votes \*ave\*."

Clerk O'Brien: "Woodyard."

Speaker Breslin: "Woodyard votes 'aye'."

Clerk O'Brien: "Anthony Young."

Speaker Breslin: "Anthony Young votes "aye"."

Clerk O'Brien: "Wyvetter Younge."

Speaker Breslin: "Wyvetter Younge votes "aye"."

Clerk O'Brien: "Zwick."

Speaker Breslin: "Zwick. Zwick votes 'aye'."

Clerk O'Brien: "Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Breslin: "Pass. Excuse me. Mr. Speaker votes 'aye'.

Representative Davis, for... Excuse me. Representative

65th Legislative Day

June 29. 1985

Alexander changes her vote from 'present' to 'aye'.

Representative Davis, for what reason do you rise?"

- Davis: "Well, Mr. Speaker... Madam Speaker, at this point in I'm going to change my vote to 'present', and I'm time. going to recommend that everybody in this chamber do the same thing because what's happening to my Bills, both of them 1918 and 1934, what's happening to both of those Bills can happen to any of yours. So I'm going to change my vote to 'present', Madam Speaker, and I ask that everybody ຳດຳກ me until there's a little equity on both sides of the aisle these issues transcend both sides of the aisle. There's a great deal of interest in both of those Bills. and I would... We're on the Order of Criminal Call again. We passed State and Local Government. It could have in either one. Both of them could have been in either one. and here we are, at this point in time, still not getting anything done on 1918 and 1934. And I just recommend everybody change their vote to "present" until we can get some action out of the Speaker."
- Speaker Breslin: "Representative Davis changes his vote from 'aye' to 'present'. Representative Pullen, for what reason do rise?"
- Pullen: "Madam Speaker, I believe I am already voting \*present\*,
  but I think I\*d like to change my vote to \*no\*."
- Speaker Breslin: "Change Pullen to 'no'. Representative Ewing.

  Representative Ewing."
- Ewing: "Madam Speaker, I believe I am recorded as green. Is that correct?"
- Speaker Breslin: "You are."
- Ewing: "Yes, I would like to change that to 'present', and I..."
- Speaker Breslin: "Change Ewing to 'present'."
- Ewing: "...would like to take the opportunity to explain my vote
  and to support the comment of my fellow Assistant Minority

65th Legislative Day

June 29, 1985

Leader, Jack Davis, on this side of the aisle about the fairness issue. Ιf that were to be followed, we could certainly accommodate Mr. Tate and a11 the Members side of the aisle. Mr. Davis' Bill could be the... vour Other important Bills could be heard. ₩e could get out of here like those of our colleagues who serve So I would ask other Members of this side of the Senate. aisle to explain their vote, to tell the Body why they re changing their vote and change to yellow."

Speaker Breslin: "Representative McNamara, for what reason do you rise?"

McNamara: "Madam Speaker, on this particular issue that happens to be a very important issue before us, it is not as tο whether the Parliamentarian or the rules or this or that... involved kids. It involves an issue that happens to be very important. I sat through the last two Orders Business in which 12 Democratic Bills were called and 22 Republican Bills. As you know, I sit in this chair all the time, taking a look at each and every single issue coming along. I support your Bills. I support both sides! Bills. I'd like the same consideration for that. If we wish to continue to delay or we wish to want to continue to delay, I ask you to do it with someone else's 8111-Δt this time. I'd ask for the House's consideration and to give us a chance to get this important piece of legislation through. Thank you."

Speaker Breslin: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Keane, for what reason do you rise?"

Keane: "I'd like to be recorded 'aye"."

Speaker Breslin: "Record Representative Keane as "aye". The
Gentleman from Will, Representative Van Duyne, for what
reason do you rise?"

Van Duyne: "Madam Speaker, I think that blackguard behind me, Mr.

65th Legislative Day

- June 29, 1985
- Flinn, has changed my vote, and I'd like to make sure that I'm voted 'aye' also."
- Speaker Breslin: "You are... You were recorded as... as 'aye' orally, and you are recorded so now."
- Van Duyne: "He changed my... He punched my button, Ms. Speaker."

  Speaker Breslin: "The Gentleman from St. Clair, Representative

Flinn, for what reason do you rise."

- Flinn: "Hell, I deny that. I didn't do it. Somebody changed mine over to 'present' here. I wanted to vote 'aye'. I stood up and voted green. I pointed my finger up in the air like that. I was voting 'aye' for myself, and I think he punched them both."
- Speaker Breslin: "Representative... Representative Flinn and Van

  Duyne are both voted 'aye'. The Lady from Champaign,

  Representative Satterthwaite, for what reason do you rise?"

Satterthwaite: "For a parliamentary inquiry."

Speaker Breslin: "State your inquiry."

- Satterthwaite: "Madam Chairman (sic Speaker), I believe that you stated at the beginning of this Oral Verified Roll Call that we would go back to people who might not have voted when their names were called, but I think that we really subvert the process if we permit people who have stood up here and verbally cast their vote to go back and delay the procedure of the House by repeated changes and explanations after they have already voted on the issue."
- Speaker Breslin: "Representative Satterthwaite, we will review the rules and back aet t o VOUL Representative Satterthwaite, your point is not well taken. The rules allow a change of vote. specifically Representative Vinson, for what reason do you rise?"
- Vinson: "Well, Madam... Madam Speaker, I concur in Representative

  Davis' right to have his Bill called. I do not think that

  the Chair should be allowed to arbitrarily deny any Member

65th Legislative Day

- June 29, 1985
- the right to have their Bill called, and for that reason, I will accord with his request and change my vote to 'present'."
- Speaker Breslin: "Representative Vinson changes his vote from 'no' to 'present'. Representative McAuliffe seeks recognition and votes 'aye'. The Lady from Cook, Representative Barnes."
- Barnes: "Thank you, Madam Speaker. In deference to the wishes of our Leader Davis, I would like to change my vote from 'aye' to 'present'."
- Speaker Breslin: "Change Barnes from 'aye' to 'present'.

  Representative Daniels."
- Daniels: "Madam Speaker, you are refusing to call House Bill 1918, which is a Bill giving the right to the state to demand a jury trial. It seems to me you'd have a little more interest in that legislation than you're displaying in that Chair right now, so I change my vote to 'present'."
- Speaker Breslin: "Change Representative Daniels from 'aye' to 'present'. The Lady from Cook, Representative Parcells."
- Parcells: "Thank you, Madam Speaker. I'd like to change my vote from 'no' to 'present'."
- Speaker Breslin: "You were already recorded as voting 'present'
  on the board, but we will... we will change the oral
  verification from 'no' to 'present'. The Gentleman from
  St. Clair, Representative Stephens."
- Stephens: "Thank you, Madam... Madam Speaker. In the name of fair play, I'd like to change my vote from 'yes' to 'present'."
- Speaker Breslin: "Representative Stephens goes from 'yes' to

  'present'. Representative Christensen votes 'aye'. The

  Gentleman from DuPage. Representative Hensel."
- Hensel: "Thank you, Madam Speaker. I believe I voted 'yes', but
  I would like to change that to 'present'."

65th Legislative Day

- June 29, 1985
- Speaker Breslin: "Change Representative Hensel from 'aye' to 'present'. Representative Wojcik."
- Wojcik: "Yes, Madam Speaker, I have voted 'yes', and I would like to change that to 'present'."
- Speaker Breslin: "Change Representative Wojcik to 'aye' to 'present'. Representative Peterson."
- Peterson: "Thank you, Madam Speaker. I would like my vote changed from 'aye' to 'present'."
- Speaker Breslin: "Change Representative Peterson from 'aye' to 'present'. Representative Hoffman."
- Hoffman: "Thank you, Madam Speaker. I, too, would like to change
  my vote from 'aye' to 'present'."
- Speaker Breslin: "Change Representative Hoffman from 'aye' to
  'present'. Representative Pedersen. Representative
  Pedersen."
- Pedersen: "Madam Chairman (sic Speaker), I'd like to change my

  vote from 'no' to 'present'."
- Speaker Breslin: "Change Representative Pedersen from 'no' to 'present'. Representative Piel."
- Piel: "Thank you, Madam Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. In the name of fairness to all Members of the House of Representative, may I can my vote from 'aye' to 'present' please?"
- Speaker Breslin: "Change Representative Piel from 'aye' to
  'present'. Representative Ryder."
- Ryder: "Thank you, Madam Speaker. Please record my vote as 'present' in deference to the request of Representative..."
- Speaker Breslin: "Change Representative Ryder from 'aye' to 'present'. Representative Friedrich."
- Friedrich: "Madam Speaker, I think you may be in error. I don't

  believe Representative Braun was here when her button was

  pushed."
- Speaker Breslin: "Representative Braun appeared on the floor and

65th Legislative Day

June 29, 1985

- specifically requested to be voted 'aye'."
- Friedrich: "Okay. She was in her chair. I apologize. I would like to change from "ave" to "present"."
- Speaker Breslin: "Representative Friedrich changes from 'aye' to
  'present'. Representative Cowlishaw."
- Cowlishaw: "Please change my vote from 'aye' to 'present'."
- Speaker Breslin: "Change Representative Cowlishaw from 'aye' to

  'present'. Representative Churchill."
- Churchill: "Thank you, Madam Speaker. In rising to explain change of vote, I want to answer a question that was posed by Representative McNamara as to a partisanship this Bill is not a partisan Bill. It's a bipartisan Bill. It was sponsored in the Democrat. It's sponsored in the House by a Republican. This is a Bill I really don't... I don't know what it's all about. I... In fact, I'd like to have the opportunity to hear about the Bill. It kind of causes suspicions in my mind when we have to go through this mechanism to Bill called in the first place. The most simple thing could have been just to come back and say, 'We'll add that Bill to the Call, and we'll talk about it. What is in this Bill that you're afraid of? I don\*t understand why you won't go to the Bill. Īs there something that could cause some problem some place? I just think that it's bad for both sides of the aisle when..."
- Speaker Breslin: "The Gentleman changes he vote from 'aye' to 'present'. Representative Kubik."
- Kubik: "Madam Speaker, please change my vote from 'aye' to
  'present'."
- Speaker Breslin: "Change Representative Kubik from 'aye' to

  \*present\*. Representative McCracken."
- McCracken: "In explanation of my vote, I want to assure the Representative this is not directed toward him. But

65th Legislative Day

June 29, 1985

listen, this is good for both of us on each side of aisle. You want your Bills called. We want ours called. On these Special Order Calendars, we're not disputing the fact that it's half Republican and half Democrat, but we're disputing the fact that the Calendar's not being followed. Your Membership suffers as well as our Membership so stick together on this, and let's get the Calendar called in its normal and due course. That's the issue. It's not just 1918. It's the Calendar and the integrity of the process."

Speaker Breslin: "Change Representative McCracken from 'aye' to
'present'. Representative Parke."

Parke: "I'm orally changing my vote from 'no' to 'present', and I concur with Representative McCracken's statement earlier."

Speaker Breslin: "Change Representative Parke from 'aye' to

'present'. Representative Regan."

Regan: "Madam Speaker, how am I registered?"

Speaker Breslin: "You're recorded as voting 'aye'."

Regan: "I'd like to change my vote to "present", and I'd like to explain my vote please. ſn a11 due respect to the same thing happened to me Representative McNamara, Wednesday night that's happening to Jack Davis today. Bill also pertained to children. It was a Bill pertaining to child pornography - a very important Bill. The "IG" wants this Bill very badly. We went around it for He traveled back and forth across the Calendar just like from China to Peru. We never got it. and was I certainly killed Wednesday night. think that this ridiculous situation with the Calendar can be changed. and I hope that everyone goes along with this maneuver."

Speaker Breslin: "Change Representative Regan from 'aye' to 'present'. Representative Hastert."

Hastert: "Madam Chairman... Speaker, please change me to

65th Legislative Day

- June 29, 1985
- Speaker Breslin: "Change Representative Hastert from 'aye' to 'present'. Representative Williamson."
- Williamson: "Madam Speaker, out of great respect for Representative Davis, I'd like to change my vote from 'aye' to 'present'."
- Speaker Breslin: "Change Representative Williamson from 'aye' to 'present'. Representative Deuchler."
- Deuchler: "Madam Speaker, please change my vote from 'aye' to
  'present'."
- Speaker Breslin: "Change Deuchler from 'aye' to 'present'.

  Representative Klemm."
- Klemm: "Thank you, Madam Speaker. Would you please change my
  vote from "ave" to "present"."
- Speaker Breslin: "Change Representative Klemm from 'aye' to 'present'. Representative Wait."
- Wait: "Please change mine from 'aye' to 'present'."
- Speaker Breslin: "Change Representative Wait from 'aye' to

  'present'. Representative Weaver."
- Weaver: "Thank you, Madam Speaker. Would you please change my
  vote from "ave" to "present"?"
- Speaker Breslin: "Change Representative Weaver from 'aye' to

  'present'. Representative Hawkinson."
- Hawkinson: "Please change my vote from 'aye' to 'present'."
- Speaker Breslin: "Change Representative Hawkinson from 'aye' to 'present'. Representative Zwick."
- Zwick: "Madam Speaker, in an attempt to vote with my party, I°c
  like to change from 'aye' to 'present'."
- Speaker Breslin: "Change Representative Zwick from 'aye' to
  'present'. Representative Didrickson."
- Didrickson: "Please change my vote from 'aye' to 'present' also."
- Speaker Breslin: "Change Representative Didrickson from 'aye' to

  'present'. Representative Frederick."
- Frederick: "Please change my vote from "aye" to 'present'."

65th Legislative Day

June 29. 1985

Speaker Breslin: "Change Representative... Representative Frederick from "aye" to "present". Representative Barger."

Barger: "Madam Speaker, would you please change my vote from "aye" to "present"."

Speaker Breslin: "Change Representative Barger from 'present'. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted The Clerk will take the record. And wish? on this question, there are 76 voting 'aye', 5 voting \*no\* and 3 vatina 'present', and the House does concur in Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 2106. Representative Greiman He wishes to be recorded as voting 'aye'. There are, therefore, 77 voting 'ave', 5 voting 'no' and 3 voting \*present\*, and the House does concur in Senate Amendment #... There are 77 voting 'ave', 5 voting 'no' and 31 voting 'present', and the House does concur in Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 2106. And this Bill, having received the Constitutional Majority, is hereby Bi11 2129, passed. House Representative Oblinger. Representative Oblinger."

Oblinger: "Thank you, Madam Speaker. This is a Bill that allows minorities, including women, to have loans for commercial purposes as well as residential purposes and I would ask your concurrence to Senate Amendment &1 to House Bill 2129.

All the Senate did was take out extra verbage. They decided that I didn't have to explain what it was, that by common usage we would know what the words meant."

Speaker Breslin: "Representative Oblinger has moved to concur in Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 2129. And on that question, the Gentleman from Cook, Representative Cullerton."

Cullerton: "Yes, would the Sponsor yield?"

Speaker Breslin: "She will."

Cullerton: "Representative Oblinger, my notes indicate that this

65th Legislative Day

June 29, 1985

is the Bill for the Lieutenant Governor."

Oblinger: "It was not at his request. It was from the Small

Business Conference. That recommendation came out from the

Cullerton: "Who's Small Business Conference?"

Oblinger: "The Lieutenant Governor's Small Business Conference.

but it actually came out as a Resolution."

Cullerton: "Well, I mean, the Lieutenant Governor's in favor of the Bill."

Oblinger: "Pardon?"

Cullerton: "The Lieutenant Governor is in favor of the Bill, is he not?"

Oblinger: "Yes. I would hope everybody was in favor of this.

Being a woman, I would say that I would favor this Bill — I

don't care who supports it."

Cullerton: "No. I'm not fighting. We're not a vindictive party

over here."

Oblinger: "Thank you."

Cullerton: "I just wanted to find out if this was the Bill that... that I identified earlier as being the Lieutenant Governor's Bill — the one he's interested in. His Conference... It's a recommendation of his Conference. fine."

Oblinger: "Yes, Sir."

Cullerton: "Thank you very much."

Speaker Breslin: "The question is, "Shall the House concur in Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 2129?" All those in favor vote "aye", all those opposed vote "no". Voting is open. This is final action. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this question, there are 117 voting "aye", none voting "no" and none voting "present". Representative Braun votes "aye". There are 118 voting "aye", none voting "no" and

65th Legislative Day

June 29, 1985

none voting 'present', and the House concurs in Senate
Amendment #1 to House Bill 2129. And this Bill, having
received the Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared
passed. House Bill 2220, Representative... It appears on
page eight on your Calendar, Representative Mautino.

- Mautino: "Thank you. Madam Speaker. House Bill 2220 established Department of Commerce and Community Affairs. within the under the Community Development Assistance Program that would allow for a grant to manufacturers provisions. from out-of-state who are moving into the State of Illinois for the relocation costs of machinery and equipment one state to another. That's the underlying legislation. The Amendment that was added in the Senate expands eligibility for the grants under the program to include relocation of manufacturing machinery or equipment from one location in Illinois to another i n Illinois. So. therefore, it covers out-of-state as well instate and I move for concurrence αn Amendment #1 to House Bill 2220."
- Speaker Breslin: "The Gentleman moves to concur in Senate Amendment &1 to House Bill 2220. And on that question, is there any discussion? Hearing none, the question is, \*Shall the House concur in Senate Amendment 31 to 8111 2220?\* All those in favor vote 'ave', all those opposed vote 'no'. Voting is open. This is final Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Representative Johnson votes 'aye'. Have all voted The Clerk will take the record. On this question, wish? there are 117 voting 'aye', none voting "no" and none voting 'present', and the House concurs in Senate Amendment to House Bill 2220. And this Bill, having received the Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared leave of the Body, we will go back to a Bill that I skipped

65th Legislative Day

June 29, 1985

and that is Representative Oblinger's Bill, House Bill 2217. It appears on page eighteen on your Calendar. Representative Oblinger."

- Oblinger: "Thank you very much, Madam Speaker. This is the disabled abuse Bill. The way it was originally written was to have a pilot project. The people from DORS advised me that they already had the study underway, and so that's what this Bill empowers them to do to conduct a study on the abused disabled and to report back by April 1st of 1986. And that's what the Amendment from the Senate does, and I move to concur with Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 2217."
- Speaker Breslin: "The Calendar indicates there's Senate.

  Amendments #1 and 2."
- Oblinger: "Number 2 is the one that is usually put on by the practitioners of science which say that they shall not be considered abused or neglected because he or she is furnished with or relies upon treatment by spiritual means through paraclete, and that's a Christen Science Amendment."
- Speaker Breslin: "The question is, \*Shall the House concur in Senate Amendments #1 and 2 to House Bill 2217?\* All Is there any discussion? Hearing none, the question is, 'Shall the House concur in Senate Amendments #1 House Bill 2217? All those in favor vote 'aye', all those opposed vote 'no'. Voting is open. This is final Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who action. The Clerk will take the record. On this wish? question. 117 voting "aye", none voting "no" and none there are voting 'present'. And the House does concur in Amendments #1 and 2 to House Bill 2217. And this Bill. having received the Constitutional Majority, is declared passed. House Bill 2431, Representative Olson.

65th Legislative Day

June 29, 1985

It appears on page eight on your Calendar."

Olson: "Thank you, Madam Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. House Bill 2431 was the Public Aid initiative relative to codifying the various support statutes within the mandatory guidelines set a year ago. Senate Amendment #1 was an LRB suggested technical correction. Basically it puts this Bill in... in conformity with Senate Bill 91; therefore, I would move to concur in Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 2431."

Speaker Breslin: "The Gentleman moves to concur in Senate

Amendment #1 to House Bill 2431. And on the question, the

Gentleman from Macon, Representative Dunn."

Dunn: "Will the Sponsor yield for a question?"

Speaker Breslin: "He will."

Dunn: "As amended by the Senate, does this Bill effect the Dissolution of Marriage Act?"

Olson: "It effects that, and also the Public Aid Act."

Dunn: "And what are the changes in the Dissolution of Marriage
Act?"

Olson: "Hang on a second. It basically takes the guidelines out of the maintenance Section. Affords more discretion to the court."

Dunn: "It takes all the guidelines out?"

Olson: "Out of the maintenance Section."

Dunn: "And what about the child support Section?"

Olson: "Still in."

Dunn: "No changes in the child support Section?"

Olson: "What has been amended..."

Dunn: "I can't hear you... What'd he say?"

Olson: "What has been amended in the Amendment that was put on here in the... in 2431..."

Dunn: "What does the Bill do as amended to the child support provisions of the Marriage and Dissolution Act?"

65th Legislative Day

June 29, 1985

Olson: "It... What's the guidelines first. Permits the courts relative discretion, and also suggests specific things such as the maintenance of a home, the ability to go to the job and those other sectors which the court might make a discretionary..."

Dunn: "Well, what are these things?"

Olson: "Hang on a second. Okay. Do you have the Amendment,

Representative Dunn? That is to 2431."

Dunn: "Where... Where are the changes for child support? What

Olson: "Go to page... page 11 of Amendment 1 to 2431, not the Senate Amendment. On page 11, line 11, net income definition — federal income tax, state income tax. These are the exemptions — Social Security, mandatory retirement contributions, union dues, dependent and individual health and hospitalization premiums, prior obligations. Those may be considered."

Dunn: "What about a mortgage payment on a house?"

Olson: "That would be court discretion, Representative Dunn.

There are some other expenditures here on page 11 of the original Amendment that would be expenditures for repayment of debt that represent reasonable and necessary expenses for the production of income, medical expenditures, et cetera."

Dunn: "Well, to the Bill, Madam Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, just very briefly. There are a number of us in here who felt the enactment of the child support guidelines in the first place was a bad idea. If you think that's so, you should probably vote 'present' on this legislation. What we have is legislation which makes a bad law better. What we really need is repeal of a bad law. I don't think we're going to get it, but a 'present' vote might help."

65th Legislative Day

June 29, 1985

Speaker Breslin: "There being no further discussion.

Representative Currie to close."

"Thank you. Madam Speaker and Members of the House. Currie: Bill is virtually identical if not altogether identical tο Senate Bill 91, which passed this chamber last week. It is a Bill that responds to legitimate concerns about the presumptive guidelines for child support that were enacted That legislation was good by this Assembly last year. legislation. It's intent was sound. The point οf the Amendments, the Amendments that are the heart of ... House Bill 2431 and the Senate Amendment is to make sure that not only the intent of the legislation is sound but also that measure is in good shape and technically the that appropriate differences in individual circumstances miaht be taken into account by Judges of law, by the courts when they are deciding what is not only in the best interest the child but is necessary for the child with respect to support payments in the event of a dissolution of marriage. This Bill. I believe is supported at this point bv Association groups, as well, of course, by the Illinois Department of Public Aid. Various women's groups that have worked on problems of child support, that are concerned the statistics in this country that suggest that the average yearly support award until recently has been in the neighborhood of 2,000 dollars. I encourage your for the concurrence Motion. It's good public policy. It's good for our children."

Speaker Breslin: "The question is, "Shall the House concur in Senate Amendment &1 to House Bill 2431?" All those in favor vote "aye", all those opposed vote "no". Voting is open. This is final passage. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this question, there are 111 voting "aye", none voting

65th Legislative Day

June 29, 1985

'no' and 5 voting 'present', and the House does concur in Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 2431. And this Bill, having received the Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. House Bill 2499 appears on page nine on your Calendar. Representative Ronan.

Ronan: "Thank you, Madam Speaker. I... I move to concur to Senate Amendment, whatever it is, to House Bill 2499. This is part of the infrastructure package. This was a request that came to me by the Director of the Bureau of the Budget, Capital Development Board or the... or the Governor's Office of Planning. Concerning this Amendment, I'll be glad to answer any questions concerning it, and I move to concur to Senate Amendment, whatever it was, to House Bill 2499."

Speaker Breslin: "The Gentleman moves to concur in Senate
Amendment #1 to House Bill 2499. And on the question, the
Gentleman from DeWitt, Representative Vinson."

Vinson: "Yes, Madam Speaker, will the Sponsor yield for a guestion?"

Speaker Breslin: "He will. Oh, excuse me, the Gentleman will not yield for a question."

Vinson: "What?"

Speaker Breslin: "The Gentleman will not yield for a question."

Vinson: "Well, then I would ask that the Bill be taken from the record."

Speaker Breslin: "That's the right of the Sponsor, Sir."

Vinson: "To take the Bill out of the record?"

Speaker Breslin: "The Sponsor has not requested that the Bill come out of the record, and the Bill has been called."

Vinson: "Well, I would urge a 'no' vote on the Bill then and request a Verified Oral Roll Call."

Speaker Breslin: "On the question, the Gentleman from DuPage,

Representative McCracken."

65th Legislative Day

- June 29, 1985
- McCracken: "I believe... I believe the demand for the Oral

  Verified Roll Call has been joined by the requisite

  number."
- Speaker Breslin: "The Gentleman from Sangamon, Representative

  Curran."
- Curran: "Thank you, Madam Speaker. I move to suspend the rule
  whereby an Oral Verified Roll Call can be called for, and I
  ask for 60 votes on this side to suspend that rule."
- Speaker Breslin: "Representative Curran, you're not recognized for that purpose. The Speaker will allow an Oral Verified Roll Call on this Bill. Representative Ronan, for what reason do you seek recognition?"
- Ronan: "I'm sure that Representative Vinson's question will be probably one of the most enlightening questions that we've heard today so I'll be glad to answer his enlightening question."
- Speaker Breslin: "The Gentleman has changed his mind and would like to answer Representative Vinson's question. Proceed, Representative Vinson."
- Vinson: "Representative, what is the effect of Senate Amendment #1?"
- Ronan: "Senate Amendment #1 was a request by the Governor's

  Office to put in a reporting date into this legislation."

  Vinson: "And what does the underlying Bill do?"
- Ronan: "The underlying Bill is one of the infrastructure Bills that I passed during the General Session that passed out of the House, and most of them had over a hundred votes on
- Vinson: "Yes, but what does this one do?"

them."

- Ronan: "This is the... I think this is the five year capital
- Vinson: "I'm sorry. I can't hear you, Representative."
- Ronan: "This is the one where DCCA has a local infrastructure

65th Legislative Day

June 29, 1985

study that we're... that we're mandating so that we can do an analysis of all the infrastructure needs around the state. And what the Senate Amendment does was put a reporting date of January of 1987 so it doesn't... so the report is not used for political reasons during the next qubernatorial campaign."

Vinson: "What is the estimated cost of complying with the study?"

Ronan: "400,000 dollars."

Vinson: "And what will we... What information should we expect as a result of the study?"

"Well, the information we're going to get, Representative Ronan: is to stop you from putting partisan projects in local... local improvement projects. You know, we projects here in the State of Illinois. I'm sorry lat αf to say that many of them in the past have been done based on key Legislators going to the Governor and demanding that projects be done. I think it should be done on a reasonable, rational basis where an infrastructure study is done, a set of priorities are established so that spend these millions of dollars they can go into the areas of the real need as opposed to where you have clout Legislator."

Vinson: "How is infrastructure defined in the Bill?"

Ronan: "Infrastructure is defined based on the federal guidelines."

Vinson: "Based on what?"

Ronan: "The federal guidelines as you define infrastructure. As you're well aware, Representative Vinson, infrastructure normally refers to water projects, sewer projects, water treatment projects, road projects and bridge projects."

Vinson: "What... Is there federal legislation or guidelines in this field?"

Ronan: "Not in this Bill."

65th Legislative Day

June 29, 1985

Vinson: "In the field."

Ronan: "The federal... The federal guideline... The federal program that we passed earlier this Session was my revolving loan fund to get some additional federal dollars here in the State of Illinois."

Vinson: "But... But there are no... There is no federal law defining infrastructure for purposes of this Act?"

Ronan: "Of course, there's a federal law dealing with the definition of infrastructure."

Vinson: "Does it include sewage treatment plants?"

Ronan: "Yes, it does."

Vinson: "Does it include mass transit facilities?"

Ronan: "Yes, it does."

Vinson: "Does it include the kind of work... Does it include water systems and water wells?"

Ronan: "It includes water systems. I assume water wells are part of water systems."

Vinson: "Would part of this deal with the problem of declining water levels in the... around the state?"

Ronan: "I... I'd assume that if you're interested in declining water levels and the study shows there's a real need there, those projects could be considered by... by State Government as possibly worthwhile. That's what the study's going to identify — where the needs are, what the real priorities are and what projects should be funded by State Government."

Vinson: "And finally, does this survey require any information in regard to how... how modern and how obsolete the various infrastructure elements are?"

Ronan: "Absolutely. That would be one of the key criterion that we should use in funding state projects."

Vinson: "Okay. Thank you."

Speaker Breslin: "The Lady from Cook, Representative Currie."

65th Legislative Day

June 29 1985

Currie: "Thank you, Madam Speaker and Members of the House. This one of the most important Bills we'll vote on this Session. Anyone who is opposed to pork, anyone who home and tells the people of your own district that you are setting the right standards and doing the right job for all the people in the State of Illinois had better be voting 'ves' on the concurrence Motion on House Bill 2499. This a chance, finally in the state, to take the business of doing infrastructure projects out of the hands of the people who make decisions on political bases, rather than on the basis of need for those projects. Anyone who would him or herself a politician. lika consider t a Representative who cares about doing things the right whose hands are clean, who opposes pork and patronage should certainly want to support this concurrence Motion." Speaker Breslin: "The question is, 'Shall the House concur in Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 249931 Does Representative Vinson persist in his The request? Gentleman persists in his request for an Oral Verified Roll The rules are the same, Ladies and Gentlemen. Call. When your name is called, stand and announce your vote. Mr. Clerk, begin at the top of the Calendar. This is final passage. Ladies and Gentlemen. Ladies and Gentlemen. this is going to be an Oral Verified Roll Call requested Vinson. Representatives. and Representative Ladies Gentlemen, the Gentleman is within his rights in requesting an Oral Verified Roll Call. Clear the board, Mr. Clerk. When we begin and your name is called, stand and announce your vote. The question is, \*Shall the House concur Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 2499?\* All those in favor vote 'aye', all those opposed vote 'no'."

Clerk O'Brien: "Alexander."

Speaker Breslin: "Alexander votes \*aye'."

65th Legislative Day

June 29, 1985

Clerk O'Brien: "Barger."

Speaker Breslin: "Barger. Excuse me. There are several people who have voted when only one name was called. Mr. Clerk. dump the Roll. Ladies and Gentlemen, you are only delaying the process. The question is, on an Oral Verified Roll Call, 'Shall the House concur in Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 2499? All those in favor vote 'aye', all those opposed vote 'no'. Representative Christensen. this is Oral Verified Roll Call. Dump the Roll, Mr. Clerk. He\*re going to try again. The question is, 'Shall the 2499? in Senate Amendment #1 to House 3i11 Representative Alexander votes 'aye'. Mr. Clerk. continue."

Clerk O'Brien: "Barger."

Speaker Breslin: "Barger, how do you vote? Just stand up and vote. You don't have to talk. The Gentleman votes... The Gentleman votes 'present'."

Clerk O'Brien: "Barnes."

Speaker Breslin: "Barnes votes 'ave'."

Clerk O'Brien: "Berrios."

Speaker Breslin: "Berrios votes 'ave'."

Clerk O'Brien: "Bowman."

Speaker Breslin: "Bowman. Bowman passes."

Clerk O'Brien: "Braun."

Speaker Breslin: "Braun votes 'aye'."

Clerk O'Brien: "Breslin."

Speaker Breslin: "Breslin votes 'aye'."

Clerk O'Brien: "Brookins."

Speaker Breslin: "Brookins votes 'aye'."

Clerk O'Brien: "Brunsvold."

Speaker Breslin: "Brunsvold votes 'aye'."

Clerk O'Brien: "Bullock."

Speaker Breslin: "Bullock passes."

65th Legislative Day June 29, 1985

Clerk O'Brien: "Capparelli."

Speaker Breslin: "Capparelli votes 'aye'."

Clerk O'Brien: "Christensen."

Speaker Breslin: "Christensen votes 'present'... votes 'aye'.

Christensen votes 'aye'. Vote your switch, Sir."

Clerk O'Brien: "Churchill."

Speaker Breslin: "Churchill. Churchill seeks recognition. The

Gentleman is recognized to explain his vote."

Churchill: "To quickly explain my vote, I think I'd like to be

\*aye\* on this Bill, but I\*d also like to have a chance to hear Representative Davis\* Bill 1918. And I guess until we

have a chance to know when that's going to be called, I'm

going to have to vote 'present'."

Speaker Breslin: "Representative Churchill votes \*present\*."

Clerk O'Brien: "Countryman."

Speaker Breslin: "Representative Countryman votes \*present\*."

Clerk O'Brien: "Cowlishaw."

Speaker Breslin: "Cowlishaw votes 'present'."

Clerk O'Brien: "Cullerton."

Speaker Breslin: "Cullerton votes 'aye'."

Clerk O'Brien: "Curran."

Speaker Breslin: "Curran votes 'ave'."

Clerk O'Brien: "Currie."

Speaker Breslin: "Currie votes 'aye'."

Clerk O'Brien: "Daniels."

Speaker Breslin: "Daniels passes."

Clerk O'Brien: "Davis."

Speaker Breslin: "Davis passes."

Clerk O'Brien: "DeJaegher."

Speaker Breslin: "DeJaegher. DeJaegher votes 'aye'."

Clerk O'Brien: "DeLeo."

Speaker Breslin: "DeLeo votes 'aye'."

Clerk O'Brien: "Deuchler."

65th Legislative Day June 29, 1985

Speaker Breslin: "Vote your switch, Sir. Vote your switch.

Deuchler votes \*present\*."

Clerk O'Brien: "Didrickson."

Speaker Breslin: "Didrickson votes... Didrickson passes."

Clerk O'Brien: "Dunn."

Speaker Breslin: "Dunn votes 'aye'."

Clerk O'Brien: "Ewing."

Speaker Breslin: "Ewing passes."

Clerk O'Brien: "Farley."

Speaker Breslin: "Farley votes 'aye'."

Clerk O'Brien: "Flinn."

Speaker Breslin: "Flinn votes 'ave'."

Clerk O'Brien: "Flowers."

Speaker Breslin: "Flowers votes 'ave'."

Clerk O'Brien: "Virginia Frederick."

Speaker Breslin: "Virginia Frederick votes 'aye'."

Clerk O'Brien: "Dwight Friedrich."

Speaker Breslin: "Dwight Friedrich votes 'present'."

Clerk O'Brien: "Giglio."

Speaker Breslin: "Giglio passes."

Clerk O'Brien: "Giorgi."

Speaker Breslin: "Giorgi votes 'aye'."

Clerk O'Brien: "Goforth."

Speaker Breslin: "Goforth votes \*present\*."

Clerk O'Brien: "Greiman."

Speaker Breslin: "Greiman passes."

Clerk O'Brien: "Hallock."

Speaker Breslin: "Hallock vote \*present\*."

Clerk O'Brien: "Hannig."

Speaker Breslin: "Hannig votes \*aye\*."

Clerk O'Brien: "Harris."

Speaker Breslin: "Harris passes."

Clerk O'Brien: "Hartke."

65th Legislative Day June 29, 1985

Speaker Breslin: "Hartke votes "aye"."

Clerk O'Brien: "Hastert."

Speaker Breslin: "Hastert passes."

Clerk O'Brien: "Hawkinson."

Speaker Breslin: "Hawkinson passes."

Clerk O'Brien: "Hensel."

Speaker Breslin: "Hensel passes."

Clerk O'Brien: "Hicks."

Speaker Breslin: "Hicks votes 'aye'."

Clerk O'Brien: "Hoffman."

Speaker Breslin: "Hoffman votes 'aye'."

Clerk O'Brien: "Homer."

Speaker Breslin: "Homer votes 'ave'."

Clerk O'Brien: "Huff."

Speaker Breslin: "Huff votes 'aye'."

Clerk O'Brien: "Johnson."

Speaker Breslin: "Johnson. Johnson votes 'present'."

Clerk O'Brien: "Keane."

Speaker Breslin: "Keane votes 'aye'."

Clerk O'Brien: "Kirkland."

Speaker Breslin: "Excuse me. Is Representative Keane in the chamber? Excuse me. He is in the chamber. He votes

'ave'. Proceed, Mr. Clerk."

Clerk O'Brien: "Kirkland."

Speaker Breslin: "Kirkland passes."

Clerk O'Brien: "Klemm."

Speaker Breslin: "Flinn. Oh, excuse me. Kirkland votes

\*present\*. Flinn... What was the name again?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Klemm."

Speaker Breslin: "Klemm votes 'present'."

Clerk O'Brien: "Koehler."

Speaker Breslin: "Koehler votes 'present'."

Clerk O'Brien: "Krska."

65th Legislative Day June 29, 1985

Speaker Breslin: "Krska passes."

Clerk O'Brien: "Kubik."

Speaker Breslin: "Kubik votes 'present'."

Clerk O'Brien: "Kulas."

Speaker Breslin: "Kulas votes 'aye'."

Clerk O'Brien: "Laurino."

Speaker Breslin: "Laurino. Laurino passes."

Clerk O'Brien: "LeFlore."

Speaker Breslin: "LeFlore votes 'aye'."

Clerk O'Brien: "Leverenz."

Speaker Breslin: "Leverenz. Leverenz passes."

Clerk O'Brien: "Levin."

Speaker Breslin: "Levin votes 'aye'."

Clerk O'Brien: "Matijevich."

Speaker Breslin: "Matijevich votes 'aye'."

Clerk O'Brien: "Mautino."

Speaker Breslin: "Mautino votes 'aye'."

Clerk O'Brien: "Mays."

Speaker Breslin: "Mays votes 'aye'."

Clerk O'Brien: "McAuliffe."

Speaker Breslin: "McAuliffe votes 'aye'."

Clerk O'Brien: "McCracken."

Speaker Breslin: "McCracken votes 'present'."

Clerk O'Brien: "McGann."

Speaker Breslin: "McGann votes 'aye'."

Clerk O'Brien: "McMaster."

Speaker Breslin: "McMaster votes "aye"."

Clerk O'Brien: "McNamara."

Speaker Breslin: "McNamara votes 'aye'."

Clerk O'Brien: "McPike."

Speaker Breslin: "McPike passes."

Clerk O'Brien: "Mulcahey."

Speaker Breslin: "Mulcahey votes 'aye'."

65th Legislative Day

June 29, 1985

Clerk O'Brien: "Nash."

Speaker Breslin: "Nash votes 'aye'."

Clerk O'Brien: "Oblinger."

Speaker Breslin: "Oblinger votes \*aye\*."

Clerk O'Brien: "O'Connell."

Speaker Breslin: "O'Connell votes 'aye'."

Clerk O'Brien: "Olson."

Speaker Breslin: "Olson votes 'present'. Olson passes."

Clerk O'Brien: "Panayotovich."

Speaker Breslin: "Panayotovich votes 'ave'."

Clerk O'Brien: "Pangle."

Speaker Breslin: "Pangle passes."

Clerk O'Brien: "Parcells."

Speaker Breslin: "Parcells passes."

Clerk O'Brien: "Parke."

Speaker Breslin: "Parke. Parke. Representative Parke votes

\*present\*."

Clerk O'Brien: "B. Pedersen."

Speaker Breslin: "Pedersen votes 'present'."

Clerk O'Brien: "W. Peterson."

Speaker Breslin: "Peterson votes green, votes 'aye'."

Clerk O'Brien: "Phelps."

Speaker Breslin: "Phelps votes 'aye'."

Clerk O'Brien: "Piel."

Speaker Breslin: "Piel votes 'present'."

Clerk O'Brien: "Preston."

Speaker Breslin: "Preston votes 'aye'."

Clerk O'Brien: "Pullen."

Speaker Breslin: "Pullen votes 'no'."

Clerk O'Brien: "Rea."

Speaker Breslin: "Rea votes 'aye'."

Clerk O'Brien: "Regan."

Speaker Breslin: "Regan votes 'present'."

65th Legislative Day June 29, 1985

Clerk O'Brien: "Rice."

Speaker Breslin: "Rice votes 'aye'."

Clerk O'Brien: "Richmond."

Speaker Breslin: "Richmond votes 'aye'... Richmond passes."

Clerk O'Brien: "Ronan."

Speaker Breslin: "Ronan votes 'aye'."

Clerk O'Brien: "Ropp."

Speaker Breslin: "Ropp. Ropp votes 'present'."

Clerk O'Brien: "Ryder."

Speaker Breslin: "Ryder votes 'present'."

Clerk O'Brien: "Saltsman."

Speaker Breslin: "Saltsman votes 'aye'."

Clerk O'Brien: "Satterthwaite."

Speaker Breslin: "Satterthwaite votes 'aye'."

Clerk O'Brien: "Shaw."

Speaker Breslin: "Shaw votes 'aye'."

Clerk O'Brien: "Slater."

Speaker Breslin: "Slater votes 'present'."

Clerk O'Brien: "Soliz."

Speaker Breslin: "Soliz votes 'aye'."

Clerk O'Brien: "Stange."

Speaker Breslin: "Stange votes \*aye\*."

Clerk O'Brien: "Steczo."

Speaker Breslin: "Steczo votes 'aye'."

Clerk O'Brien: "Stephens."

Speaker Breslin: "Stephens' Stephens votes 'present'."

Clerk O'Brien: "Stern."

Speaker Breslin: "Stern votes 'aye'."

Clerk O'Brien: "Sutker..."

Speaker Breslin: "Representative McPike is here and wishes to be

recorded as voting 'aye'. Proceed, Mr. Clerk."

Clerk O'Brien: "Sutker."

Speaker Breslin: "Sutker votes \*aye \*."

65th Legislative Day

June 29, 1985

Clerk O'Brien: "Tate."

Speaker Breslin: "Tate votes 'aye'. Tate votes 'aye'."

Clerk O'Brien: "Terzich."

Speaker Breslin: "Terzich votes 'aye'."

Clerk O'Brien: "Tuerk."

Speaker Breslin: "Tuerk. Tuerk. Representative Tuerk passes."

Clerk O'Brien: "Turner."

Speaker Breslin: "Turner votes 'aye'."

Clerk O'Brien: "Van Duvne."

Speaker Breslin: "Van Duyne votes 'aye'."

Clerk O'Brien: "Vinson."

Speaker Breslin: "Vinson. Representative Vinson, one minute to explain your vote. Excuse me. I understand you spoke in debate, Representative Vinson. For what reason do you rise?"

Vinson: "To explain my vote, but if I can't, I won't,"

Speaker Breslin: "You don't have a right to."

Vinson: "Okay. May I vote?"

Speaker Breslin: "Yes, please do."

Vinson: "I vote 'present'."

Speaker Breslin: "The Gentleman votes 'present'. Representative Hawkinson, for what reason do you rise? Representative Hawkinson votes 'aye'. Representative Didrickson votes 'aye'. Representative Bullock votes 'aye'. Are there any more. Mr. Clerk?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Yes."

Speaker Breslin: "Proceed with the Roll Call."

Clerk O'Brien: "Vitek."

Speaker Breslin: "Vitek votes 'aye'."

Clerk O'Brien: "Wait."

Speaker Breslin: "Wait votes \*present\*."

Clerk O'Brien: "Washington."

Speaker Breslin: "Washington votes 'aye'."

65th Legislative Day June 29, 1985

Clerk O'Brien: "Heaver."

Speaker Breslin: "Weaver. Weaver votes \*present\*."

Clerk O'Brien: "White."

Speaker Breslin: "White votes 'aye'."

Clerk O'Brien: "Williamson."

Speaker Breslin: "Williamson votes... votes 'present'."

Clerk O'Brien: "Wojcik."

Speaker Breslin: "Hojcik votes 'aye'. Push your switch."

Clerk O'Brien: "Wolf."

Speaker Breslin: "Representative Wolf. Representative Wolf votes

'aye'. Representative Giglio seeks recognition and wishes
to vote 'aye'. Representative Daniels votes... votes

'present'. Representative Hensel votes... How do you wish

to vote, Sir? Representative Hensel."

Hensel: "'Present', Madam Speaker."

Speaker Breslin: "Vote Representative Hensel 'present'.

Representative Laurino. Representative Laurino votes

'ave'. Proceed. Mr. Clerk."

Clerk O'Brien: "Woodvard."

Speaker Breslin: "Woodyard votes 'present'. Representative

Greiman wishes to vote 'aye'. Representative Tuerk votes
'aye'. Proceed, Mr. Clerk."

Clerk O'Brien: "Anthony Young."

Speaker Breslin: "Anthony Young votes 'aye'."

Clerk O'Brien: "Wyvetter Younge."

Speaker Breslin: "What was the next one, Sir?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Wyvetter Younge."

Speaker Breslin: "Wyvetter Younge votes 'aye'."

Clerk O'Brien: "Zwick."

Speaker Breslin: "Representative Zwick. Representative Zwick passes."

Clerk O'Brien: "Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Breslin: "Mr. Speaker passes. Representative Davis, for

65th Legislative Day

June 29. 1985

what reason do you rise? Representative Davis."

Davis: "Madam Speaker, to explain my vote."

Speaker Breslin: "Proceed. One minute."

Davis: "Madam Speaker, this device was put in the protect the Minority. In this case, we're protecting the Majority. There are over 60 votes in this chamber that want to hear House Bill 918. Madam Speaker, is it you that's blocking this... this vote. I know that you voted against the jury trial and thwarted the will of 11 million people in this state and the entire law enforcement community. Madam Speaker. or is it the real Speaker who won\*t call this for a vote? He's the same Speaker that killed the World's Fair, the same one that killed Build Illinois tonight... this afternoon, and he's the Speaker... What is he afraid of? 'Gray Lord', is that what it is in the First Judicial District? Because... the Chief Richie Daley's for the Bill. Judge is for this Bill. George Dunn is for the Bill, and the entire law enforcement community is for the Bill. What are you afraid of. What's the real Speaker afraid of? I cast a \*present\* vote."

Speaker Breslin: "Representative Ewing."

Ewing: "Is the Roll Call still open, Madam Speaker?"

Speaker Breslin: "Yes, it is."

Ewing: "How am I recorded?"

Speaker Breslin: "You're not recorded."

Ewing: "Well, I would like to be recorded as \*present\*."

Speaker Breslin: "Record Representative Ewing as \*present"."

Ewing: "And I would like to explain my vote."

Speaker Breslin: "Representative Davis, I believe, also wanted to be recorded as voting 'present', and he is. Representative Ewing, one minute to explain your vote."

Ewing: "Yes, Madam Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House,

65th Legislative Day

June 29, 1985

it's hard to follow Representative Davis on this particular issue because he has articulated so well the very hard problem that the Speaker's putting all of us on this side. We don't like to have to go through this to get your attention, Madam Speaker, Madam Speaker, Madam Speaker, Madam Speaker.

- Speaker Breslin: "Representative Ewing, your time is almost up."
- Ewing: "Well, I know, but I was hoping that you were listening to me, Madam Speaker. It certainly must not come with the job..."
- Speaker Breslin: "Representative Ewing, you are explaining your vote, so speak to the Bill."
- Ewing: "I vote 'present'."
- Speaker Breslin: "The Gentleman votes \*present\*. Representative

  Parke, for what reason do you rise?"
- Parke: "Madam Speaker, in deference to Representative Davis, I
  will change my vote from 'present' to 'yes'."
- Speaker Breslin: "Representative Parke changes from 'present' to

  'yes'. Representative Richmond, for what reason do you

  rise? Representative Richmond votes 'aye'. Representative

  Parcells."
- Parcells: "Thank you, Madam Speaker. I've just looked over this
  1918 Bill, House Bill 1918, and I think it looks like a
  good Bill. And I'd like to hear it debated on this floor.
  So in respect to Representative Davis, I'd like to be
  recorded as voting 'present'."
- Speaker Breslin: "Record Representative Parcells as \*present\*.

  Representative Woicik."
- Wojcik: "I just... I just want to say I've got great respect for Representative Davis, and I'm going to go 'present'."
- Speaker Breslin: "Change Representative Wojcik from 'aye' to
  'present'. Representative McCracken, for what reason do
  you rise?"

65th Legislative Day

June 29, 1985

McCracken: "Brief explanation of vote. The Calendar was short enough so..."

Speaker Breslin: "You have already voted, Sir. This is not timely. Representative McCracken, for what reason do you rise?"

McCracken: "To change my vote."

Speaker Breslin: "Very good. What do you wish to vote?"

McCracken: "'No', and I'd like to in brief explanation thereof..."

Speaker Breslin: "Explain your vote."

McCracken: "No. That's right. That's Representative Ronan's Bill. I vote 'aye'. So let me make a point. This is a... This is... In the time it's taken to do two Oral Calls, we could have gone through what is a very slim Calendar in numerical order. Republican Bills would have Democratic Bills would have been called. been called. Everybody's Bill would have been called, not iust 1918. just the precipitating factor. We're saying it was short enough to call in due course so that your Bills from freshman to Majority Leader to Speaker, would be called and heard. You're losing just as we're and I merely make the point that the Calendar is short enough we could have done it in due course."

Speaker Breslin: "Change Representative McCracken from 'no' to
'aye'. The Gentleman from Lee, Representative Olson."

Olson: "How am I recorded?"

Speaker Breslin: "You're not recorded."

Olson: "I'd like to cast an 'aye' vote on behalf of
Representative Ronan's fine Bill. I've completely reviewed
the analysis — convinced me that that's a good vote."

Speaker Breslin: "Vote Representative Olson \*aye\*.

Representative Hallock."

Hallock: "Madam Speaker, how am I recorded?"

65th Legislative Day

June 29, 1985

Speaker Breslin: "\*Present\*."

Hallock: "Please change me to 'aye'."

Speaker Breslin: "Change Representative Hallock to 'aye'.

Representative Kubik. Representative Kubik."

Kubik: "Madam Speaker, how am I recorded?"

Speaker Breslin: "'Present'."

Kubik: "Out of deference to Representative Ronan, I will change my vote to "aye"."

Speaker Breslin: "Change Representative Kubik to 'aye'.

Representative Cowlishaw."

Cowlishaw: "Thank you, Madam Speaker. How am I recorded, please?"

Speaker Breslin: "'Present'."

Cowlishaw: "Please change my vote to 'yes'."

Speaker Breslin: "Record Representative Cowlishaw as 'aye'.

Representative Vinson."

Vinson: "Yes, Madam Speaker, out of deference to Representative

Davis, please change my vote to 'yes'."

Speaker Breslin: "Change Representative Vinson to 'aye'.

Representative Goforth changes his vote from 'present' to 'aye'. Representative Williamson changes her vote from 'present' to 'aye'. Representative Weaver."

Weaver: "Madam Speaker, I was unaware that Representative Ronan was the Sponsor of the Bill, and I think he's such a great guy I'd like to change my vote from 'present' to 'aye' please."

Speaker Breslin: "Representative Weaver goes from 'present' to 'aye'. Representative Barger goes from... Representative Barger."

Barger: "Madam Speaker, out of deference to Mr. Ronan, I would like to change my vote from 'present' to 'aye' also."

Speaker Breslin: "Representative Barger goes from 'present' to 'aye'. Representative Pullen."

65th Legislative Day

June 29, 1985

Pullen: "Madam Speaker, I desire to change my vote because I would prefer to have my vote on this matter reflect how I feel about the procedure in this House today rather than how I feel about the Bill itself. And I'm very concerned, particularly, on this Roll to know that there are people voting on this Oral Verified Roll Call who are not in the chamber, and I will change my vote from 'no' to 'present'."

Speaker Breslin: "Representative Pullen changes her vote from

'no' to 'present'. Representative Pedersen."

Pedersen: "Madam Chairman (sic - Speaker), out of deference to Representative Ronan and Representative Davis, I'd like to change my vote to 'aye'."

Speaker Breslin: "Representative Pedersen goes from 'present' to
'aye'. Representative Countryman."

Countryman: "Madam Speaker, how am I recorded?"

Speaker Breslin: "\*Present\*."

Countryman: "Please change my vote to 'aye'."

Speaker Breslin: "Change Representative Countryman to 'aye'.

Representative Wojcik."

Wojcik: "Madam Speaker, how am I recorded?"

Speaker Breslin: "'Present'."

Wojcik: "Please vote me 'aye'."

Speaker Breslin: "Vote Representative Hojcik \*aye\*. Representative Hensel."

Hensel: "Madam Speaker, change my vote from 'present' to 'aye'."

Speaker Breslin: "Representative... Change Representative Hensel from 'present' to 'aye'. Representative Stephens."

Stephens: "Madam Speaker, how am I recorded?"

Speaker Breslin: "\*Present\*."

Stephens: "In deference to Representative Ronan, I'd like to change my 'present' vote to 'aye'."

Speaker Breslin: "Change Representative Stephens from 'present'
to 'aye'. Parcells. Representative Parcells."

65th Legislative Day

- June 29, 1985
- Parcells: "Would you please change my vote, Madam Speaker, from 'present' to 'aye'?"
- Speaker Breslin: "Change... Change Representative Parcells from \*present\* to \*aye\*. Representative Klemm."
- Klemm: "Thank you, Madam Speaker. I... I'd like to change my vote from 'present' to 'ave'."
- Speaker Breslin: "Change Representative Klemm from 'present' to 'aye'. Representative Piel."
- Piel: "Yes, Madam Speaker, a couple of things. First of all, I'd

  like to mention that there's somebody up there that never

  showed up on the House and somebody voted him, but I won't

  mention who. By the way, you've heard the old saying,

  'Give one for the Gipper.' Hell, this is, 'Give one to

  Alfie.' And I change my 'no'... my 'present' to 'aye'."
- Speaker Breslin: "Representative Piel votes 'aye'.

  Representative Ryder. Representative Ryder changes his

  vote from 'present' to 'aye'. Representative Pangle wishes

  to vote 'aye'. Is that correct, Representative Pangle?

  Push your switch, Representative Pangle. Push the switch.

  Very good. Representative Regan."
- Regan: "Madam Speaker, how am I recorded?"
- Speaker Breslin: "'Aye'. Excuse me, you're voted 'present'."
- Regan: "I'd like to change my vote to... to 'aye' please in the total regard that I have for Representative Ronan."
- Speaker Breslin: "The Gentleman changes his vote from 'present'
  to 'aye'. Representative Stange. Excuse me.
  Representative Stephens, for what reason do you rise?"
- Stephens: "It seems to be an electronic problem with my switch.

  I can't change my 'present' vote to a 'yes' vote, and I

  wonder if you could help me with that."
- Speaker Breslin: "I will bring it to the attention of the electrician. You wish to vote 'aye'. Is that correct?"

  Stephens: "For the record, I would like to be recorded as 'aye'."

65th Legislative Day

June 29, 1985

Speaker Breslin: "That is on... That is on the record, Sir."

Stephens: "Thank you very much."

Speaker Breslin: "Representative Wait."

Wait: "Madam Speaker, how am I recorded?"

Speaker Breslin: "'Present'."

Wait: "Please change my vote to a "yes"."

Speaker Breslin: "Vote Representative Wait \*aye\*. Representative Ropp."

Ropp: "Madam Speaker, please change my \*present\* to \*aye\* vote,

Speaker Breslin: "Change Representative Ropp from 'present' to
'aye'. Representative Dwight Friedrich... Friedrich.

Representative Friedrich."

Friedrich: "Please change my vote to 'aye', please."

Speaker Breslin: "Change Representative Friedrich from 'present' to 'aye'. Representative Zwick."

Zwick: "Would you please record me as voting 'aye'?"

Speaker Breslin: "Representative Zwick votes 'aye'. Please press your button, please. The record should note that Representative Madigan did not vote. His switch was voted improperly, and he should be removed from the written Roll Call, Mr. Clerk. Representative Krska."

Krska: "'Aye' vote."

Speaker Breslin: "Votes 'aye'. Ar. Clerk, take the record. On this question, there are 100 voting 'aye', none voting 'no' and 14 voting 'present', and the House concurs in Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 2499. And this Bill, having received the Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. Representative Pullen, for what reason do you rise?"

Pullen: "I guess it's on a point of personal privilege, Madam Speaker."

Speaker Breslin: "State your privilege."

65th Legislative Day

June 29, 1985

Pullen: "Yesterday a piece of golden rod paper was distributed to all of us which represents itself as a memo from the Speaker stating what the schedule was predicted to be for this weekend. From 9 a.m. to 8 p.m. on Friday, from 9 a.m. to late evening today and beginning at 10 a.m. tomorrow. Sunday. until necessary. Now, Madam Speaker, the Senate adjourned several hours ago and fixed their time for reconvening to 1 p.m. tomorrow. That is in keeping with the tradition of the entire General Assembly that Sunday being church time, is not violated, that the rights of the Members who wish to go to church morning are not violated. And Hadam Chairman (sic -Speakerl, I personally object to having this House break probably never broken before, tradition by coming in tomorrow morning. Now i f that Perfunctory Session at 10 and actually convening at 1 or thereafter, that's fine. But if this actually floor Session at 10, I object, and I would implore the Chair to seek from the real Speaker respect for the Members of this House who go to church on Sunday, whose church services do not start at the crack of dawn, so that we might attend to our duties here as Members of the House and cast all the votes that the other Members are casting keep our Sunday morning as we wish in going to church. I am shocked at this schedule. Madam Speaker, and I request that the scheduled time for convening tomorrow be changed to at least 1 p.m."

Speaker Breslin: "Representative Pullen, please be advised that the Senate is going to come into Session tomorrow at 9 a.m.

Your... Your request, however, will be taken under advisement. I think the Members should know that this is an exceptional situation since June 30th falls on a Sunday.

But your remarks, taken under personal privilege, will be

65th Legislative Day

June 29, 1985

taken under advisement. For what reason... For what reason does Representative Pullen arise?"

- Pullen: "Excuse me, Madam Speaker, but it was announced in the Senate that the 9 a.m. convening was for Leaders only and that the Membership did not have to attend until 1 p.m."
- Speaker Breslin: "Representative Pullen, we said that your remarks would be taken under advisement. Ladies and Gentlemen, we are going to the Special Subject Matter Call of Environmental Protection... of Environmental Protection and Natural Resources. I believe the Calendar for that has already been distributed. The first Bill on that Call is House Bill 703. I would request that that be taken out of the record with leave to return. House Bill 1922 appears on page six on your Calendar. Representative Vinson."
- Vinson: "Thank you, Madam Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House Bill 1922, as it passed the House, Assembly. increased the bond authorization ceiling for the Illinois Environmental Finance Authority from 1.5 billion to 2.5 billion dollars. Provided that 25% of the uncommitted 1. \*85 shall be allocated for bonding authority on May financing pollution control projects of businesses which employ less than 750 people and have gross revenues of less than 50 million per year. A financing cap of 50 million per year and 200 million per business would be effect of Senate Amendment #1 provided that Authority would determine, charge and collect Fees fees for including financing application activities financed by the Illinois Development Finance The Act. Senate Amendment was introduced at the request of the Authority and duplicates language from the Industrial Development Bond portion of the Act. I would move for... that the House do concur on Senate Amendment #1 to

65th Legislative Day

June 29, 1985

Amendment #1 on House Bill 1922. And on the question, the Gentleman from Macon, Representative Dunn."

Dunn: "Will the Sponsor yield for a question?"

Speaker Breslin: "He will."

Dunn: "Does this Bill contain new bond authorization for the State of Illinois?"

Unknown: "Wrong light is on, Madam Speaker."

Speaker Breslin: "Representative Vinson."

Vinson: "Thank you, Madam Speaker. Representative Dunn, it is revenue bond authority. It's not general obligation bond authority."

Dunn: "But it does contain new bonds, doesn't it?"

Vinson: "It contains an increased bond authorization for the Development Finance Authority."

Dunn: "By how much is the bonding authority of the Illinois

Development Finance Authority increased with this
legislation?"

Vinson: "One billion dollars, Sir,"

Dunn: "How much?"

Vinson: "One billion dollars."

Dunn: "One billion?"

Vinson: "One billion."

Dunn: "Alright. Thank you very much."

Vinson: "Certainly."

Speaker Breslin: "There being no further discussion, the question is, 'Shall the House concur in Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 1922?" All those in favor vote 'aye', all those opposed vote 'no'. Representative McCracken, for what reason do you rise?"

McCracken: "To demand an Oral Verified Roll Call."

Speaker Breslin: "I'm sorry. It is too late to request such a

Roll Call. Have all voted who wish? This is final

passage. Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the

65th Legislative Day

June 29, 1985

On this question, there are 111 voting \*aye\*, 2 record. voting 'no' and 3 voting 'present', and the House does concur in Senate Amendment 31 to House Bill 1922. And this Bill. having received the Constitutional Majority. is hereby declared passed. House Bill 1934, appearing on page with Representative Davis as a six on your Calendar, Sponsor. Representative Davis."

Davis: "Thank you, Madam Speaker. It's a pleasure to see one of It is of great interest to my Bills on a Call. the This is not the one of greater interest; however, I think the Body might be interested that this contains the lights in Wrigley Field. It has a variation. Senator Philip put an Amendment on House that provides for 18 regular season night games at Wriglev Field and post season games should the... apparently the Vinson - Davis jinx not continue and the Cubs manage to get into the play-offs. Senator Philip and think Senator Rock concurring, although I'm not certain of that, but I'm pretty sure that happened, adopted position of 18 games rather arbitrarily. I quess it could have been 20 or 15. And while I think is everybody sympathetic to the arguments that have been posed by those who oppose night baseball in Wrigley Field, it seems to that the argument that the Cubs might just pull up stakes for a bigger stadium, if not for lights, and Schaumburg or, hopefully, to Will County in Old Chicago or some place like that - we'd love to have them - one wonders why you sponsor a Bill when you'd like to have the Cubs nevertheless, I think vourself: but the City stands in jeopardy of losing them. But this Bill. Gentlemen, has a new wrinkle. This Bill does not preempt the Chicago City Council ordinance. This Bill merelv amends the state law to provide for a shift in the forum of

65th Legislative Day

June 29, 1985

discussion where it truly belongs and that's in the the City Council of Chicago, the home of the Chicago Cubs. them thrash it out. It's my understanding the Mayor has offered to mediate the dispute in an attempt to keep that great revenue producer and that great o f tradition baseball. the Chicago Cubs. in Wrigley Field. I think they should stay there. I'm not all that much in favor of night dames myself. but I can simply tell you that I'm in favor of the Chicago Cubs staying in Wrigley Field for as long as they can. That decision should properly be made in the City Council. But if we don't do anything at this point to pave the way for a possible mediation, then there's no way they can do anything with the state law standing in simply recommend to you that now it's time. 20 wav. We opposition from those in despite the who live 'Wrigleyville'. to pass 1934. Pave the road open back to the City Council, and let them see if they can keep the Chicago Cubs where they belong, in the City of Chicago in Wrigley Field."

- Speaker Breslin: "The Gentleman has moved to concur in Senate

  Amendment #3 to House Bill 1934. And Representative

  McNamara on the question."
- McNamara: "Thank you, Madam Speaker, Members of the House. This
  is such an important issue to the State of Illinois that
  I'm going to request a verified oral verification on this
  Bill. Thank you."
- Speaker Breslin: "The Lady from Cook, Representative Pullen."
- Pullen: "I'd like to ask the Sponsor a question or two, please."

  Speaker Breslin: "Will the Gentleman yield? He indicates he will. Proceed."
- Pullen: "Does this measure mandate the Cubs to have lights at Wrigley Field?"
- Davis: "This measure provides that the Cubs... It mandates

65th Legislative Day

June 29 1985

Representative Pullen. It just provides a change in the state law so that the Cubs can play 18 games at night and post season games at night. One would assume that if the City Council decided to the keep in Wrigley Field that... and went along with the proposal, they could do no more than 18 games because the state law would proposal he operative... regular season games. They could pick less than that. They could do whatever they wanted to do, which they properly do. One would assume then that they would install permanent lights in the park if the City Council that they would abandon their ordinance and comply with some level at 18 or below the state law."

Pullen: "Does this mandate the City Council to do anything?"

Davis: "It mandates nothing. There is no preemption of home rule anywhere in the Bill."

Pullen: "Is it your understanding that it is entirely possible
that if the state law stands that the prohibits use of
lights at Hrigley Field that the Cubs could well do one of
two things: one, either move out of state; or two, what
might be even worse for some Members of this Body, move to
the suburbs and take all that tax revenue out of Chicago?"

Davis: "Well, that's precisely my point, Representative me that the Cubs have a revenue problem with to the baseball salaries going the way they are. They're in a 39,000 seat park without any additional revenue from television for post season. They certainly would leave in post season. Ueberroth has already said that. nighttime revenue during the season, and it additional seems to me that they would abandon Wrigley Field and some place, either out-of-state or take all the tax revenue from Chicago and the great tradition of the Chicago Cubs and move to the suburbs."

65th Legislative Day

June 29, 1985

Pullen: "Do any of the Chicago news media outlets have a position on this Bill?"

Davis: "Well, I... I... The <u>Chicago Iribune</u> supports the Bill."

Pullen: "Oh, the Iribune supports it."

Davis: "Well, the <u>Iribune</u> organization that owns the Cubs does."

Pullen: "So I may have an opportunity to vote once with the Iribune•"

Davis: "I wouldn't dare do that if I were you."

Pullen: "Thank you."

Speaker Breslin: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Levin."

in opposition rise to the concurrence on Senate Amendment #3. This is no compromise. This is is, simply put, the Chicago Tribune accommodation. This position. This is no attempt to accommodate the community. This is no attempt to cut half a loaf. This. and simple, is the economic power of the Chicago Iribune getting greedy. This is the Chicago Iribune saying, don't care about the community in which Wrigley Field is located. We don't care about the increase in crime that would result. He don't care about the parking congestion. We want more money, and we want more money at the of the community.\* This is an issue in microcosm very similar to an issue faced by every neighborhood state where some large entity wants to get greedy and wants to make money at the expense of a neighborhood. It may be Major League Baseball here. It may be a corporate polluter some place else. The identities change, but the issue's the same. The community around Wrigley Field feels very strongly about this issue. They have fought for a number but they \*ve had the guts this year to say, \*We are willing to compromise. We are willing to sit down with the Chicago Tribune. Нe are willing, reluctantly, to support night games with temporary light for the play-off 65th Legislative Day

June 29, 1985

and World Series. And that was the Amendment that was But this is no compromise. adopted here. This is simply 100% the Chicago Tribune position. \*We don\*t care the neighborhoods. We don't care about the consequences. We want our position. The Amendment we passed in this House solves the legitimate concerns of Major League Baseball and the many fans of the Cubs that when the Cubs make it to the play-offs, and I think they're going to, despite the problems they've been having, their... be able to play night games for the play-offs and the World Series. This is a gusty community. It's willing to compromise. This, however, is no compromise. This is forcing down the position of the community. what the Iribune wants. I strongly urge a 'no' vote on this. don't think we need it. It's not a compromise. Moreover. I think it's, unfortunately, another example of the had kind of legal advice the Chicago Tribune Company's been getting from Don "Reuben" and others. They got bad advice when they appealed the law that was passed a couple year ago, and they're getting bad advice no₩ proposing is clearly not constitutional. And let's... let's end this Bill right now by voting 'no' on Pate Philip's Amendment #3."

Speaker areslin: "The Gentleman from DeWitt, Representative Vinson,"

Vinson: "Thank you, Madam Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. I'd like to make two brief points on this. First of all, for those who think that the Cubs are always going to be in Wrigley Field no matter how badly they're treated there, next week the Mayor of Schaumburg is going to meet with the Cubs management to make a proposal for moving the Cubs to Schaumburg. Secondly, it was my privilege earlier this week to be on a television show with the Mayor of

65th Legislative Day

June 29, 1985

Chicago. I was never more impressed in the course the statesmanship Session by the leadership of any Chicago politician than I was hν the on that show. He put his reputation on the line and announced that he was going to move forward to trv effectuate compromise that would permit limited engagement of regular season games. I sallute the for that. and I would suggest that we have to pass this measure to give the Mayor the opportunity to demonstrate that statesmanship and leadership. I would urge an 'aye' vote."

Speaker Breslin: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative

Cullerton, on the question."

Cullerton: "Thank you, Madam Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of Unfortunately, I believe the Speaker the House. is in meeting in the Governor's Office. I know he's opposed to this Bill. The ... This is an issue which we have debated a number of times already, and we have overwhelmingly and 95. I think, votes rejected the idea of of 85 night baseball during the regular season. Just bit of a history on this. It's kind of ironic. He have... The state ban is a... a noise pollution ordinance, noise pollution law. The noise pollution law was amended passed by A.J. 'Junie' Bartulis from Macoupin County so that they could have stock car races down there Macoupin County: and apparently the stock cars were violating the noise pollution statute. So we amended i t that allowed for the stock car races to be heard, but we also had the inadvertent effect of also lifting the noise pollution ordinance from Wrigley Field. So Representative Levin and myself and Senator Marovitz, about - I don't know - two or three years ago, passed this law that restored... we also passed it with Majority Leader Art Telcser... We

65th Legislative Day

June 29. 1985

restored the ban on... on the night baseball because we reinstated the noise pollution ordinance that had already heen... that had been inadvertently removed. Now just to remind you, the reason why I oppose this again. measure is because it allows for the 18 games during the regular season. I am not opposed to the idea of having the night games for the post season games and the championship, and that's a Bill which we're already passed out of here. It's over in the Senate. However, I do oppose the as do evervone in my community because of season nights. the ramifications it has on the community: the health ramifications: the safety ramifications. And I think we made a pretty strong argument last week when we this. when the Speaker spoke and indicated his objections. Now this... this concept of the Tribune Company moving that is a corporate decision which they are going to team. have to decide. I, personally, don't think that they will make such a decision in the near future. I think that the Baseball Commissioner's Office is very much in favor of the Cubs staying at Wrigley Field, and the Cubs have indicated that this desire to play 18 night games is not based on economics. So I really think that this is a subject matter which we will finally be able to put to rest. Evervone knows that ever since we passed my Bill and sent it over to Senate, the Cubs have gone on a winning streak. think that this jinx that Representative Davis referred has finally been lifted. He should not reimpose it by voting for this Bill. So I would please \*no\* ask for a vote on the Gentleman's Motion to concur."

- Speaker Breslin: "The Lady from Cook, Representative Wojcik, on the question."
- Wojcik: "Yes, Madam Speaker and Members of the House,

  Representative, since all of a sudden I seem to have a very

65th Legislative Day

June 29, 1985

strong interest in this no lights in Wrigley Field and all of a sudden the Cubs might be moving out to Schaumburg or either Wrigley Field or 'Wojcikville', I'd like to know what type of revenue the City would be losing if, in fact, the Cubs did move out."

- Davis: "Well, I have no way to measure that except by attendance figures. I suppose they draw 2,000,000 last year. I don't know what the entertainment tax that applies to those tickets are, but it is substantial, that goes to the City. I assume the concession sales tax for 2,000,000 people who drink an awful lot of beer and eat an awful lot of hot dogs is substantial. It seems to me that they would be losing, and I'm only guessing now, but probably in the neighborhood of 4 to 5,000,000 dollars a year."
- Woicik: "Well. I find this rather interesting because talking of pollution and traffic congestion and all types of Hazards that are being faced in the neighborhood where the current Wrigley Field is. And being an advocate of the for most of my lifetime, I've always been an advocate also of no lights in Wrigley Field. It's a beautiful It should stay that way. But all of a sudden, I have to say that I'm probably going to be an advocate of no for sure if that would mean that they will be moving out to Schaumburg. We • ve got the hundred acres out there. think it would be a fantastic place for the Cubs out to. We've got everything to give them. So I would have to say that I probably would be voting 'no' on this."
- Speaker Breslin: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative McAuliffe."
- McAuliffe: "Madam Speaker. I move the previous question."
- Speaker Breslin: "The Gentleman moves the previous question. The question is, 'Shall the main question be put?' All those in favor say 'aye', all those opposed say 'nay'. In the

65th Legislative Day

June 29. 1985

opinion of the Chair, the 'ayes' have it, and the main question is put. Representative Davis, to close."

Davis: "Well, thank you, Madam Speaker. I think there's been a couple of red herrings dragged across the issue by the opponents, the first one that spoke certainly. This Bill only provides an atmosphere. It does not preempt rule. As Representative Vinson mentioned, the Mayor of the City of Chicago is willing to continue a mediation, but the City's hands are tied if this Bill is not concurred in. The City will have no place to go because the state operating on regular season games, and you can't have it. So the Mayor's has pledged his support to mediate this issue. I have just been reliably informed that in the City of Pittsburg, if the Pirates move, if the Pirates move from Pittsburg, the revenue loss to the City would be excess of 50,000,000 dollars. So, Representative Hojcik, in this particular case, if you have a conflict I suggest you vote that conflict. Schaumburg would then pick up a great deal of new business. revenue generated to the City, I'm sure the address, and I'm sympathetic to 'Wriglevville' concerns, the City figure out a way to mitigate the congestion in those 18 night games and post season night games, to increase the patrols to keep intoxicated people from harming the community in any way. And I'm sure the creativity of Mayor of the City of Chicago can come up with that plan working for once probably in concert with the 29. It seems to me we have to concur in Senator Philip's Amendment #3 to provide the framework for continued negotiation or, ves. Representative Cullerton, you will lose the Chicago Cubs 50,000,000 dollars to the City and the greatest tradition in baseball history."

Speaker Breslin: "Representative McNamara, for what reason do you

65th Legislative Day

June 29, 1985

rise?#

McNamara: "Thank you, Madam Speaker, Members of the House. I request an Oral Verified Roll Call on this, and I'm joined by 10 Members. And I would like to urge this side of the aisle to vote 'no' or 'present' on this Bill."

Speaker Breslin: "Ladies and Gentlemen, it is the intent of Chair to go until approximately 7 o'clock tonight. you wish to have an Oral Verified Roll Call. that's The Chair will do that. But you must be joined by 10 Members. And you are joined by 10 Members, so we'll have an Oral Verified Roll Call. The rules are the same. your name is called, stand up, orally say how you wish vote and vote your switch. When we open the board, do not vote. The question is, 'Shall the House concur in Senate Amendment 33 to House Bill 1934?\* All those in favor vote 'aye', all those opposed will indicate by standing and voting "no". Mr. Clerk, begin the Oral Verified Roll Call."

Clerk O'Brien: "Alexander."

Speaker Breslin: "Alexander votes 'no'."

Clerk O'Brien: "Barger."

Speaker Breslin: "Representative Barger passes."

Clerk O'Brien: "Barnes."

Speaker Breslin: "Barnes votes 'present'."

Clerk O'Brien: "Berrios."

Speaker Breslin: "Berrios votes... Berrios passes."

Clerk O'Brien: "Bowman."

Speaker Breslin: "Bowman passes."

Clerk O'Brien: "Braun."

Speaker Breslin: "Braun votes 'no'."

Clerk O'Brien: "Breslin."

Speaker Breslin: "Breslin votes 'no'."

Clerk O'Brien: "Brookins."

65th Legislative Day June 29, 1985

Speaker Breslin: "Brookins votes 'no'."

Clerk O'Brien: "Brunsvold."

Speaker Breslin: "Brunsvold votes 'aye'."

Clerk O'Brien: "Bullock."

Speaker Breslin: "Bullock votes 'aye'."

Clerk O'Brien: "Capparelli."

Speaker Breslin: "Capparelli votes 'no'."

Clerk O'Brien: "Christensen."

Speaker Breslin: "Christensen votes 'no'."

Clerk O'Brien: "Churchill."

Speaker Breslin: "Churchill votes 'present'. Christensen vote

your switch please."

Clerk O'Brien: "Countryman."

Speaker Breslin: "Countryman votes 'aye'."

Clerk O'Brien: "Cowlishaw."

Speaker Breslin: "Cowlishaw votes... Representative Cowlishaw,

one minute to explain your vote."

Cowlishaw: "Highty Casey and I vote 'yes'."

Speaker Breslin: "Cowlishaw votes 'aye'."

Clerk O'Brien: "Cullerton."

Speaker Breslin: "Cullerton votes 'no'."

Clerk O'Brien: "Curran."

Speaker Breslin: "Curran votes 'aye'."

Clerk O'Brien: "Currie."

Speaker Breslin: "Currie votes 'no'."

Clerk O'Brien: "Daniels."

Speaker Breslin: "Daniels passes."

Clerk O'Brien: "Davis."

Speaker Breslin: "Davis votes 'aye'."

Clerk O'Brien: "DeJaegher."

Speaker Breslin: "DeJaegher votes 'aye'. DeJaegher passes.

Excuse me•#

Clerk O'Brien: "DeLeo."

65th Legislative Day June 29, 1985

Speaker Breslin: "DeLeo votes 'no'."

Clerk O'Brien: "Deuchler."

Speaker Breslin: "Deuchler votes 'aye'."

Clerk O'Brien: "Didrickson."

Speaker Breslin: "Didrickson votes 'present'."

Clerk O'Brien: "Dunn."

Speaker Breslin: "Dunn passes."

Clerk O'Brien: "Ewing."

Speaker Breslin: "Ewing votes 'present'."

Clerk O'Brien: "Farley."

Speaker Breslin: "Farley passes."

Clerk O'Brien: "Flinn."

Speaker Breslin: "Flinn passes."

Clerk O'Brien: "Flowers."

Speaker Breslin: "Flowers votes \*no\*."

Clerk O'Brien: "Virginia Frederick."

Speaker Breslin: "Virginia Frederick vote 'present'."

Clerk O'Brien: "Dwight Friedrich."

Speaker Breslin: "Dwight Friedrich votes. Dwight Friedrich, one minute to explain your vote."

Friedrich: "I live the same distance, Madam Speaker, between

Memphis and Chicago, and I don't think it's any of my

business whether they have lights in Memphis or Chicago,

either one. I vote "ave"."

Speaker Breslin: "Friedrich votes 'aye'." Friedrich vote 'aye'."

Clerk O'Brien: "Giglio."

Speaker Breslin: "Giglio votes 'no'."

Clerk O'Brien: "Giorgi."

Speaker Breslin: "Giorgi votes 'no'."

Clerk O'Brien: "Goforth."

Speaker Breslin: "Goforth votes 'no'."

Clerk O'Brien: "Greiman."

Speaker Breslin: "Greiman votes 'no'."

65th Legislative Day

Clerk O'Brien: "Hallock."

Speaker Breslin: "Hallock. Hallock votes 'present'."

Clerk O'Brien: "Hannig."

Speaker Breslin: "Hannig votes 'no'."

Clerk O'Brien: "Harris."

Speaker Breslin: "Harris passes."

Clerk O'Brien: "Hartke."

Speaker Breslin: "Hartke votes 'present'."

Clerk O'Brien: "Hastert."

Speaker Breslin: "Hastert passes."

Clerk O'Brien: "Hawkinson."

Speaker Breslin: "Hawkinson votes 'aye'."

Clerk O'Brien: "Hensel."

Speaker Breslin: "Hensel passes."

Clerk O'Brien: "Hicks."

Speaker Breslin: "Hicks votes... Hicks passes."

Clerk O'Brien: "Hoffman."

Speaker Breslin: "Hoffman. Hoffman passes."

Clerk O'Brien: "Homer."

Speaker Breslin: "Homer. Homer votes 'aye'."

Clerk O'Brien: "Huff."

Speaker Breslin: "Huff votes 'no'. Hoffman. Hoffman, one minute

to explain your vote. How do you wish to vote? Hoffman

votes 'ave'."

Clerk O'Brien: "Johnson."

Speaker Breslin: "Johnson passes."

Clerk O'Brien: "Keane."

Speaker Breslin: "Keane votes 'no'."

Clerk O'Brien: "Kirkland."

Speaker Breslin: "Kirkland passes."

Clerk O'Brien: "Klemm."

Speaker Breslin: "Klemm votes 'present'."

Clerk O'Brien: "Koehler."

June 29, 1985

65th Legislative Day June 29, 1985

Speaker Breslin: "Koehler votes 'aye'."

Clerk O'Brien: "Krska."

Speaker Breslin: "Krska passes."

Clerk O'Brien: "Kubik."

Speaker Breslin: "Kubik votes 'present'."

Clerk O'Brien: "Kulas."

Speaker Breslin: "Kulas. Representative Kulas, one minute to explain your vote."

Kulas: "Thank you, Madam Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. The Mayor of the City of Chicago didn't call me, and I certainly didn't get one of those Cub hats, so I vote

Speaker Breslin: "Kulas votes \*no\*."

Clerk O'Brien: "Laurino."

Speaker Breslin: "Laurino votes 'no'."

Clerk O'Brien: "LeFlore."

Speaker Breslin: "Leflore. Leflore votes 'no'."

Clerk O'Brien: "Leverenz."

Speaker Breslin: "Leverenz passes."

Clerk O'Brien: "Levin."

Speaker Breslin: "Levin 'no'... votes 'no'."

Clerk O'Brien: "Matijevich."

Speaker Breslin: "Matijevich passes."

Clerk O'Brien: "Mautino."

Speaker Breslin: "Mautino votes 'aye'."

Clerk O'Brien: "Mays."

Speaker Breslin: "Mays votes 'aye'."

Clerk O'Brien: "McAuliffe."

Speaker Breslin: "AcAuliffe votes \*aye\*."

Clerk O'Brien: "McCracken."

Speaker Breslin: "McCracken votes 'present'."

Clerk O'Brien: "McGann."

Speaker Breslin: "McGann votes 'no'."

65th Legislative Day June 29, 1985

Clerk O'Brien: "McMaster."

Speaker Breslin: "McMaster votes 'ave'."

Clerk O'Brien: "McNamara."

Speaker Breslin: "McNamara votes 'present'."

Clerk O'Brien: "McPike."

Speaker Breslin: "McPike passes. Oh, excuse me. McPike votes

'no'."

Clerk O'Brien: "Mulcahey."

Speaker Breslin: "Mulcahey votes 'no'."

Clerk O'Brien: "Nash."

Speaker Breslin: "Nash votes 'present'."

Clerk O'Brien: "Oblinger."

Speaker Breslin: "Oblinger votes 'present'."

Clerk O'Brien: "O'Connell."

Speaker Breslin: "O'Connell. O'Connell passes."

Clerk O'Brien: "Olson."

Speaker Breslin: "Olson votes 'aye'."

Clerk O'Brien: "Panayotovich."

Speaker Breslin: "Panayotovich votes 'no'."

Clerk O'Brien: "Pangle."

Speaker Breslin: "Pangle. Pangle votes \*present\*."

Clerk O'Brien: "Parcells."

Speaker Breslin: "Parcells passes."

Clerk O'Brien: "Parke."

Speaker Breslin: "Parke votes 'present'."

Clerk O'Brien: "B. Pedersen."

Speaker Breslin: "Pedersen passes."

Clerk O'Brien: "W. Peterson."

Speaker Breslin: "Peterson votes 'aye'."

Clerk O'Brien: "Phelps."

Speaker Breslin: "Phelps passes."

Clerk O'Brien: "Piel."

Speaker Breslin: "Piel passes."

65th Legislative Day June 29, 1985

Clerk O'Brien: "Preston."

Speaker Breslin: "Preston votes \*aye ."

Clerk O'Brien: "Pullen."

Speaker Breslin: "Pullen. Representative Pullen, one minute to explain your vote."

Pullen: "I'm really for the Cubs staying in Wrigley Field, but I had indicated to the original Sponsor of this that he should "Ryan Sandburg" come to Springfield rather than just Dallas Green, so at this point I'm going to vote "present"."

Speaker Breslin: "Pullen votes 'present'."

Clerk O'Brien: "Rea."

Speaker Breslin: "Rea passes."

Clerk O'Brien: "Regan."

Speaker Breslin: "Regan... Regan votes 'present'."

Clerk O'Brien: "Rice."

Speaker Breslin: "Rice votes 'no'."

Clerk O'Brien: "Richmond."

Speaker Breslin: "Richmond votes 'no'... votes 'present'."

Richmond votes 'present'."

Clerk O'Brien: "Ronan."

Speaker Breslin: "Ronan passes."

Clerk O'Brien: "Ropp."

Speaker Breslin: "Ropp, one minute to explain your vote."

Ropp: "Thank you, Madam Speaker. As a baseball lover and supporter both in daylight and at night, we've talked about a compromise. Usually a compromise is halfway. Eighteen games certainly isn't halfway, but it's a start. I vote 'aye'."

Speaker Breslin: "Ropp votes 'aye'."

Clerk O'Brien: "Ryder."

Speaker Breslin: "Ryder votes 'aye'."

Clerk O'Brien: "Saltsman."

65th Legislative Day June 29, 1985

Speaker Breslin: "Saltsman votes 'aye'."

Clerk O'Brien: "Satterthwaite."

Speaker Breslin: "Satterthwaite votes 'no'."

Clerk O'Brien: "Shaw."

Speaker Breslin: "Shaw votes 'aye'. Shaw votes 'no'."

Clerk O'Brien: "Slater."

Speaker Breslin: "Slater votes 'aye'."

Clerk O'Brien: "Soliz."

Speaker Breslin: "Soliz votes 'no'."

Clerk O'Brien: "Stange."

Speaker Breslin: "Stange votes \*present"."

Clerk O'Brien: "Steczo."

Speaker Breslin: "Steczo votes 'no'."

Clerk O'Brien: "Stephens."

Speaker Breslin: "Stephens, one minute to explain your vote."

Stephens: "Thank you, Madam Speaker. This was really a confusing issue for me. And, you know, being a Cardinal fan all my life and not really caring a whole lot for the Cubs, I went to Representative Cullerton, and he explained the issue rather clearly to me. And as I recall the discussion, I'm going to have to cast my vote thinking of his remarks about improper irrigation that might occur on his lawn. So I'm going to have to stick with Representative Cullerton and vote 'no'."

Speaker Breslin: "Stephens votes 'no'. Representative Daniels.

Representative Daniels."

Daniels: "Yes, in the interest of trying to reach a reasonable compromise in the problem around Wrigley Field, I vote 'aye'."

Speaker Breslin: "Daniels votes 'aye". Proceed, Mr. Clerk."

Clerk O'Brien: "Stern."

Speaker Breslin: "Stern votes 'no'."

Clerk O'Brien: "Sutker."

65th Legislative Day June 29, 1985

Speaker Breslin: "Sutker votes 'no'."

Clerk O'Brien: "Tate."

Speaker Breslin: "Tate passes."

Clerk O'Brien: "Terzich."

Speaker Breslin: "Terzich votes 'aye'."

Clerk O'Brien: "Tuerk."

Speaker Breslin: "Tuerk votes 'aye'."

Clerk O'Brien: "Turner."

Speaker Breslin: "Turner. On minute for Representative Turner to explain his vote."

Turner: "Thank you, Madam Chairman (sic - Speaker). Being a
White Soxs fan and liking to see the Cubs do better I'm
going to vote 'no'."

Speaker Breslin: "Turner votes 'no'."

Clerk O'Brien: "Van Duyne."

Speaker Breslin: "Van Duyne. Van Duyne votes 'no'."

Clerk O'Brien: "Vinson."

Speaker Breslin: "Vinson passes."

Clerk O'Brien: "Vitek."

Speaker Breslin: "Vitek votes 'no'."

Clerk O'Brien: "Wait."

Speaker Breslin: "Wait votes 'present'."

Clerk O'Brien: "Washington."

Speaker Breslin: "Washington votes 'no'."

Clerk O'Brien: "Weaver."

Speaker Breslin: "Weaver, one minute to explain your vote."

Weaver: "Thank you, Madam Speaker. I really don't have much choice in this matter. My mother's a die-hard Cub fan and

my father's a Cardinal fan, so I've got to vote 'present'."

Speaker Breslin: "Weaver votes 'present'."

Clerk O'Brien: "White."

Speaker Breslin: "White votes 'no'."

Clerk O'Brien: "Williamson."

65th Legislative Day

June 29, 1985

Speaker Breslin: "Williamson votes 'aye'."

Clerk O'Brien: "Wojcik."

Speaker Breslin: "Wojcik. Wojcik. Representative Wojcik, one minute to explain your vote."

Wojcik: "Yes, Madam Speaker and Members of the House, inasmuch as

I really truly am a Cub fan, and I felt that we were
disenfranchised last time because there were not lights in
Wrigley Field, I do feel we should have them for the 18
days. And I cast an 'aye' vote."

Speaker Breslin: "Wojcik votes 'aye'."

Clerk O'Brien: "Wolf."

Speaker Breslin: "Wolf votes 'no'."

Clerk O'Brien: "Woodyard."

Speaker Breslin: "Woodyard. Woodyard votes 'present'."

Clerk O'Brien: "Anthony Young."

Speaker Breslin: "Anthony Young votes 'no'."

Clerk O'Brien: "Wyvetter Younge."

Speaker Breslin: "Hyvetter Younge votes 'no'."

Clerk O'Brien: "Zwick."

Speaker Breslin: "Zwick votes 'no'."

Clerk O'Brien: "Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Breslin: "Mr. Speaker votes... Representative Madigan, one minute to explain your vote."

Madigan: "I vote 'present'."

Speaker Breslin: "Representative Madigan votes 'present'. There
are several seeking recognition. Representative Dunn, for
what reason do you rise?"

Dunn: "'Aye'."

Speaker Breslin: "Representative Dunn votes 'aye'."

Dunn: "No, no, no."

Speaker Breslin: "Excuse me. Dunn votes 'no'. Dunn changes his vote from 'aye' to 'no'. Representative Preston."

Preston: "Thank you, Madam Speaker, Following my colleague from

65th Legislative Day

- June 29 1985
- Decatur, could you please change my vote to 'no'?"
- Speaker Breslin: "Change Representative Preston from 'aye' to
  'no'. Representative Christensen, for what reason do you
  rise?"
- Christensen: "Hadam Speaker, the farmers in my area like to
  listen to games in the daytime, and I like to go at night,
  so I'd like to change my vote to 'yes'."
- Speaker Breslin: "Representative Christensen changes his vote from 'no' to 'aye'. Representative Hallock, for what reason do you rise?"
- Hallock: "Thank you, Madam Speaker. The citizens in Rockford would prefer to drive in for 18 night games as opposed to none at all, so I change my vote to 'aye', please."
- Speaker Breslin: "Hallock changes his vote from 'present' to 'aye'. Representative Pullen."
- Pullen: "This is too important an issue to not be on. I'm going to change my vote from 'present' to 'aye', please."
- Speaker Breslin: "Pullen changes from 'present' to 'aye'.

  Representative Pedersen."
- Pedersen: "How am I recorded, Madam Speaker?"
- Speaker Breslin: "The board says you're recorded as vote 'present'."
- Pedersen: "Change my vote to 'aye'."
- Speaker Breslin: "Excuse me. He is listed, however, as not voting. So Representative Pedersen votes 'aye'.

  Representative Barnes."
- Barnes: "Madam Speaker, please change my vote to \*aye"."
- Speaker Breslin: "Change Representative Barnes from 'aye'... from 'present' to 'aye'. Representative Hensel. Representative Hensel."
- Hensel: "Thank you, Madam Speaker. I'd like to be recorded as 'aye'."
- Speaker Breslin: "Record Hensel as 'aye'. Representative

65th Legislative Day

June 29, 1985

Vinson.

- Vinson: "Yes, Madam Speaker, please record me as 'aye'."
- Speaker Breslin: "Change... Record Representative Vinson as 
  'aye'. Representative McCracken, for what reason do you 
  rise?"
- McCracken: "To change my vote to "aye", and brief explanation thereof, on the merits, I believe that's the right vote. I think that point has been made, 'What's good for the goose is good for the gander." I hope the record will show that a number of Republicans joined in the Oral Verified Roll Call. It all could have been avoided by following the Calendar in its due course."
- Speaker Breslin: "McCracken changes from 'present' to 'aye'.

  Representative Parke."
- Parke: "Thank you, Madam Speaker. I'd like to change my vote from 'present' to 'aye'."
- Speaker Breslin: "Representative Parke changes from \*present\* to 
  \*aye\*. Representative Regan."
- Regan: "Thank you, Madam Speaker. I would like to change my vote from 'present' to 'aye' please."
- Speaker Breslin: "Regan changes from \*present\* to \*aye\*.

  Representative Ewing. Representative Ewing.

  Representative Ewing. for what reason is your light flashing?"

Ewing: "I'm voting 'present'. Is that correct?"

Speaker Breslin: "You are."

Ewing: "I want to vote "aye"."

- Speaker Breslin: "Change Ewing from 'present' to 'aye'.

  Representative Stange."
- Stange: "Change my vote to 'present' to 'aye' also, Madam...

  Thank you."
- Speaker Breslin: "Stange changes from 'present' to 'aye'.

  Representative Churchill."

65th Legislative Day

- June 29 1985
- Churchill: "Please give me an 'aye' for the Cubbies."
- Speaker Breslin: "Change Churchill from 'present' to 'aye'.

  Representative Didrickson."
- Didrickson: "Yes, I'd like to be recorded 'aye' also, Madam

  Speaker."
- Speaker Breslin: "Change Didrickson from 'present' to 'aye'.

  Representative Piel."
- Piel: "Yes, Madam Speaker. I'd like to vote 'aye' please."
- Speaker Breslin: "Piel votes 'aye'. Representative Kirkland."
- Kirkland: "Vote 'aye', please."
- Speaker Breslin: "Kirkland votes 'aye'. Representative Harris."
- Harris: "Madam Speaker, please record me as 'present'."
- Speaker Breslin: "Harris votes 'present'. Representative Hait."
- Wait: "Please change me to 'aye'."
- Speaker Breslin: "Wait changes from 'present' to 'aye'.

  Representative Stange, you'll have to change your vote.

  Very good. Representative Weaver, for what reason do you rise?"
- Weaver: "Madam Speaker, on second thought, I have always been closer to my mother, so I would like to change my vote to
- Speaker Breslin: "Representative Harris was one of the people who wished to be recorded as voting 'present', Mr. Clerk.

  Weaver changed his vote from 'present' to 'aye', for his mom. Representative Curran, for what reason do you rise?"
- Curran: "Madam Speaker, I have personally anguished over this
  vote for weeks, and I thought that I had made an
  arrangement with the Sponsor of the Bill to get a Cubbies
  hat for my green vote, but apparently I got no Cubbies hat,
  so I'm going to change my vote to 'no'."
- Speaker Breslin: "Curran goes from 'aye' to 'no'. Representative
- Pangle: "Since there's a chance that we might be able to have the

65th Legislative Day

June 29. 1985

- Kankakee Cubs. I vote 'no'."
- Speaker Breslin: "Pangle changes from 'present' to 'no'. Mr.

  Clerk, take the Roll. Excuse me. Representative Hicks,

  for what reason do you rise?"
- Hicks: "Yes, Madam Speaker, seeing that all the votes are in, I now would like to cast my vote 'no' please."
- Speaker Breslin: "Record Hicks as 'no'. Representative Stephens, for what reason do you rise?"
- Stephens: "Thank you for the recognition, Madam Speaker and Members of the House. I would like to change my vote from 'no' to 'yes'."
- Speaker Breslin: "Stephens "no" 'ave'. goes from t o Representative Parcells. Excuse Representative me. Stephens, the vote is locked. It can't be changed now. We took the Roll Call. Representative Parcells, for what reason do yo rise?"
- Parcells: "I... I am not recorded, Madam Speaker."
- Speaker Breslin: "How do you wish to be recorded?"
- Parcells: "And I would like to be recorded as 'aye'."
- Speaker Breslin: "Parcells votes "aye". What's the count, Mr. Clerk? Excuse me. Representative Berrios, for what reason do you rise? Berrios votes "aye". Representative Phelps votes "no". Mr. Clerk. Representative Davis, for what reason do you rise?"
- Davis: "Well, Madam Speaker, would it be out of order since 18

  'yes' votes would make 62 to poll the absentees?"
- Speaker Breslin: "It would not. Not on an Oral Verified Roll
  Call. Representative Barger, for what reason do you rise?"
- Barger: "I... I'm sorry. I was out of the room at the time that the Roll was called. I would like to vote 'aye', please."
- Speaker Breslin: "Record Barger as "aye". Representative Klemm.

  for what reason do you rise?"
- Klemm: "Would you please record me as voting 'aye'?"

65th Legislative Day

June 29, 1985

- Speaker Breslin: "Klemm votes 'aye', from 'present' to 'aye'.

  Representative Kubik, for what reason do you rise? Kubik

  goes from 'present' to 'aye'. Mr. Clerk, what's the

  count? On this question, there are 50 voting 'aye', 45

  voting 'no' and 10 voting 'present', and the Motion fails.

  Representative Davis."
- Davis: "Madam Speaker, I would now move to nonconcur in House
  Bill... in Senate Amendment 3 to House Bill 1934."
- Speaker Breslin: "The Gentleman moves to nonconcur in Senate 3 to House Bill 1934. Is there any discussion? Amendment Hearing none, the question is, 'Shall the House nonconcur Senate Amendment #3 to House Bill 1934? All those in favor say 'aye', all those opposed say "nay". the opinion of the Chair, the 'ayes' have it, and the House does nonconcur in Senate Amendment #3 to House Bill 1934. And does the Gentleman request a Conference Committee? Excuse me. That's right. The next Bill is House Bill T+ appears οn page 17 on your Representative Greiman Representative Johnson. Chair."
- Speaker Greiman: "...Johnson. Alright, out of the record. We'll come back to it. Yes, Mr. Vinson. What is it, Mr. Vinson?"
- Vinson: "Madam... Mr. Speaker, I believe I'm a Cosponsor on the Bill and would be glad to handle it."
- Speaker Greiman: "Well... We'll just come back to it before we adjourn. We'll come back to it. Out of the record. On the Order of Special Call Environmental Protection appears House Bill 1962, Mr. Mays."
- Mays: "Thank you very much, Mr. Speaker. Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, House Bill 1962 amends the Forest Products

  Transportation Act. Senate Amendment put in a time frame by which proof of ownership must be obtained. I'm not

65th Legislative Day

June 29, 1985

exactly sure as to why they did that. I would like to request a nonconcurrence Motion on that Amendment."

Speaker Greiman: "The Gentleman from Adams, Hr. Mays, moves that the House do concur in Senate Amendment #1..."

Mays: "Nonconcur..."

Speaker Greiman: "...to House Bill 1962. And on that, is there any discussion? Oh, I'm sorry. You want to nonconcur.

Mr. Mays moves to nonconcur with Senate Amendment #1. And on that, is there any discussion? Mr. Cullerton."

Cullerton: "Yes, I wish he could explain what the Bills does and why he's nonconcurring."

Speaker Greiman: "Mr. Mays."

Mays: "Thank you very much. The Bill itself... You know, in current law we've got a provision that you have to have the written consent of the owner and you have to have proof of... or you have to have... you may have proof of ownership. What the Bill itself does is take out the provision for written consent. There is nothing in current law as to the time frame involved. I don't know that it's necessary."

Speaker Greiman: "Ar. Cullerton."

Cullerton: "The Senate Amendment puts in a time limit."

Mays: "That is correct."

Cullerton: "You don't like that? So did you talk to Senator
Dunn?"

Mays: "Yes. The Department of Conservation, I believe, has talked to Senator Dunn on this thing."

Cullerton: "And he's going to recede?"

Mays: "I don't know."

Cullerton: "He didn't agree to recede?"

Mays: "I don't know."

Cullerton: "Okay. Fine. Thank you. Or do you want it to get into a Conference Committee? Is that what you said?"

65th Legislative Day June 29, 1985

Mays: "Pardon?"

Cullerton: "You're going to ask him to recede?"

Mays: "Unless I can get a better reason for it, I think I will."

Cullerton: "Okay. Thank you."

Speaker Greiman: "The question is, 'Shall the House nonconcur with Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 1962?' All those in favor signify by saying 'aye', those opposed 'no'. In the opinion of the Chair, the 'ayes' have it, and the House does nonconcur in Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 1962.

Alright. On the Order of Special Call — Environmental Protection appears Senate Bill... House Bill 703, Ms. Breslin."

Breslin: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen o f the 703 House Bi11 authorizes House. counties οг municipalities or municipalities working under a municipal joint action... working through an intergovernmental agreement to prepare and implement solid waste management The Senate amended one part of this Bill. Allows the counties to fund this mechanism by putting a 50 per cubic yard surcharge in order to raise money in order to create the plan then implement if they so desire. The provided that should a county do this, Senate Amendment they would be authorized to... they would be required two-and-a-half cents out of the... two-and-a-half percent, I should say, out of the amount that was levied in order to pay the facility that actually did the collection for them. In other words, it's what is commonly referred to as a collection fee. I understand from my discussions with people in this area that it is a common thing to do. so I move to concur in Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 703-"

Speaker Greiman: "The Lady from LaSalle moves that the House do concur in Senate Amendment ₽1 to House Bill 703. And on

65th Legislative Day

June 29, 1985

that, is there any discussion? The Gentleman from  $\label{eq:constraint} \forall innebago, \ \text{Mr. Hallock.}^{\bullet}$ 

Hallock: "Thank you. Will the Sponsor yield for a question?"

Speaker Greiman: "Indicates that she will."

Hallock: "First of all, the fee itself, is that by referendum, or is that by county board action, or by city council action or how does that come about?"

Breslin: "The county would do it if they were doing it by county.

The municipality would do it working through an intergovernmental agreement with their county."

Hallock: "Is this a state mandate or is this a..."

Breslin: "It's completely permissive."

Hallock: "Permissive. A second question then, to the Amendment itself specifically. Instead of giving the rebate, wouldn't it be more feasible probably and less burdensome for the business community if we had them... I mean if just maybe lowered the fee initially?"

Breslin: "Well, the point is that the facility that is doing the waste collecting has to... has to collect the fee. So the question is, which is the best way to do it? Should they take it... Should they add to their rates in order to collect the fee or should we require the county or the municipality that is preparing the plan and implementing the program to... to remit that amount to the county. So, you know, I think it's six of one and half a dozen of another."

Hallock: "My wife uses that expression quite a bit too. It must be common to women lawyers, I guess. Lastly, this is purely permissive all the way through?"

Breslin: "Yes, it is completely permissive."

Hallock: "Thank you."

Speaker Greiman: "The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Cullerton."

Cullerton: "Yes, thank you, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen

65th Legislative Day

June 29, 1985

I think at this time that... Well, I know at of the House. this time that I would rise in opposition to concurring with the Senate Amendment. I believe that if this Bill to a Conference Committee that we may be able to work out the problems, but it specifically poses a problem for Chicago. The Bill would allow for a charge, a pretty hefty charge, to be imposed on the solid waste... a solid waste surcharge. What it would allow for in the City of Chicago, which... which take care of its own garbage problems within the City itself, would be for the County to charge the City a fee for dumping waste within its own... within municipality. The ... I have no objection to the goals of the Bill which is to raise money for the purpose of studies to encourage alternatives to land filling. T just think that we have a specific problem within Cook in Chicago. and think that the... the best approach would be to try to get this into a Conference Committee so that those problems could be ironed out. For that reason, I would rise opposition to the Motion with the hope that we could get a Bill that would accomplish all the goals in a Conference Committee."

Speaker Greiman: "The Lady from Cook, Ms. Braun."

Braun: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Will the Lady yield?"

Speaker Greiman: "Yes."

Representative Braun: "Thank vou. Breslin. it was mУ understanding that... that insofar as there were discussions to try to resolve the tremendous fiscal impact on a municipality like Chicago that you had been... or that it might be desirable to have you nonconcur. And I just ask at this time, would you be willing to withdraw this Motion?"

Breslin: "Representative Braun, I have talked with the City and the County on many occasions about this. I have told them

65th Legislative Day

June 29, 1985

I would be willing to work with them on the issue. I told them to prepare language. They have never come back to us with language, nor have they come back to ask me to do this, and I have talked to them several times this week and have passed over the Bill several times this week to do that. And I have talked to, I would say, three or four different people from the City on the issue. So I am moving to concur in Senate Amendment #1."

Braun: "Thank you. In that case, Representative Breslin, I, unfortunately, will have to rise in opposition to your

Speaker Greiman: "The Gentleman from Lake, Mr. Churchill."

Churchill: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I rise in support of the Lady's Motion. Representative Breslin and I have worked together on two Bills. Mine's the next one on the Calendar. This one is Breslin - Churchill. The next one's Churchill - Breslin, and we've worked on these Bills to try and come up with some kind of a planning mechanism so that our local counties and our local municipalities can enter agreements to try and come up with alternatives to land fill siting. This is a crucial issue... as that.. we are running into a point we will not have land fills around with us for very much longer. ₩e must come up with alternatives. Incineration is one οf those It's something that can be done under alternatives. plans; and, therefore, I would rise in strong support of the Lady's Motion."

Speaker Greiman: "The Lady from LaSalle, Ms. Breslin, to close."

Breslin: "As I said, Ladies and Gentlemen, the Bill provides that counties, should they wish to, could provide for themselves a county wide solid waste management plan, and there's a mechanism in here for them to charge to cover the cost of that planning and implementation of a program. I move to

65th Legislative Day

June 29, 1985

concur."

- Speaker Greiman: "The question is, "Shall the House concur in Senate Amendment &1 to House Bill 703?" All those in favor signify by voting "aye", those opposed vote "no". This is final action. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who record. On this question, there are 68 voting "aye", 38 voting "no", 2 voting "present", and the House does concur in Senate Amendment #1 to House... Ms. Braun."
- Braun: "I'd like to verify the affirmative vote."
- Speaker Greiman: "Alright. Ms. Braun wishes to... to verify the affirmative vote. Ms. Breslin asks for a Poll of the Absentees. Mr. Nash. Hold on. He'll be with you as soon as we get the record, Mr. Nash."
- Clerk O'Brien: "Poll of the Absentees. Berrios. Bowman.

  Bullock. Capparelli. Currie. Johnson. Krska. Levin.

  Shaw. Tuerk. No further."
- Speaker Greiman: "Ar. Nash goes from 'aye' to 'no'. Mr. Clerk... Vitek goes from 'aye' to 'no'. Mr. Soliz goes from 'ave' to 'no'. Mr. Parke goes from \*ave\* to Bullock 'no'. Ms. Younge goes from 'aye' to 'no'. Sutker goes from 'aye' to 'no'. Mr. Steczo goes from 'aye' to 'no'. Mr. Richmond goes from 'aye' to "no". Mr. Curran. Mr. Curran goes from 'no' to 'aye'. Mr. Peterson. Mr. Peterson stays where he is. Alright, Mr. Clerk, verify the Affirmative Roll Call."
- Clerk O'Brien: "Barnes• Breslin. Brunsvold. Christensen. Cowlishaw. Churchill. Countryman. Curran. Daniels. Davis. DeJaegher. Deuchler. Didrickson. Dunn. Ewing. Virginia Frederick. Dwight Friedrich. Goforth. Hallock. Hannia. Hartke. Hastert. Hawkinson. Hensel. Hoffman. Homer. Kirkland. Klemm. Hicks. Koehler. McAuliffe. Leverenz. Mautino. Mays. McCracken.

65th Legislative Day

June 29, 1985

Oblinger. Olson. McMaster. Mulcahev. Pangle. W. Phelos. Piel. Preston. Rea. Regan. Peterson. Ropp. Ryder. Saltsman. Satterthwaite. Slater. Stange-Stern. Tate. Van Duyne. Wait. Wolf. Zwick. Stephens. No further."

Speaker Greiman: "Alright. Mr. Tuerk."

Tuerk: "How am I recorded, please?"

Speaker Greiman: "You're not recorded, Mr. Tuerk."

Tuerk: "Vote me 'aye'."

Speaker Greiman: "Vote Mr. Tuerk 'aye'. Mr. Harris."

Harris: "Mr. Speaker, please record me as 'aye'."

Speaker Greiman: "Mr. Harris goes from 'no' to 'aye'. Mr. Ryder."

Ryder: "I would request leave to be verified, please."

Speaker Greiman: "Ms. Braun, Mr. Ryder requests leave to be verified. You have leave. Mr. Kubik. Mr. Kubik goes from 'no'... I'm sorry, from 'present' to 'aye'. Mr. Pedersen."

Pedersen: "Would you change my vote to 'aye'?"

Speaker Greiman: "Mr. Pedersen goes from 'no' to 'aye'. Mr.

Barger goes from 'no' to 'aye'. Mr. Johnson votes 'aye'.

Ms. Braun, guestions of the Affirmative Roll Call."

Braun: "Thank you very much, Mr. Speaker. Representative

Speaker Greiman: "I'm sorry. Pardon."

Braun: "McMaster."

Speaker Greiman: "Mr. McMaster. Is Mr. McMaster in the chamber?

How is the Gentleman recorded?"

Clerk O'Brien: "The Gentleman is recorded as voting 'aye'."

Speaker Greiman: "Remove the Gentleman from the Roll Call."

Braun: "Representative Ewing."

Speaker Greiman: "Mr. Ewing. Is Mr. Ewing in the chamber? How is the Gentleman recorded?"

Clerk O'Brien: "The Gentleman's recorded as voting 'aye'."

65th Legislative Day

June 29, 1985

Speaker Greiman: "Remove the Gentleman from the Roll Call."

Braun: "Representative Stange. Sorry. Representative Parke."

Speaker Greiman: "Mr. Parke. Mr. Parke is at the rear of the

Braun: "That's right. He's recorded as voting 'no'.

Representative McAuliffe."

Speaker Greiman: "Ms... Who? Representative..."

Braun: "McAuliffe."

Speaker Greiman: "Mr. McAuliffe. Mr. McAuliffe. Is Mr.

McAuliffe in the chamber? How is the Gentleman recorded?"

Clerk O'Brien: "The Gentleman's recorded as voting 'aye'."

Speaker Greiman: "Remove Mr. McAuliffe from the Roll Call."

Braun: "Representative Leverenz."

Speaker Greiman: "Mr. Leverenz. Mr. Leverenz. Is Mr. Leverenz

in the chamber? How is Mr. Leverenz recorded?"

Clerk O'Brien: "The Gentleman's recorded as voting 'aye'."

Speaker Greiman: "Remove Mr. Leverenz from the Roll Call."

Speaker Greiman: "Mr. Flinn. Mr. Flinn. How is Mr. Flinn recorded?"

Clerk O'Brien: "The Gentleman is recorded as voting 'aye'."

Speaker Greiman: "Remove Mr. Flinn from the Roll Call."

Braun: "Representative Richmond."

Braun: "Representative Flinn."

Speaker Greiman: "Mr. Richmond is at the side window."

Braun: "Representative Preston."

Speaker Greiman: "Mr. Preston. Mr. Preston in the chamber? How is Mr. Preston recorded?"

Clerk O'Brien: "The Gentleman's recorded as voting 'aye'."

Speaker Greiman: "Remove Mr. Preston."

Braun: "Representative Hastert."

Speaker Greiman: "Mr. Hastert. Is Mr. Hastert in the chamber?

How is Mr. Hastert recorded?"

Clerk O'Brien: "The Gentleman's recorded as voting 'aye'."

65th Legislative Day

June 29, 1985

Speaker Greiman: "Remove Mr. Hastert."

Braun: "Representative Slater."

Speaker Greiman: "Ar. Slater. Mr. Slater. Ar. Slater in the chamber? How is Mr. Slater recorded?"

Clerk O'Brien: "The Gentleman's recorded as voting 'aye"."

Speaker Greiman: "Remove Mr. Slater from the Roll Call."

Braun: "Representative Davis. Davis."

Speaker Greiman: "Mr. Slater has returned to the chamber.

Restore Mr. Slater to the Roll Call. Hr. Preston has returned to the chamber. Restore... Mr. Preston has returned to the chamber, but wishes to go from 'aye' to 'no'. Hs. Braun continue."

Braun: "I'm not... Oh, okay. Representative Pangle."

Speaker Greiman: "Mr. Pangle. Is Mr. Pangle in the chamber? Mr. Pangle. How is Mr. Pangle recorded?"

Clerk O'Brien: "The Gentleman's recorded as voting 'aye'."

Speaker Greiman: "Remove Mr. Pangle from the Roll Call."

Braun: "Did you remove Representative Davis. I mentioned his name."

Speaker Greiman: "You didn't ask."

Braun: "I did."

Speaker Greiman: "Mr. Davis. Well, Mr. Davis. Mr. Davis. Mr. Davis is way in the back."

Braun: "That... That's all. No further."

Wojcik: "'Aye'."

Speaker Greiman: "...goes from 'no' to 'aye'. Mr... Ms. Pullen."

65th Legislative Day June 29, 1985

Pullen: "Reluctantly, I ask to change my vote to 'yes', please."

Speaker Greiman: "Ms. Pullen goes from "no" to" aye". Mr.

Hastert: "How am I recorded?"

Speaker Greiman: "You're recorded as voting 'ave'."

Hastert: "Leave me there."

Speaker Greiman: "Oh, you were removed from the Roll Call. I'm sorry. So you are now restored to the Roll Call. Mr. Curran."

Curran: "I'd like to change back to 'no'."

Speaker Greiman: "Mr. Curran votes 'no'. Mr. Ewing."

Ewing: "My light's been on for some time. Maybe you're arm's
 resting on it."

Speaker Greiman: "Mr. Ewing, you are called in some order. I'm recognizing you. Sorry we didn't get to you quite in the order you'd like to be gotten to."

Ewing: "Well, that's the way it is."

Speaker Greiman: "You have something you'd like to say, Mr. Ewing or just say 'hi'."

Ewing: "...take the good... Take the good and the bad. Yes, I'd like to... Sure would. I'd like to know if I'm still on the Roll Call."

Speaker Greiman: "Mr. Ewing on the Roll Call?"

Clerk O'Brien: "The Gentleman's been removed from the Roll Call."

Speaker Greiman: "Been removed from the Roll Call. Restore Mr. Ewing. Mr. Hastert."

Hastert: "May I be verified, please?"

Speaker Greiman: "Leave from Mr. Ewing... Hastert verified. Mr. Matijevich."

Matijevich: "Speaker, Representative Hastert was the last one that asked leave to be verified or whatever he did. And I'd like to ask leave of the Body. Representative Hastert isn't feeling too good right now."

65th Legislative Day

June 29 • 1985

Speaker Greiman: "Well, let's not verify him off any more."

He's in a meeting with myself, Matijevich: "Well, not only him. Representative Bowman. Leverenz. and they Representative Barnes at that meeting too. It's in relating to try to the Appropriations Committee together on those matters of appropriations that we can't agree on. And for him to come running back here, and I don't like to run back either, cause even though I'm feeling alright now, who knows what can happen to me or Teddy or Woods or Jane. And we'd like to have leave to be verified on future Roll Calls. It's a bipartisan thing after all."

Speaker Greiman: "Mr. Leverenz. Leverenz."

Leverenz: "How am I recorded?"

Speaker Greiman: "'Aye'."

Leverenz: "Record me as a 'no'."

Speaker Greiman: "Record Mr. Leverenz as 'no'. Mr. Dunn."

Dunn: "For my long time friend, Representative Greiman, I switch to... from green to red."

Speaker Greiman: "Dunn votes 'no'. Mr. Rea."

Rea: "How am I recorded?"

Speaker Greiman: "Ar. Rea, you are recorded as voting 'aye'."

Rea: "Please record me as 'no'."

Speaker Greiman: "Mr. Rea goes to "no". There are 59 voting "aye", 46 voting "no", none voting "present", and the... and it fails. Ms. Breslin. Mr... On the... On the Order of Environmental Protection appears House Bill 1958, Mr. Johnson. Mr. Johnson on..."

Johnson: "Thank you very much for your accommodation, Mr. Speaker and Members of the House. House 3ill 958 originally was a department Bill and created an Act to assure the payment for wrongfully cut trees and providing a penalty for violations. Some various groups had various objections or at least minor objections to the Bill as it passed out, so

65th Legislative Day

June 29, 1985

three Senate Amendments were added. One is, Representative that the violation has to be intentional. is that the Act does not apply to electrical. natural gas telephone service suppliers that cut trees impairing provision of safe and reliable service to assuage the fears of the public utilities people. And finally, Amendment #3. which was adopted in the Senate, provided that shall be based on the timber's average value rather than As I understand it, departmentally and from the various interest groups that might be affected, there's no and I would move to concur with Amendments #1. 2 and 3 on House Bill 1958.

- Speaker Greiman: "The Gentleman from Champaign moves that the House do concur with Senate Amendments #1. 2 and 3 to House Bill 1958. And on that, is there any discussion? being none, the question is, \*Shall the House concur in Senate Amendments #1, 2 and 3? All in favor sianify voting "aye", those opposed vote "no". Voting is now open, is final action. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Mr. Clerk, take the record. Ωn question. there are 116 voting 'ave', none voting 'no' and none voting 'present', and the House does concur in Senate Amendments #1, 2 and 3 to House Bill 1958. And this Bill, received a Constitutional Majority. is hereby declared passed. A Message from the Senate."
- Clerk O'Brien: "A Message from the Senate by Mr. Wright, Speaker... Mr. Wright, Secretary. 'Mr. Speaker. I am directed to inform the House of Representatives that the Senate has refused to concur in the House in the adoption of following... of their Amendments to the following Bills: Senate Bills 454, 552, 847, 1010, 1350 and 1379."
- Speaker Greiman: "The Chair recognizes the Gentleman from Madison, Majority Leader McPike. Listen carefully. Listen

65th Legislative Day

June 29, 1985

carefully. Mr. McPike."

- McPike: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I move the House stand adjourned until tomorrow at the hour of 12:30."
- Speaker Greiman: "The Gentleman... The Gentleman from Madison,

  Mr. McPike, moves that the House do stand in... now stand
  adjourned until the hour of 12:30 tomorrow. All in favor
  say 'aye', those opposed 'no'. In the opinion of the
  Chair, the 'ayes' have it, and the House does stand
  adjourned until the hour of 12:30 tomorrow."

12/15/85 15:21

# STATE OF ILLINOIS 84TH GENERAL ASSEMBLY HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES TO DESCRIPTION OF DEBATE INDEX

JUNE 29, 1985

PAGE 1

DAILY	TRANSCRIPTION	ΟF	DEBATE	INDE

HB-0143 CONCURFENCE	PAGE	39
HB-0529 CONCUBRENCE	PAGE	55
HB-0530 CONCURRENCE	PAGE	57
HB-0583 CONCURRENCE	PAGE	57
HB-0641 CONCURRENCE	PAGE	58
HB-3633 CONCURRENCE	PAGE	59
HB-0703 CONCURRENCE	PAGE	262
HB-0721 CONCURRENCE	PAGE	67
HB-0792 CONCURRENCE	PAGE	77
HB-0947 CONCURRENCE	PAGE	68
HB-0993 CONCURRENCE	PAGE	69
HB-1011 CONCURRENCE	PAGE	70
HB-1070 CONCURRENCE	PAGE	71
HB-1097 CONCURRENCE	PAGE	72
HB-1247 CONCURRENCE	PAGE	73
HB-1947 CONCURRENCE	PAGE	81
HB-1847 CONCUERENCE	PAGE	133
HB-1849 CONCURRENCE	PAGE	135
HB-1871 CONCURRENCE	PAGE	160
HB-1889 CONCURRENCE	PAGE	139
HB-1901 CONCURRENCE	PAGE	162
HB-1902 CONCURRENCE	PAGE	163
HB-1914 CONCURRENCE	PAGE	138
HB-1322 CONCURBENCE	PAGE	235
HB-1925 CONCURRENCE	PAGE	141
HB-1928 CONCUREENCE	PAGE	159
HB-1933 CONCURSENCE	PAGE	142
HB-1934 CONCURRENCE	PAGE	237
HB-1944 CONCURRENCE	PAGE	161
HB-1948 CONCURRENCE	PAGE	165
HB-1949 CONCURRENCE	PAGE	167
HB-1351 CONCURRENCE	PAGE	143
HB-1952 CONCURRENCE	PAGE	144
HB-1953 CONCURRENCE	PAGE	168
HB-1958 CONCUREENCE	PAGE	271
HB-1962 CONCURRENCE	PAGE	260
HB-1969 CONCURRENCE	PAGE	173
HB-1974 CONCURRENCE	PAGE	146
HB-2106 CONCUREENCE	PAGE	186
HB-2129 CONCURRENCE	PAGE	206
HB-2132 CONCURRENCE	PAGE	150
HB-2181 CONCURRENCE	PAGE	175
HB-2189 CONCURRENCE	PAGE	176
HB-2217 CONCURRENCE	PAGE	209
HB-2220 CONCURRENCE	PAGE	203
HB-2240 CONCURBENCE	PAGE	74
HB-2259 CONCURRENCE	PAGE	152
HB-2273 CONCURRENCE	PAGE	155
HB-2283 CONCURRENCE	PAGE	182
HB-2310 CONCURFENCE	PAGE	156
HB-2363 CONCURRENCE	PAGE	177
HB-2400 CONCURRENCE	PAGE	178
HB-2407 CONCURRENCE	PAGE	179
HB-2414 CONCURRENCE	PAGE	179
HB-2431 CONCURRENCE	PAGE	209
HB-2434 CONCURRENCE	PAGE	158
HB-2436 CONCURRENCE	PAGE	180
HB-2499 CONCURRENCE	PAGE	213
HB-2515 CONCURRENCE	PAGE	181
SB-0017 NON-CONCURRENCE	PAGE	36
SB-0097 NON-CONCURRENCE	PAGE	37
SB-0158 NON-CONCURRENCE	PAGE	6
SB-0165 NON-CONCURRENCE	PAGE	7
SB-0239 NON-CONCUELENCE	PAGE	8 9
SB-0309 NON-CONCURRENCE	PAGE	

12/73795 15:21

# STATE OF ILLINOIS 84TH GENERAL ASSEMBLY HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES DAILY TRANSCRIPTION OF DEBATE INDEX

PAGE 2

JUNE 29, 1985

PAGE	• •
I NOL	12
PAGE	38
PAGE	16
PAGE	16
PAGE	17
PAGE	18
PAGE	39
	19
	19
	20
	38
	21
	26
	24
	25
	116
	120
	112
	82
	87
	82
PAGE	93
	PAGE PAGE PAGE PAGE

HOUSE TO ORDER - SPEAKER BRESLIN	PAGE	1
PRAYER - REVEREND MAYE	PAGE	1
PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE	PAGE	2
ROLL CALL FOR ATTENDANCE	PAGE	2
MESSAGES FROM THE SENATE	PAGE	2
MESSAGE FROM THE SENATE	PAGE	56
RECESS	PAGE	8 1
HOUSE RECONVENES	PAGE	81
REPRESENTATIVE BRESLIN IN CHAIR	PAGE	140
REPRESENTATIVE MATIJEVICH IN CHAIR	PAGE	181
REPRESENTATIVE BRESLIN IN CHAIR	PAGE	18:
REPRESENTATIVE GREIMAN IN CHAIR	PAGE	260
MESSAGE FROM THE SENATE	PAGE	27
ADJOURNMENT	PAGE	273